# SEVERE STORM PREDICTORS – CAPABILITIES OF REMOTE SENSING IN CENTRAL EUROPE



Michaela Valachová<sup>1, 2</sup>, Patrik Benáček<sup>1, 2</sup>, Hana Kyznarová<sup>3</sup>

1/ Central Forecasting Office, Czech Hydrometeorological Institute, Prague, Czech Republic
 2/ Department of Atmospheric Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague
 3/ Radar Department, Czech Hydrometeorological Institute, Prague, Czech Republic
 *michaela.valachova@chmi.cz*



## REASONS

Electrification, dynamics and microphysics of a cloud are connected  $\rightarrow$  changes during the storm life-cycle are visible in all remote sensing data.



### DATA

#### Isolated storm selection

- at least 5 strokes over the Czech Republic
- CELLTRACK algorithm (30 dBZ threshold)
- cases studied individually and manually

#### Remote sensing available every 5 min

- radars CZRAD
- lightning detection CELDN
  MSG satellite (Rapid Scan)



Is it possible to observe differences between ordinary and severe convective storms?

Are we able to reveal the potential of a severe storm already in the early stages of its development?

What data from remote sensing are the most important for determining the storm hazard?

### **OBSERVATIONS**

Evolution of 72 isolated convective storms, which formed in Central Europe from April to September in 2016 and 2017, is studied by means of multi-sensor observations.



processed in R and McIDAS-V



### Severe weather reports

- ESWD operated by ESSL
- large hail, heavy rain, tornadoes
- quality control (QC0+, QC1, QC2)
- time uncertainty up to 15 min









Statistically significant differences essential for the storm nowcasting are observed between severe and non-severe storms. Each storm has its complex evolution, but some commonalities are noticed: above-anvil ice plumes occur

close to the max stroke rate, the course of the stroke rate is usually well synchronized with the max 30dBZ 30dBZ height, radar echo-tops or IR10.8 BT cooling. Relations are confirmed also in every measurement from all cases.



### **REGRESSION MODELS**



#### RESULTS



- machine learning classifiers
   → probability of the storm severity almost in real time
- high performance of models  $\frac{2}{9}$



- in total 81 variables, 72 storm cases
- 3 logistic regressions (RAD, SAT, LSD) and Elastic Net (all variables together)
- 3 time periods: 30, 60 and 90 min



- for our dataset
- crucial predictors:
  - IR 10.8 minimum Brightness Temp
  - area of the radar reflectivity core
  - number of lightning strokes in
     5 min and its sudden increase

### Future steps

- improve the lightning jump algorithm
- find relations for new data sources, thresholds
- adaptations for the operation



10<sup>th</sup> European Conference on Severe Storms, 4 – 8 November 2019, Kraków, Poland