

# Contribution of an exposure indicator to better anticipate damage with the AIGA flood warning method: a case study in the south of France

**Clotilde Saint-Martin (1)**, Pierre Javelle (1), Freddy Vinet (3), Johnny Douvinet (2), Catherine Fouchier (1) (1) Irstea, Aix-en-Provence, France, (2) UMR Espace, Avignon, France, (3) UMR GRED, Montpellier, France

# **1. The AIGA method**

There are only 22.700 out of the 120.000 kilometres of the French stream network monitored so far (Fig.1). To cope with this issue, Irstea and Meteo-France have developed an alternative warning system for ungauged basins called AIGA<sup>1</sup>.



Fig. 1 : Proportion of monitored river network by Vigicrues in Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur (SCHAPI)

It is based on a simple distributed hydrological model running at a 1km<sup>2</sup> resolution using radar rainfall information. The warnings result of the comparison of these real time flow simulations with statistical data.

# 2. Objectives

AIGA only focuses on the hazard level and doesn't take into account the infrastructures at risk in the studied areas. Therefore, it cannot assess what the potential damage will be.

Though, to improve the efficiency of the AIGA method :

- We develop an exposure indicator in order to quantify the land-use surrounding a river,
- We implement a damage database in order to validate this indicator.

References

<sup>1</sup> Javelle, Pierre, Demargne, Julie, Defrance, Dimitri, Pansu, Jean, & Arnaud, Patrick. (2014). Evaluating flashflood warnings at ungauged locations using post-event surveys: a case study with the AIGA warning system. Hydrological sciences journal, 59(7), 1390-1402. <sup>2</sup> Saint-Martin, Clotilde, Catherine Fouchier, Pierre Javelle, Johnny Douvinet, and Freddy Vinet. "Assessing the

Exposure to Flooding to Implement a Flood Impact Model for French Mediterranean Basins." In Floodrisk - 3rd European Conference on Flood Risk Management, p. 10. Lyon, France 2016.



Research unit RECOVER Irstea Aix-en-Provence 3275, route Cézanne - CS 40061 13 182 AIX-EN-PROVENCE Cedex 5 **Contact :** clotilde.saint-martin@irstea.fr

## 3. Definition of exposure and risk damage indicators



The **exposure indicator (EI)** that we implement is based on the different types of infrastructures that may be damaged by a flood, namely the networks, buildings and sites with a special function (school, hospital...). • First, we select the infrastructures localised in flood-prone areas, • We prioritize then provide them with a value proportional to the consequences of their potential damage on the functioning of the area,

• We aggregate those values per watershed to obtain a final value corresponding to the exposure of the infrastructures located into each watershed to flooding,

• We sort the latter values into an indicator with 6 levels: from very low to very high exposure.



### In 2015 alone, flash floods caused important damage estimated at several hundred million euros and 27 fatalities in small basins of south of France. Those figures underline once again the need for more efficient and faster flood warnings to enable the population and the stakeholders to be prepared.

Step 4

Aggregate the values by river

To be able to check the relevance of the exposure levels, we compare them with **flood damage**.

- responsible.

# 4. Results

#### **Example : The flood event of** the 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2015

streams (Fig. 4).

# **5.** Conclusions

former events.







• The damage are collected through field work, media and social networks to obtain the most exhaustive information possible, then gathered into a database.

Each damage has precise geographic coordinates to identify the river section whose flooding is

• The database contains almost 800 damage points due to flash floods in South of France since 2011.

During this event, the AIGA method characterized many streams with a high warning level in the same area, making difficult for the rescue services to prioritize their actions (Fig. 2). The combination of those levels with the exposure ones, should enable to prioritize some streams from others.

The impacts are indeed localized near streams with high or very high exposure (Fig. 3).

Though, by combining both levels, we obtain an accurate indicator of the flood risk which, in realtime, would have allowed the stakeholders to identify the most damage generating prone

To conclude, this poster highlights the usefulness of an exposure to flooding indicator to generate more relevant flood warnings for stakeholders and risk managers.

**Next step:** implementing a real-time flood-risk warning system combining both AIGA warnings and our exposure indicator and validating it with damage data taken from

It is the main objective of the ongoing PhD work of **Clotilde Saint-Martin in Irstea**<sup>2</sup>.



