

## Determination of <sup>222</sup>Rn exhalation rates in an urban area - comparison of top-down and bottom-up approach

M. Zimnoch<sup>1</sup>, P. Wach<sup>1</sup>, .K. Rozanski<sup>1</sup>, J. Godlowska<sup>2</sup>, J. Mazur<sup>3</sup>, K. Kozak<sup>3</sup> AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, al. Mickiewicza 30, PL30059 Krakow, Poland <sup>2</sup> Institute of Meteorology and Water Management, Department of Monitoring and Modelling Air Pollution, PL30215 Krakow, ul. Borowego 14, Poland <sup>3</sup> Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Radzikowskiego 152, PL31342 Krakow, Poland





*Fig 3. Sodar measurement* location



Fig 2. Panorama view on university campus

Fig 4. Flux calculation principle

The mixing layer height was measured with vertical doppler sodar system (fig 3) developed at the Department of Monitoring and Modelling Air Pollution, Institute of Meteorology and Water Management. Atmospheric concentrations of <sup>222</sup>Rn were measured using radon monitor (fig 5) based on alpha spectrometry of <sup>222</sup>Rn daughters captured from the air stream on a glass filter placed directly over the surface barrier detector measuring alpha particles emitted by <sup>222</sup>Rn daughter products. The radon monitor used for atmospheric <sup>222</sup>Rn measurements was developed at the Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Heidelberg, Germany, and made available for this study.



*Fig 10. Full record of calculated night-time 222Rn exhalation rates* calculated from mixing high and specific activity measurements using method presented on fig.4



Fig 5. Radon monitor. Upper picture – measurement head, lower picture – electronic module



given area and its temporal variability.

night-time <sup>222</sup>Rn fluxes were compared.

The night-time monthly mean <sup>222</sup>Rn exhalation rates were calculated from the data obtained using the methods briefly described on fig 4. Generally, high values of <sup>222</sup>Rn exhalation rates were observed during summer months (July, August, September) and reduced values during winter months (February, March). The maximum monthly mean value of <sup>222</sup>Rn exhalation rate (ca. 105 Bq m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) measured with the aid of chamber method was observed in September 2005, to be compared with ca. 65 Bq m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> obtained from the indirect method. The minimum <sup>222</sup>Rn exhalation rate was recorded in February 2006 (ca. 20 Bq m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and 10 Bq m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, for the sodar-assisted and for the chamber measurements, respectively). Although the amplitude of seasonal changes of <sup>222</sup>Rn exhalation rate derived from chamber measurements was significantly higher than that obtained using the indirect method based on sodar measurements of mixing layer height and atmospheric measurements of <sup>222</sup>Rn concentration, a reasonably good agreement between both methods was obtained (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.67). The principal reason for the observed differences in the measured <sup>222</sup>Rn fluxes can be attributed to different footprint of both methods. While the chamber method yields <sup>222</sup>Rh exhalation rates averaged over an area of ca. 0.04 m<sup>2</sup> covered by the chamber, the footprint of the indirect method is in the order of several square kilometers, comparable to the size of the

The research was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education (project No. 4132/B/T02/2008/34), and the statutory funds of the AGH University of Science and Technology (project No.11.11.220.01)



exchalation rates obtained by two presented methods, AGH – calculation based on mixing layer height and Rn atmospheric specific activity, IFJ – static chamber method







