## Potentials for Power-to-Gas based subsurface energy storage in China Jianli Ma<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Qi Li<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Natalie Nakaten<sup>3</sup>, Michael Kühn<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Rock and Soil Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China <sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China <sup>3</sup>GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, 14473 Potsdam, Germany <sup>4</sup>University of Potsdam, 14469 Potsdam, Germany



Supported by IRSM-GFZ Subsurface Utilization of Captured Carbon and Energy Storage System (Grant NO.1plus1-2018-01) and China Scholarship Council (Grant No.201804910875)



Corresponding author: qli@whrsm.ac.cn

EGU2019-14691



Ma et al. (2018)

# China contributes more than 25% of the installed capacity of renewable energy (RE) in the world

#### CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions control in China

- Peak of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions will be reached in 2030
- Eight large-scale CCS (Carbon Capture and Storage) projects in development or construction





Li et al. (2017); REN 21 (2017);

Global carbon capture and storage institute (2017);

Energy research institute national development and reform commission of China (2015)



### CCS reduces the process and economic efficiency and could be optimized by integration of CCUS

#### Instead of CCS only it should be combined with CCUS (Utilization)

- Pure CCS technology is not cost-effective
- Integration of the effective utilization of CO<sub>2</sub> is necessary

#### Excess energy loss due to intermittency and low controllability of renewables

Year	The national average rate of abandoned wind (%)	Abandoned wind power losses (10 <sup>8</sup> kWh)	Electricity loss (10 <sup>8</sup> RMB)	Raw coal (10 <sup>4</sup> t)
2011	16.23	123	66	5665
2012	17.12	208	112	9474
2013	10.74	162	88	7294
2014	8.00	126	68	5662
2015	15.00	339	183	15234
Summatio	n 13.00	959	518	43330

China wind energy abandoned from 2011 to 2015



Three Gorges hydropower station







## Power-to-Gas (PtG): potential future energy system to even out fluctuation of RE and to consume CO<sub>2</sub>



#### Flow chart of PtG energy system

#### Electrolysis

 $2H_2O \longrightarrow 2H_2 + O_2$ 

Methanation

 $CO_2 + 4H_2 \longrightarrow CH_4 + 2H_2O$ 

Storage

Surface and subsurface

Regeneration







# Long-term storage of large amounts of RE is only possible via the PtG technology and synthetic methane



Energy efficiency of whole process can go down to **30-40%**, a level is also typical for conventional coal fired steam power plants. Development is expected to reach **40-50%** by 2030.





### Different subsurface storage schemes available



Subsurface energy storage

 Superior economy and large storage capacity compared to surface gas tanks

Storage in different formations

- No mixing of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>
- Specific geological conditions required
- Energy waste without cushion gas

#### Storage in the same formation

- Economic benefits using cushion gas
- Mixing problem can not be avoided







### Occurrence of excess wind and solar energy needs superposition with reservoirs in sedimentary basins



Wind energy

Solar energy

Sedimentary basins

- Abundant resources of wind and solar energy that provide excess energy are mainly located in West and North of China, especially in Xinjiang, Inner Mongolia, Gansu, Qinghai and Xizang
- Based on the distribution of RE, suitable potential reservoirs are in **Zhungeer**, **Tarim**, Qaidam, Ordos, and Songliao Basin





# Northwest of China along the WEPP seems to be the best choice for PtG based subsurface energy storage

- 25 conventional underground gas storage facilities are mostly located close to the large CO<sub>2</sub> emission sources
- Large demand of energy storage
- Fragmented structures and insufficient RE in the East of China may restrict scale and efficiency of the PtG
- WEPP (West East Pipeline Project) connects energy production and consumption areas improving the feasibility of PtG



Gas storage facilities and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China







# Application of a techno-economic model to determine overall costs of PtG based subsurface energy storage



Costs of electricity (COE) is 204 € / MWh





# PtG based subsurface energy storage is economically viable compared to other energy storage technologies

#### **Energy production technologies**

POTSDAM



### Potential for undesired negative consequences: gas leakage and induced seismicity





Earth and Environmental Sciences Area (EESA); Wilson et al. (2017)



## Power-to-Gas based on subsurface energy storage has huge potential for application in China

- Ambitious emission reduction targets
- Worldwide 28% of installed capacity of RE
- Sedimentary basins offer the Long-term and large-scale storage of energy
- Competitive energy efficiency and expected to be improved
- Northwest of China along the WEPP seems to be the best choice for site selection
- Economically viable compared to other energy storage technologies
- Potential for undesired negative consequences
- Pilot project needs to be built in the near future





Thank you very much for your attention!

