Extraterrestrial Physics

Jingnan Guo<sup>1</sup>, Cary J Zeitlin<sup>2</sup>, Robert F Wimmer-Schweingruber<sup>1</sup>, Donald M Hassler<sup>3</sup>, Arik Posner<sup>5</sup>, Bernd Heber<sup>1</sup>, Jan Köhler<sup>1</sup>, Scot Rafkin<sup>3</sup>, Bent Ehresmann<sup>3</sup>, Jan K. Appel<sup>1</sup>, David E Brinza<sup>4</sup>, Eckart Böhm<sup>1</sup>, Stephan Böttcher<sup>1</sup>, Sönke Burmeister<sup>1</sup>, Henning Lohf<sup>1</sup>, Cesar Martin-Garcia<sup>1</sup> and Günther Reitz<sup>6</sup>

1, University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany. 2, Southwest Research Institute, Durham, NH, USA. 3, Southwest Research Institute, Boulder CO, USA. 4, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA. 5, Science Mission Directorate, NASA Headquarters, Washington D.C, WA, USA. 6, Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, Köln, Germany.

- rotation.





Variations of dose rate observed by MSL/RAD in transit to Mars



# **Predictions of the Radiation Environment (dose equivalent rate)**

For evaluating the space radiation environment, dose equivalent rate, in Sieverts (Sv), is often derived from dose rate and can be assumed to be proportional to the risk of lifetime cancer induction. It can be approximately estimated to be  $\langle Q \rangle \times D$ , where D is the dose rate and  $\langle Q \rangle$  is the average quality factor, which is a conventional parameter for radiation risk estimation. A value of  $3.82 \pm 0.25$  was measured during MSL's cruise phase (Zeitlin et al. 2013). The extrapolated dose rate estimations at different modulation potential values, shown in Fig. 4, can therefore be used to evaluate the dose equivalent

Fig.5: Annual average of reconstructed modulation potential since 1937 (gray line and dots with units on the left axis; Usoskin 2014) and estimated annual dose equivalent rate derived from different models and detectors (with units on the right axis). Cyan/Green line shows the dose equivalent values derived from the nonlinear model (Eq. 2) based on detector B/E data. Magenta/Red line represents the dose equivalent values derived from the linear model (Eq. 1) based on detector B/E data. Black, yellow, and blue dots represent the data within the range of modulation between 550 and 810 MV which the

• We presented the dose rate data collected by MSL/RAD during its cruise phase

simultaneously with the variation in neutron monitor count rate at Earth and was mainly driven by changes in heliospheric conditions, with a correlation

• A quantitative study of this anti-correlation has been carried out employing two empirical models, which fit the data equally well but with big discrepancies and uncertainties when extrapolated to extreme solar modulation potentials. Therefore future measurements during solar minimum periods are necessary for improving the predictions at this

Total mission GCR dose equivalent can be estimated based on our

• Considering a similar shielding condition, assuming a 180-day, one-way duration as a typical NASA's "Design Reference" Mars mission (Drake et al. 2010), we could estimate a crew taking a round trip to receive about  $360 \pm$ 180 mSv from GCRs under a high solar modulation condition (1200 MV).

 The fastest round trip with on-orbit staging and existing propulsion technologies has been estimated to be a 195-day trip (120 days out, 75 days back with an extra, e.g., 14 days on the surface; Folta et al. 2012). This would result in an even smaller GCR-induced cruise dose equivalent during

• However, this fast round-trip requires extra propulsion, which may result in a reduction of payload mass and a change of shielding conditions which would affect the generation of secondary particles and so as well the dose rates.