A simple nudging scheme to assimilate ASCAT soil moisture data in the WRF model Valerio Capecchi^{1,2}, Bernardo Gozzini^{1,2}

¹Institute of Biometeorology, National Research Council, Italy; ²Consorzio LaMMA, Italy

In the last years several studies dealt with the assimilation of remote sensed soil moisture data in NWP models aimed at improving soil moisture estimations and screen level variables predictions (2 meter temperature and relative humidity)

Satellite soil wetness and NWP model outputs





 \rightarrow new analysis of surface soil moisture

Here a simple nudging scheme is implemented in order to assimilate ASCAT surface soil wetness (Advanced SCATterometer C-band sensor on-board of the MetOp-A satellite) into the WRF (Weather and Research Forecasting) regional weather model

1. Data and Methods

A few preprocessing steps (quality control and Cumulative Distribution Frequency matching) are needed before the assimilation







2. WRF simulations

• EXP run: 1-month long simulation (July 2010) over Central Europe and West Africa where first level soil moisture is nudged towards ASCAT data. Other details:

- Noah land surface model
- $\circ \simeq 12$ km spatial resolution
- \circ 140 rows \times 100 cols (Central Europe); 180 rows \times 140 cols (West Africa)
- CTR run: as in EXP but without assimilation of ASCAT data

Bibliography	Dataset	NWP model	Method
Drusch and Viterbo (2007)	TMI	ECMWF IFS	nudging
Scipal et al. (2008)	ERS	ECMWF IFS	nudging
Mahfouf (2010)	ASCAT	ALADIN model	EKF
Dharssi et al. (2011)	ASCAT	UKMO model	nudging
de Rosnay et al. (2011)	ASCAT	ECMWF IFS	EKF
Draper et al. (2011)	AMSR-E	ISBA (LSM)	EKF
Draper (2011)	AMSR-E	MOSES (LSM)	EKF

CDF matching

- $\theta_A = p_1 + p_2 \times \theta_A$
- $\circ p_2 = var(\theta_N)/var(\theta_A)$
- $\circ p_1 = mean(\theta_N) p_2 \cdot mean(\theta_A)$

The equation of the nudging scheme is shown below:

$$\theta_{(a,l)} = \begin{cases} \theta_{(b,l)} + K(\widehat{\theta}_A - \theta_{(b,l)}) \text{ for } l = 0\text{--}10 \text{ cm} \\ \theta_{(b,l)} & \text{for } l \text{ below } 0\text{--}10 \text{ cm} \end{cases}$$

where $\theta_{(h,l)}$ is the background soil moisture at layer l, θ_A is the CDF corrected ASCAT surface soil moisture and the coefficient K = 0.2 as in Dharssi et al. (2011)



3. Results

Soil moisture estimations were validated against observed data from SMOISMANIA and UDC-SMOS networks in Europe (24 stations) and from AMMA project in West Africa (1 station, Agoufou in Mali). 2-meter temperature predictions were validated against METAR reports (several stations in Europe, 19 stations in West Africa)

Central Europe

• Soil moisture (0-10 cm) - verification skills for 24 stations:



• Soil moisture (0-10 cm) - comparisons with other datasets:



* 2 meter temperature - impact of ASCAT soil wetness assimilation on 2 m temperature estimations is neutral

4. Conclusions

For Central Europe impact of soil wetness assimilation:	Fo
• is broadly neutral or even slightly negative on soil mois-	• i
ture estimations (as discussed in Scipal et al. 2008)	0
o gives results similar to bibliography/other datasets	* i
\star is neutral on 2 m temperature predictions	

Results found demostrate the benefits of the nudging scheme of ASCAT data on soil moisture and screen level temperature estimations especially in those remote areas where the coverage of observational instruments is poor. In widely monitored areas the impact of the assimilation of ASCAT data is almost neutral.





West Africa

• Soil moisture (0-10 cm) - verification skills for Agoufou:

	EXP	CTR
corr	0.504	0.425
bias	-0.015	-0.029
RMSE	0.032	0.042

• Soil moisture (0-10 cm) - diff btwn CTR and EXP:



* 2 meter temperature - verification skills for 19 stations:



r West Africa impact of soil wetness assimilation is positive for soil moisture estimates (Agoufou station) 'adds' water to soil

is positive for 2 m temperature predictions