# Different Sun-Earth energy coupling between different solar cycles

#### M. Yamauchi

Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Kiruna (M.Yamauchi@irf.se)

**Acknowledgement**: Dst, Kp, AL, and sunspot numbers (RI) are official IAGA and IAA endorsed indices that are provided by World Data Center for Geomagnetism, Kyoto University, Japan (Dst and AL), GFZ, Adolf-Schmidt-Observatory Niemegk, Germany (Kp), and the Royal Observatory of Belgium, Brussels (RI). Including these indices, all data in hourly values are obtained from NASA-GSFC/SPDF through OMNIWeb (http://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/ow.html).



## Motivation

For the same level of sunspot numbers, chance of large aurora (high K) decreased for cycle #24.

⇒ Is this due to change in SW condition?





## Method

(1) Use 50 years NASA OMNI data (1965-2014) of

\* Solar wind parameters (1-hour values)

Akasofu  $\varepsilon' = VB_{tan}^2 \sin^4(\theta_C/2)$ , N<sub>P</sub>, P<sub>SW</sub>, E<sub>Y</sub>=-VB<sub>Z</sub>. Newell d $\Phi$ /dt = (V<sup>2</sup>B<sub>tan</sub>sin<sup>4</sup>( $\theta_C/2$ ))<sup>2/3</sup>,

\* Hourly geomagnetic indices (Dst, Kp, AL)

(2) Divide data into 5 x 10 year or 50 x 1 year and
\* Obtain average geomagnetic response (index) to the same solar wind input for each period

(3) Examine the same including F10.7



#### **Annual averages**





## Dst is more drastic than AL





Similar profiles for both the solar wind energy input (Akasofu  $\epsilon$ ) and density (Np).

## Quick summary (1/3)

Spike years during declining phase (1974, 1983, 1994, 2003)
 ⇒ We need to examine with high-resolution data.

- Otherwise, the Sun-Earth coupling efficiency (response of Dst, Kp, AL) is rather constant until 2004.
- However, the coupling efficiency decreased from ~2006 (with a sharp drop in 2009).
- Even for the same FUV, the efficiency is decreased after 2006  $\Rightarrow$  ionospheric conductivity is not the major caused of #24 specialty.
- Dst is more outstanding than  $AE \Rightarrow M-I$  coupling auroral current system **is not** the major caused of #24 specialty.
- Envelope is somewhat similar to the envelope of solar activity.



#### **10-year averages**





## Quick summary (2/3)

- Nearly the same for #20-23, and #24 is special
- Decreased coupling efficiency is seen only for low to moderate solar wind conditions ( $\epsilon' < 10^2 \text{ W/km}^2$ )
- Again Dst is more outstanding than  $AE \Rightarrow M-I$  coupling auroral current system is not the major caused of #24 specialty.





- (Dst<sub>#24</sub>-5nT)/(Dst<sub>#20-23</sub>-5nT) - Kp<sub>#24</sub>/Kp<sub>#20-23</sub> - AL<sub>#24</sub>/AL<sub>#20-23</sub>



#### Valid for the other input parameters



 $+(Dst_{#24}-5nT)/(Dst_{#20-23}-5nT) + Kp_{#24}/Kp_{#20-23} + AL_{#24}/AL_{#20-23}$ 



## Quick summary (3/3)

• For all types of parameters, decreased coupling efficiency for cycle #24 repeated (during low to moderate solar wind condition). It is valid even moderately southward IMF.

• Ratio saturated at 60% level of previous decades for  $\epsilon$  < 1 W/km²

• For super-storm conditions ( $\epsilon > 1000 \text{ W/km}^2$ ), the coupling efficiency of cycle #24 could be higher  $\Rightarrow$  We need more statistics



#### **Summary and conclusions**

• The Sun-Earth energy coupling efficiency decreased significantly from 2006 for moderate solar wind energy input is moderate ( $\epsilon < 1 \text{ W/km}^2$ that covers 90% of hours), with a sharp drop of response in 2009.

• Decrease is the most outstanding at lower latitude (Dst, Kp) than higher latitude (AE). The FUV flux is not the major player for this decrease.  $\Rightarrow$  M-I coupling does not explain (unlike 2009 drop).



### Implications

• The current scheme of space weather forecast must be modified for coming declining phase.

• The current scheme of re-constructing the solar condition from geomagnetic data need some modification (even after considering F10.7).

• "Strength of the solar cycle" might control the Sun-Earth coupling efficiency  $\Rightarrow$  solution to the above problems?

• Although we need more statistics, the AL response to hazardous solar wind conditions (e.g.,  $\epsilon$ '>10<sup>3</sup> W/km<sup>2</sup>) might be higher than the past  $\Rightarrow$  The coming declining phase can be more dangerous than the past 50 years.



#### Thank you

#### Yamauchi (2015): Earth, Planets and Space, 67, 44 doi:10.1186/s40623-015-0211-5 (CC-BY 4.0) http://www.earth-planets-space.com/content/67/1/44

