

A simple theory and data based model of wheat yield

Shengchao Qiao¹ (e-mail: qsc17@mails.Tsinghua.edu.cn), Han Wang¹, I. Colin Prentice^{1,2,3}, Sandy P. Harrison^{1,4} ¹Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modeling, Department of Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China; ²AXA Chair of ₆ Biosphere and Climate Impacts, Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, UK; ³Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, Australia; ⁴School of Archaeology, Geography and Environmental Sciences (SAGES), University of Reading, UK.



- η^* : the viscosity of water, relative to its value at 25 °C;
- *D* : vapor pressure deficit (kPa);
- *K* : the effective Michaelis-Menten coefficient of Rubisco (Pa);
- c^* : the cost factor for electron transport ($c^*=0.41$);
- β : the ratio of cost factors for carboxylation and transpiration (β =146); N: total application of nitrogen (kg hm⁻²).



Wheat yield: observations V.S. PC model predictions

LPICEA



Model Intercomparison in the future scenarios



Data

Sites	Data span	PPFD	Т	RH	CO ₂	LAI	AB	Yield	Fertilization	Wheat	Flux data
		$(\text{mol } \text{m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$	(°C)	(%)	(ppm)		$(g m^{-2})$	(g m ⁻²)	Irrigation	variety	$(g C m^{-2} day^{-1})$
WeiShan	2006	#	#	#	#	#					#
YuCheng	2004-2015	$\sqrt{#}$	$\sqrt{#}$	$\sqrt{\#}$	$\sqrt{#}$	$\sqrt{\#}$	$\sqrt{*}$		\checkmark		#*
ChangShu	2004-2015	\checkmark					\checkmark		\checkmark		
ChangWu	2004-2015	\checkmark					\checkmark		\checkmark		
FengQiu	2004-2015	\checkmark					\checkmark		\checkmark		
LuanCheng	2004-2015	\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark		
YanTing	2004-2015	\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark		
HaiLun	2005-2006										
LaSa	2004-2015										
LinZe	2006										
NaiMan	2006										
ShaPotou	2006										
$\sqrt{:}$ data used to test PC model; #: data used to test P model:											

Conclusions

of AB to GPP;

(1) The simple PC model can successfully capture the observed interannual variability of wheat yields at all agriculture sites.

(2) The simple PC model produces similar results as the complex LPJml model in the future scenarios

(3) The PC model yields insights into how wheat yields respond to environmental variations. A constant harvest index can not be assumed; instead the relationship between yield and AB follows a saturation curve, with both nitrogen addition and crop variety influencing the relationship.