



<u>Elisabetta Preziosi¹ (preziosi@irsa.cnr.it</u>), Andrea Del Bon¹, Emanuele Romano¹, Anna Bruna Petrangeli¹, Stefano Casadei²

1 Water Research Institute - National Research Council, Italy

2 Dep. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Perugia, Italy

European Geosciences Union General Assembly 2012 Vienna, Austria 22 – 27 April 2012



Water managers perception



from I.R. Tannehill, *Drought: Its Causes and Effects*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1947)

- "The future is no longer what it used to be"
- Water resources availability can fail in an unexpected manner

 (\mathbf{i})

 $(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c})$

- SCENARIOS are needed in which severe drought conditions are expected for the assessment of water supply system vulnerability and evaluation of possible mitigation options
 - \rightarrow Feasible (costs and timing)
 - → Acceptable from a socioeconomic and environmental point of view





Research questions

How to assess the vulnerability of a water supply system? Which nodes are most sensitive to droughts?

How to evaluate the effects of the mitigation options?

How to provide a comprehensive and easily understandable information to stakeholders/public?

This research was founded by the Tiber River basin Authority (SICCITA', 2006-2009)

Standardized precipitation and discharge in the Tiber basin

 (\mathbf{i})

 \odot



•Good correlation ($R^2 = 0.58$) between the Tiber discharge index and P_{ann} .

•Decreasing trends result to be significant at the 95% of confidence

•Steeper trends for the period 1922-2010 than for the period 1952-2008 both for rainfall and discharge (Romano et al. 2011)



Case study: the upper Tiber basin VERGHERETO CHIUSI DELLA VERN Montedoglio reservoir VOLUME = 143 Mm3 AREZZO ASTIGLION FIORENT Valfabbrica reservoir OLUME = 186 Mm3 CORTONA Lago Trasimeno MONTEPULCIANO ASTIGLIONE DEL LAGO 5 10 20 km CHIANCIANO TERME

 (\mathbf{i})

 $(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c})$

USER_

ENVIRON. USER

18 users nodes (9 civil use; 7 irrigation; 2 environmental)



Case study: the upper Tiber basin

 (\mathbf{i})

 $(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c})$







 $(\mathbf{\hat{t}})$

- Simulation code: SimBaT (Pierleoni et al. 2007)
- The water supply system is conceptualized into a flow network in which water resources and water users (nodes) are connected through arcs (water courses, channels, pipelines). Storage is only possible in the reservoirs (i.e. storage capacity of the aquifers is not accounted for). Runoff time is not considered
- SimBaT solves a balance equation between the available resource and the water demand of the supplied nodes at the weekly time step
- The model is fed by the hydrological time series of all the input resources
- It provides information about the flow balance and possible deficit at each node of the network





Water input to the model : hydrological time series at weekly time step

 River discharge: 1952-2007 natural discharge at measuring sites and its regionalisation at the input nodes

 (\mathbf{c})

 (\mathbf{i})

- Spring discharge: probabilistic model based on recession curve following the SPI (see POSTER Romano et al. Session HS4.4 Wednesday hall A 17:30-19.00 for details)
- Withdrawals from wells: variable during the year but CONSTANT throughout the simulation



SCENARIOS: water input to the model in different climatic hypothesis (55 years)

 (\mathbf{i})

- a) ORDINARY : same availability as in the past (1952-2007)
- b) NEGATIVE TREND (-8% in 55 years) applied to the hystorical time series
- c) STATIONARY MEAN BUT INCREASED STANDARD DEVIATION (20%)
- d) Combination of NEGATIVE TREND + INCREASED STANDARD DEVIATION



Input to the model : 55 years synthetic time series at weekly time step

 (\mathbf{i})

 (∞)







Vulnerability index

Vulnerability: water deficit normalized by the demand (Jinno et al. 1995)

$$VI_{j}^{i} = \frac{100}{N_{j}^{i}} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N_{j}^{i}} \frac{DF_{j}^{k}}{DM_{j}^{k}}$$



= water deficit (demand-availability) for the node *j* in the week *k*



= water demand for the node *j* in the week *k*



= number of failure weeks during the i_{th} failure period

Vulnerability of the most severe failures





100 SDS00a • SDS00b 90 SDS00c SDS00d 80 70 60 % IN ۵. 50 40 30 20 10 23 29 vear

 \odot

•

Irrigation node CdC





Severe risk as established by local stakeholders:
→ at least 20% of the simulation years (11 out of 55) OR 2 years consecutively show failures as:
a) failure duration ≥ 4 weeks

cc

WITH

b) VI \geq 5% (civil) or \geq 20% (irrigation)

Moderate risk: all other failures



CURRENT SITUATION

 $(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c})$

 (\mathbf{i})



Water demand, management rules and network: forecast for 2015 Reservoir effective volume : current situation Water availability: 4 scenarios











RESERVOIRS FULLY OPERATING



 (\mathbf{i})



STRUCTURAL OPTION EVALUATION



 (\mathbf{i})





CC I







Conclusions

- The proposed procedure provides a synoptic evaluation of the risk of failure in different hypothesis of water availability
- It allows for the preparation of a common platform for a participatory approach in a river basin management planning in view of climate change scenarios

 $(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c})$

Comparing the indices through the graphs and maps gives important and immediate information. This is an important feature that can be appreciated by water managers especially in order to use them in a decision making framework considering negotiating among the main users





Poster Preziosi et al. <u>today</u> Hall A at 17:30 -19:00 Poster Romano et al. Wednesday session HS4.4 Hall A 17:30-19.00

 (\mathbf{i})