The global atmospheric loading of mineral dust aerosols

Jasper F. Kok

Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California – Los Angeles (UCLA)

To determine global dust impacts on the Earth system, must answer two primary questions:

. What is the size distribution of atmospheric mineral dust? (determines type of dust impact)

2. How much dust is

in atmosphere? (determines magnitude of dust impacts)

Reference: Kok et al., Nature Geoscience, 10, 274-278 (2017)



Collaborators: David Ridley, Chun Zhao, Ronald Miller, Karsten Haustein, Qing Zhou, Colette Heald, Daniel Ward, Samuel Albani



Question 1: What is the size distribution of dust in the atmosphere?

 Used constraints on emitted dust size distribution and atmospheric lifetime to constrain the atmospheric dust size distribution







Current Earth
 system models have
 bias towards fine
 dust

Question 2: How much dust is in the atmosphere?

- Used <u>constraints on dust</u> <u>AOD</u> and <u>extinction</u> <u>efficiency</u> to constrain global dust emission and atmospheric loading
 - F_{emit} = 1700 (1000 2700) Tg/year
 - L_{atm} = 20 (13-29) Tg
 - Current models underestimate dust loading (and emission rate)
- Can use constraints on sizeresolved dust loading to determine dust DRE
 - See talk in room F1 today at 15:45!



Q1: What is the size distribution of atmospheric mineral dust?

Back to home slide

- Size distribution of atmospheric mineral dust depends on:
 - 1. Size distribution at emission
 - 2. Size-resolved dust lifetime



What is emitted dust size distribution?

Globally-averaged emitted dust size distribution

Back to home slide

7 studies of size distribution of emitted dust

 Limited dependence on wind speed and soil properties
 (Gillette 1974: Kok ACP 2011: Rosenberg et

(Gillette, 1974; Kok, ACP, 2011; Rosenberg et al., 2014; Denjean et al., 2016)

- So each data set is a measure of globally-averaged emitted dust size distribution
- Most likely emitted size distribution from maximum likelihood method
 - Bootstrap method provides 95% confidence interval
 - Explicitly accounts for measurement uncertainties
 - Consistent with Kok (PNAS, 2011)





Globally-averaged size-resolved dust lifetime

Back to home slide

- No direct observational constraints
 - Best way to constrain *T* is through compilation of climate model results
 - Obtained size-resolved dust lifetime from 9 (AeroCom) global models
- Dust lifetime decreases
 ~exponentially with size
- Most likely dust lifetime from maximum likelihood method
 - 95% confidence interval from bootstrap method





Globally-averaged size distribution of atmospheric dust

Back to home slide



Q2: How much dust is in the atmosphere?

- Atmospheric dust loading (Latm) depends on:
 - 1. Global extinction of solar radiation by dust (dust aerosol optical depth = dust AOD)
 - This is our strongest observational constraint on the global dust cycle

Back to

home slide

- 2. Optical depth produced per unit mass of dust loading
 - In turn depends on the atmospheric dust PSD



Constraint on global dust AOD

- Collaborated with David Ridley & Colette Heald (MIT) to constrain global dust AOD
 - Used MODIS (Aqua/Terra) and MISR AOD satellite retrievals (superior coverage) in 15 dustdominated regions
 - Calibrated result to AERONET ground-based sun photometers (superior accuracy)
 - Used ensemble of simulations to subtract non-dust AOD
 - Used ensemble of simulations to calculate ratio of global DAOD to DAOD within the 15 regions only (x 1.3 ± 0.1)
 - Propagated uncertainties using bootstrap

Dust AOD = 0.030 ± 0.005



From Ridley, Heald, Kok, and Zhao, ACP, 16, 15097-15117, 2016

Back to home slide

Global AOD per unit mass of PM₁₀ dust loading

Back to home slide



- Extinction efficiency = extinction cross section per geometrical cross-sectional area
- Extinction efficiency difficult to calculate for dust, because irregular and diverse optical properties



From Reid et al., JGR, 2003

Extinction efficiency of irregular dust

Back to home slide

efficiency

ratio

Use range of measured dust shapes and optical properties to calculate corresponding range in *Q*_{ext} (D) (e.g., Reid et al., 2003;

Kandler et al., 2007; Chou et al., 2008)

- Used database of dust extinction efficiency of single particles to convert to ensemble of Q_{ext}(D) values (Meng et al., 2010)
- Plotted is mean of ensemble and 95% CI
- Extinction efficiency of irregular dust is 29 ± 5 % greater than for spherical particles with same volume!
 - Consistent with recent lab measurements (Potenza et al., 2016)



(alreadv known)

Global AOD per unit mass of PM₁₀ dust loading









Global dust loading and emission rate

Back to home slide

- Can now constrain atmospheric loading of PM10 dust
- PDF obtained from bootstrap
 - Accounts for uncertainties in emitted PSD, lifetime, Q_{ext}, DAOD
 - Does not account for possible systematic bias in model lifetimes; changes in optical properties during transport
 - L_{atm} = 20 (13 29) Tg
 - Loading of all other aerosols combined is ~10 Tg (Kinne et al., 2006)
 → dust dominates aerosol mass
 - Global dust emission rate F_{emit} = 1700 (1000 – 2700) Tg/year
- Many current Earth system models underestimate global dust emission and loading

$$L_{\text{atm}} = \int_{0}^{10} \frac{dL_{\text{atm}}}{dD} dD = - \begin{bmatrix} \text{Global} \\ \text{dust AOD} \end{bmatrix} / \begin{bmatrix} \text{AOD per unit} \\ \text{mass of dust} \\ \text{loading} \end{bmatrix}$$
Atmospheric dust loading







From Kok et al., Nature Geoscience, 2017