

# A modified equation to calculate the potential future shoreline position using transects

Introduction

University of Münster

Coastal erosion is an important aspect in shoreline assessment. While process-based models are quite popular for studying shoreline evolution, this study explores a data-driven alternative to geometrically calculate potential future shorelines.



Fig. 1: (a) A typical workflow (top), (b) Illustration of baseline and transects using two shorelines for calculating rate of erosion (bottom)

Pramit Ghosh<sup>1,2</sup> (pramitghosh@uni-muenster.de) and Anirban Mukhopadhyay<sup>1</sup> (1) School of Oceanographic Studies, Jadavpur University, India, (2) Institute for Geoinformatics, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany

## **Developing the modification**

Previous studies using a workflow similar to Fig. 1(a) for predicting future shoreline position did not take into account the orientation of the transects.



Fig. 2: A transect t intersects the last known shoreline s at A. If the annual rate of erosion for this transect is R<sub>t</sub> then the shoreline is expected to move a distance of  $R_t \Delta T$  after a duration of  $\Delta T$  years, indicated by the arc.  $R_t < 0$ indicates erosion and  $R_t > 0$  indicates accretion

- Adding the distance  $R_t\Delta T$  to the x-coordinate of A, ycoordinate of A or both the x- and y-coordinates of A will result in a prediction of points D, B or C respectively — all of which would be erroneous since  $R_t$  is calculated along the transect.
- Instead, the angle  $\theta_t$  transect t makes with the x-axis of the UTM grid is used to calculate the correct position E using Eq. 1. E is obtained by adding  $R_t\Delta T \cos\theta$  (EF) and  $R_t\Delta Tsin\theta$  (AF) to the x- and y-coordinates of A respectively.
- The predicted shoreline p passes through these points calculated for each transect t, t'....

### **Resulting equation**

The angle  $\theta_t$  can be calculated from the x- and y-coordinates of the points of intersection of any two shorelines (say s<sub>n</sub> and **s**<sub>n-1</sub>) with the transect t. The coordinates of the predicted point p after time  $\Delta T$  can thus be written as

> $(p_{x,t})_{n+\Delta T} =$  $(p_{y,t})_{n+\Delta T} =$

where

 $\theta_t = tan$ 

Eq. 1: Resulting equation to predict future shoreline positions after correction for orientation of transects

### Implementation

- Kitts and Nevis respectively giving better results.
- comparison to methods without the modification.

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{(p)}$$

Eq. 2: Calculating deviation between predicted and observed shorelines

### **Discussion and Conclusion**

- removed by including them explicitly in the future.
- might be necessary for large study areas.

#### References

imminent consequences of erosion – present and near future." Submitted (2018). culture." Ocean & Coastal Management (2017).

1. Mukhopadhyay, A., et al. "Threats to coastal communities of Mahanadi delta due to 2. Stancioff, C. E., et al. "Predicting coastal erosion in St. Kitts: Collaborating for nature and



$$= (s_{x,t})_n + R_t \Delta T \cos \theta_t$$
$$= (s_{y,t})_n + R_t \Delta T \sin \theta_t$$

$$\frac{-1}{(s_{x,t})_n - (s_{y,t})_{n-1}}{(s_{x,t})_n - (s_{x,t})_{n-1}}$$

• This model was implemented by [1] and [2] for predictive shoreline analysis in Mahanadi delta, India and St. Kitts, St.

• Validation using Root-Mean-Square Error estimates (Eq. 2) by [1] revealed a mean deviation of 3.5m over all transects between the predicted (p) and the observed shorelines (s) for  $\Delta T = 5$  years resulting in an improvement of >20% in

 $(p_x - s_x)^2 + (p_y - s_y)^2$ 

• This study **assumes** that the **trend** and the **cumulative effect** of individual natural and anthropogenic processes affecting shoreline erosion remains unaltered. These could be

• Use of a conformal projection is necessary for preserving angles and thus a Transverse Mercator projection was used. Distortion of distances are negligible but corrections

