

Effect of Flow Rate, Gravity, and Sub-Core Scale Heterogeneities on CO₂/Brine Relative **Permeability Measurements in Horizontal Core Floods**

Global Climate & Energy Project STANFORD UNIVERSITY



three parameters in the relative permeability functions were chosen to fit the relative permeability data calculated from experimental measurements conducted by Perrin and Benson (2010).





Relative permeability curves are the same for both homogeneous and heterogeneous core studies.

Chia-Wei Kuo and Sally M. Benson

Department of Energy Resources Engineering, Stanford University

Simulation Input : Capillary Pressure Curves

- Berea Sandstone



- Homogeneous cores: one uniform capillary pressure curve for each grid element based on mean porosity and mean permeability values
- values; hence a unique capillary pressure curve

$$P_{c,i}(S_{w}) = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\Phi_{i}}{k_{i}}} J(S_{w})$$

$$(S_{w}) = A(\frac{1}{S^{\lambda_{1}}} - 1) + B(1 - S^{\lambda_{2}})^{1/\lambda_{2}}, S = \frac{S_{w} - S_{p}}{1 - S_{p}}$$
1.E+

To replicate the spatial variations in CO₂ saturation observed in the experiments, the capillary pressure characteristic curve must be different in each grid element.

1.E+06

Boundary Conditions

Inlet BC: Designed to mimic the diffuser plate where CO₂ and brine are injected into the core

Outlet BC: (i) Imposed time-independent Dirichlet boundary condition (P and T remain unchanged) (ii) <u>Capillary pressure gradient</u> between the last rock slice and the outlet slice is set to zero. This condition is needed to mimic the observed lack of large saturations at the downstream end of the core (Fig.2).



Fig. 2 CO₂ saturation along the Berea Sandstone core for different fractional flows of CO₂ at a total injection flow rate 2.6 ml/min: (a) Experimental results; (b) High contrast model with boundary condition $dP_c/dx=0$; (c) High contrast model with boundary condition $P_c=0$

The imposed Dirichlet boundary condition with dP_c/dx=0 qualitatively provides a much better match to the data. Thus, all the simulations presented in this paper are implemented Dirichlet boundary condition with $dP_c/dx=0$.

Simulation Input : Total Injection Flow Rates

The last important input parameter is the total injection flow rate. Flowrates range from <u>10</u> ml/min to 0.1 ml/min, which is practical for relative permeability experiments. For a given flow rate, simulations of co-injection of CO₂ and brine are run until the pressure drop and core-averaged saturation reach steady-state. All of our simulations have been confirmed to run long enough (more than 10 pore volume injected) to reach steady-state.

Simulation Output: Saturation and Pressure Gradient

Example: CO₂ saturation distribution at steady-state for 95% fractional flow of CO₂ at a total injection flow rate 1.2 ml/min



Drainage relative permeability has been calculated based on the main simulation outputs such as gas pressure, capillary pressure and the saturation:

Heterogeneous cores: each grid element has a unique pair of porosity and permeability





 $\Delta P_{CO2} = P_{CO2,inlet} - P_{CO2,outlet}$

 $\Delta P_w = P_{w,inlet} - P_{w,outlet}$ $= (P_{CO2} - P_C)_{\text{inlet}} - (P_{CO2} - P_C)_{\text{outlet}}$ $=\Delta P_{co2} - \Delta P_{c}$

 $q_{w} = \frac{kk_{r,w}}{\mu_{w}} A \frac{\Delta P_{w}}{L} = \frac{kk_{r,w}(S_{w})}{u} A \frac{\Delta P_{CO2} - \Delta P_{C}}{I}, \quad q_{CO_{2}} = \frac{kk_{r,CO_{2}}(S_{CO_{2}})}{u} A \frac{\Delta P_{CO_{2}}}{I}$



CO₂ saturation as a function of the distance from the inlet at a 95% fractional flow of CO₂ over a large range → 6 ml/min of flow rates (0.1 ml/min-6 ml/min): →1.2 ml/min ≻−0.6 ml/min → 0.3 ml/min —0.2 ml/mi The minimum flowrate required eliminating the **→**0.1 ml/min saturation gradient along the length of the core is defined as the "critical flowrate (qcrit)". The combined effect of viscous, gravity, and capillary forces leads to the observed saturation gradient. **Core heterogeneous will enhance the flow rate** Hete: 6 dependency, decrease the average saturation, and increase the saturation gradient. (ii) True Pressure Drop ($\Delta P_w = \Delta P_{CO2} - \Delta P_c$) Homogeneous Homogeneous 🔶 kr (6) q_{crit}~0.5 **——** kr (2.6) $q_{crit} \sim 0.1$ → kr (6) 📥 kr (1.2) ~~ kr (0.5) ~~ kr(0.1) ----kr_input \rightarrow kr (0.5) ----kr_input Heterogeneous Heterogeneous Q_{crit}∼2 🔶 kr (6) q_{crit}~0.5 🔶 kr (6) **—**kr (2.6) **—** kr (2.6) 📥 kr (1.2) ~~kr (0.5) ~~kr (0.5) ~~kr (0.1) ~~kr (0.1) Heterogeneous q_{crit}~1.2 → kr (6) **—** kr (2.6) \rightarrow kr (0.5)_Correction



Simulation Results : Average CO₂ Saturation/Relative Permeability Calculations (i) Same Pressure Drop ($\Delta P_w = \Delta P_{CO2}$) (iii) Capillary Pressure Corrected Pressure Gradient It is possible to estimate capillary pressure gradients based on the average saturation values at the inlet and outlet end. Once saturations at the ends of the core are known, the corresponding capillary pressure values can be estimated from independently measured = 0.2 capillary pressure curves:

Therefore

 $P_{c.inlet} = P_{C} (S_{inlet}), P_{c.outlet} = P_{c} (S_{outlet})$ -P_c (S_{outlet})

$$\Delta P_c = P_C (S_{inlet})$$

permeability measurements.

General Rule: The accurate whole-core relative permeability measurements can be achieved when $N_{cv} = \frac{kLp_{c}^{*}}{H^{2}\mu_{CO}} \frac{A}{q_{T}} \le 10$

which assures that the flowrate is in the viscous-dominated regime. Nev is the capillary number, the ratio of capillary to viscous force.



O The simulation suggests that a relative uniform saturation is required in order to get reliable relative

• Above the critical flowrate (viscous-dominated regime), saturation is constant and flowrate independent, and the calculated relative permeability is also independent of flowrate.

• Below the critical point, decreasing flow rate will result in saturation gradients along the core and hence result in large deviation of relative permeability to water.

 $_{\odot}$ The accurate whole-core drainage relative permeability can be obtained even with the highly heterogeneous core once the flow rate is above the critical value.

Acknowledgement

This project is supported by Global Climate and Energy Project at Stanford University



Contact Information: chiaweik@stanford.edu