Studies of large- and fine-scale atmospheric structure using dense seismic networks

Michael A.H. Hedlin¹ and Doug Drob² ¹ Laboratory for Atmospheric Acoustics, U.C. San Diego ² Upper Atmospheric Physics Section, NRL

Distribution Statement A: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited



April 24, 2012

EGU General Assembly

This talk

Focus on four things:

 Used dense seismic network data to study the spatial extent of infrasound branches and signal dispersion

- 2 Signals from ground-truthed explosions
- 3 Model recordings using rays
- (4) Consider two types of models
 - Ambient background model (G2S)
 - G2S with realizations of gravity waves



Spatial sampling



USArray TA in June, 2007



First event: Focus on spatial extent of wavefield



17,651 kg blast on June 11, 2007

UTTR explosion recorded by TA and four infrasound arrays



Sub thermospheric rays vs data



45° 40° 30° 235° 240° 245° 250° 255°



Vertical components 0.8 - 3.0 Hz BP

Maps of the four branches





Added rays through background G2S





Drob et al. Gravity Wave Model



Drob and colleagues developed method to propagate gravity waves through atmosphere and add to background G2S



It is time dependent



Zonal velocity perturbation



Before adding perturbations ...





... and after (one realization of gravity waves)





Second event: Focus on signal dispersion



Recorded in 2008 by dense network at 300° and part of TA



150-km wide corridor at 300°







Vertical components 0.8 - 3.0 Hz BP

150-km wide corridor at 300°



Vertical components 0.8 - 3.0 Hz BP



150-km wide corridor at 300°







Vertical components 0.8 - 3.0 Hz BP

Added rays shot through background atmospheric specs.







Rays through background model







Rays through perturbed model added







Rays and data







Ray statistics



- Circular bin around each station
- Adjust travel time of each ray for range
- Compute histogram of ray arrival times for each realization



Ray statistics - one realization

2008168 200 400 600 800 Time reduced at 400 m/s

 Histograms of rays through background model (Green) vs rays through gravity wave perturbed model (Red)



Ray statistics

2008168



Average of ten realizations



Ray statistics

2008168



Rays vs envelopes of recorded data



Key findings of study

- Data validate large-scale background atmospheric specs
- ② Rays shot through background G2S do not match signal dispersion or spatial spread of any branch
- ③ Rays shot through perturbed background model accurately predict signal amplitude variation with time, sound penetration into shadow zones near source and away from source



One perturbed atmospheric model

Zonal Velocity Perturbation (m/s) 40 32 24 16 8 -8 -16 -24 -32 -40 -200 -100 100 200 0 Range (km)



Fits independent aspects of the data - dispersion in t, spread in x,y



Key findings of study

- Data validate large-scale background atmospheric specs
- 2 Rays shot through background G2S do not match signal dispersion or spatial spread of any branch
- ③ Rays shot through perturbed background model accurately predict signal duration, amplitude variation with time, sound penetration into shadow zones near source and away from source
- ④ Duration of signals and spatial distribution from seismic data sensitive to amplitude and length scales of the gravity waves



Acknowledgements

- IRIS-DMC for USArray TA data and HLP data
- Matt Fouch (ASU) and David James (Carnegie) for access to HLP data
- Support from NSF under contract EAR-1053576 (M.A.H.H.)
- SMDC under project No. W9113M-06-C-0029 (Modeling work, D.P.D.)
- NASA's GMAO for GEOS-5 data
- NOAA's GFS

