

Operational tsunami warning: search for the ad hoc source parameters

Conclusions

- and WCMT inversion.

- (discriminant θ , [4]).
- faulting that are exceptionally large.
- for **Tsunami-EQ**.
- estimations).
- displacements (not tsunami-efficient).

[1] Clément, J, Reymond, D, New Tsunami Forecast Tools for the French Polynesia Tsunami Warning System, Part I: moment tensor, slowness and seismic source inversion, PAGEOPH, 171, 7, 2014. [2] Jamelot, A, Reymond, D, New Tsunami Forecast Tools for the French Polynesia Tsunami Warning System, Part II: Numerical modeling and tsunami height estimation. PAGEOPH, 171, 7, 2015. [3] Kanamori, H, Riviera L., Source inversion of W phase: speeding up seismic tsunami warning, Geophys. J. Int. 175, 222–238, 2008. [4] Newman, A; & Okal, E.A. Teleseismic estimates of radiated energy : the E/M0 discriminant for tsunami earthquakes, 1998. [5] Kanamori, H., and D. L. Anderson, Theoretical basis of some empirical relations in seismology, BSSA, 65, 587–598, 1975. [6] Geller, R., Scalling relations for earthquake source parameters and magnitudes, BSSA, 66, 5, 1501-1523, 1976. [7] Scholz, C. H, Scaling laws for large earthquakes: Consequences for physical models, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 72,1–14, 1982. [8] Wells, D. L. and Coppersmith, K.. New empirical relationships among magnitude, rupture length, rupture width, rupture area, and surface displacement. Bull. Seism. Soc. Am. 84, 974–1002, 1994. [9] Satake, K., Linear and Nonlinear Computations of the 1992 Nicaragua Earthquake Tsunami, PAGEOPH, Vol 44, 1995.





• **Source size** is estimated from **scaling laws** [5],[7][8] • 2 independent methods of source duration: high-frequency P-wave envelope

Source duration of Sumatra-04 remains under-estimated. Rupture velocity is estimated from source duration and source size. Long source duration are not necessarily tsunami-EQ. But Tsunami-EQ have always long source duration. **Tsunami-EQ** show always slow rupture velocity. Tsunami-EQ are identified by strong deficiency of P wave energy

Tsunami-EQ seem to **follow scaling-laws** for the fault area, except for the **slip**

The definition of the seismic moment implies exceptional low µ rigidity values

• Numerical modeling of tsunamis-EQ confirms the exceptional large slip values to reproduce observations (normal slip values gives significant under-

Tohoku-2011 confirms **large slip value**, but is **not** a Tsunami-EQ. **'Snappy events'** shows high apparent stress-drop, associated to small fault