Estimation of yield and water needs of maize crops combining HSTR images with a simple crop model, in the perspective of Sentinel-2 mission

<u>M. Battude</u>*, A. Al Bitar*, A. Brut*, J. Cros*, J-F. Dejoux*, M. Huc*, C. Marais Sicre*, T. Tallec*, V. Demarez* * CESBIO, Université de Toulouse, CNES/CNRS/IRD/UPS, Toulouse, France

BACKGROUND

In the south west of France (temperate mild climate), maize crop represents 60% of the irrigated area and uses up to 80% of irrigation volumes during summer. In this region, water resources are under increasing pressure as a result of global change and of rising competition among the different stakeholders. It is therefore important to develop models which help to optimise irrigation schedule while maintaining reasonable production rates. • High Spatial and Temporal Resolution (HSTR) satellite images (like Sentinel-2) integrated into crop models has a high potential to describe main processes related to the carbon and water cycles at **local** and **regional scales**.

SAFY : BIOMASS & YIELD ESTIMATES

IN SITU VALIDATION :



the south west of France. Fig : Comparison of Simulated and Measured DAM [t.ha-1] for 25 plots located in the south west of France. ✓ SAFY model is able to on Monteith theory (Monteith, 1972). reproduce accurately the *in* situ Dry Aboveground Mass Satellite reflectance (DAM) (RRMSE = 14 %) (Battude *et al.,* submitted) **Climate Data** Land use Map Forced Calibrated **GAI time series** Plant Ta, Rg Rain parameters Agreste values SAFY Simulated Yield FAO temperature stress factor



rainfed fields. Standard errors associated to simulated values are reported.

Fig : Comparison of Simulated yield and Agreste yield statistics [t.ha-1] for the Gers and Haute-Garonne (HG) departments in 2013, with the distinction of irrigated and ✓ Good adequacy of SAFY model and yield statistics without using the soil module (RRMSE = 4.6 %) The GAI seems to be a good indicator for yield prediction ✓ A need to evaluate the contribution of water balance module. May be useful in cases of high water scarcity

CONCLUSION

This approach, combining GAI estimated from HSRT remote sensing data with a crop model based mainly on efficiencies resulted to good estimates of crop biomass (RRMSE = 14 %), regional yields (RRMSE = 4.6%) and seasonal ETR (RRMSE₂₀₀₆ = 32 %).

REFERENCES:

Allen et al., FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56, 1998

Battude et al., Estimation of maize biomass and yield at regional scale : a new methodology based on high spatial and temporal resolution remote sensing data in the perspective of the Sentinel-2 mission, submitted Duchemin et al., A simple algorithm for yield estimates, Environmental Modelling & Software, 23, 876–892, 2008 Duchemin et al., Impact of sowing date on yield and water use efficiency of wheat analyzed through spatial modeling and Formosat images, Remote Sensing, 7, 5951-5979, 2015 Monteith, Solar radiation and productivity in tropical ecosystems, Journal of Applied Ecology, 9, 747–766, 1972

REGIONAL VALIDATION :

OBJECTIVES

To which extent can the combination of frequent optical remote sensing observations (Formosat-2, Landsat-8, SPOT4-Take5, *Deimos-1*) and agronomical modeling improve the determination of maize crop yield and water needs? The Green Area Index (GAI) is an integrative variable that can drive biomass production, but is it a good indicator of water stress ? To assess this question, we compare regional yield estimates using a crop model without (SAFY) and with (SAFY-WB) water balance module.

MODELS AND METHODOLOGY The SAFY-WB model (Duchemin et al., 2015) is tested against data collected on maize fields in SAFY-WB is a coupling between an adapted version of the Water Balance (WB) FAO-56 method (Allen et al., 1998) to calculate crop actual evapotranspiration (ETR) and SAFY (Simple Algorithm For Yield estimates) crop model (Duchemin *et al.,* 2008) that simulates plant development based Soil Map **Flux tower** Forced Calibrated Soil ETR parameters parameters **SAFY-WB** water stress factor Δ_{DAM} = ELUE x F_T(Ta) x Ks x 1 - e^{Kext} x GAI x ε_c x Rg **APAR** $|\text{Ke} \times \text{ET}_0| + |\text{Kcb} \times \text{ET}_0 \times \text{Ks}|$ with $|\text{Kcb}| = |\text{Kcb}_{\text{max}} \times (1 - e^{\text{E}_{\text{trp}} \times \text{GAI}})|$ Transpiration Evaporation --- Yield Water needs (ETR, SWC) DAM Irrigation

ETR =GAI

Ta: Air temperature; Rg: Global radiation; GAI: Green Area Index; DAM: Dry Aboveground Mass

FUTURE WORK

In situ validation of maize water needs is encouraging. Regional validation is still in progress. The model outputs will be validated using an extensive network of *in situ* sensors and water use over around 100 maize fields in the Neste watershed (southwest of France). This work benefits for a large remote sensing dataset and it foreshadows the future applications using **Sentinel-2**.



→ To provide an operationally ready and spatialised maize crop yield estimates algorithm for Sentinel-2 data.

SAFY-WB : BIOMASS, YIELD AND WATER NEEDS ESTIMATES **IN SITU VALIDATION :** With automatic irrigation 2006 (a) Simulated Irrigation (mm) ETR (raw data ETR (raw data.mm) Fig : (a) & (b) Comparison of Simulated and Measured ETR in 2006 with the associated scores (vegetated period in green). (c) Comparison of Simulated and Measured GAI and DAM time series. ✓ Good estimates of ETR (RRMSE = 32 %) and DAM using automatic irrigation algorithm for the 4 years studied \checkmark Automatic irrigation is close to actual irrigation with a gap of 2 mm to 35 mm depending on the year **REGIONAL VALIDATION :** Rainfed maize Irrigated maize (a) (b) * 2007 * 2008 * 2009 10 15 10 SAFY simulated yield [t.ha⁻¹] SAFY simulated yield [t.ha⁻¹] Fig : Comparison of Simulated yield [t.ha-1] with SAFY and SAFY-WB models for (a) irrigated and (b) rainfed fields in the Formosat-2 footprint (24 km²) in 2007, 2008 (rainy year) and 2009 (dry year). ✓ SAFY-WB yield estimates are overall lower than SAFY for rainfed fields due to the impact of water stress

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We thank the « Regional Spatial Observatory » (OSR), the FEDER European funds and the French national funds (Bpifrance) for funding the project. We also thank all the field staff and the data producers. EGU General

European Geosciences Union (EGU) General Assembly - 17-22th April 2016, Vienna, Austri



