

Modelling future glacier evolution: Which feedbacks are relevant?

Matthias Huss (1,2,3), Enrico Mattea (1), Andreas Linsbauer (1,4) and Martin Hoelzle (1)

(1) Department of Geosciences, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland

(2) Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL), Birmensdorf, Switzerland

(3) Laboratory of Hydraulics, Hydrology and Glaciology (VAW), ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

(4) Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Study site: Vadret da Morteratsch

Well studied valley glacier in the South-Eastern Swiss Alps (15 km²)

(e.g., Oerlemans et al., 2009, 2017; Zekollari et al., 2013, 2014; Machguth et al., 2008; Huss et al., 2010 etc.)





Particular public interest due to a project aiming at large-scale artificial snow production for saving the glacier («MortAlive») (Oerlemans et al., 2017)

The approach

Glacier Evolution Runoff Model (GERM, Huss et al., 2008)

- Spatially distributed, process-based mass balance computation
- Mass-conserving parameterization for glacier retreat, validated against a high-order 3D ice-flow model
- Calibration on observed ice volume change
- Detailed validation with various data sets covering the last 150 years: length change, volume change, point mass balance, discharge

New approaches / processes implemented

- Different melt models, including a process-based full distributed energybalance model
- 2. Effect of surface debris-coverage and spatio-temporal evolution
- **3.** Potential impact of proglacial lakes
- 4. Effect of large-scale artificial snow production (MortAlive, Oerlemans et al., 2017)

Compare effect of submodels / parameterizations *turned off/on against reference simulation*

Input data

- Nearby meteorological records (Corvatsch, Sils) (MeteoSwiss)
- Glacier inventories (Fischer et al., 2014; GLAMOS)
- Digital elevation models (incl. volume change) for 1875, 1935, 1955, 1985, 2008, 2015 (Bauder et al., 2007; Huss et al., 2010)
- In-situ point mass balance data (Zekollari & Huybrechts, 2018)
- Glacier length change since 1850 (GLAMOS)
- Catchment runoff (BAFU)
- Ice thickness distribution (measured, interpolated using different approaches) (Langhammer et al., 2019; Huss&Farinotti, 2012)
- Climate projections until
 2100 based on regional
 climate models (CH2018)

Ice thickness



Glacier inventories



Modelled evolution of future ice volume



Three CO₂-emission pathways (RCPs) → Loss of between >50% and almost everything

68 regional climate model runs (dashed lines) Thick lines show mean for respective RCP

Just considering main branch (Morteratsch)

Modelled evolution of future glacier length



Morteratsch tongue remains in valley floor until 2100 in the very best case (RCP2.6)

Check out: https://youtu.be/vgwSn49tss

Evolution of the Morteratsch glacier system Matthias Huss & Enrico Mattea

UNI UNIVERSITÉ DE FRIBOURG

Model: temperature index **Climate scenario:** RCP4.5





2.0

20

Area [km²]

Sources: topography and past glacier surfaces by swisstopo --- meteorological data by MeteoSwiss --- climate scenarios by CH2018 --- glacier outlines by GLAMOS and GLIMS --- bedrock from ETH Zurich, interpolated by GlaTE and HF2012 --- glacier modelling by Matthias Huss --- data processing and visualization by Enrico Mattea.

Evolution of the Morteratsch glacier system Matthias Huss & Enrico Mattea

UNI FR UNIVERSITÉ DE FRIBOURG UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG

Model: temperature index Climate scenario: RCP2.6





2.0

1.6

20

Area [km²]

Sources: topography and past glacier surfaces by swisstopo --- meteorological data by MeteoSwiss --- climate scenarios by CH2018 --- glacier outlines by GLAMOS and GLIMS --- bedrock from ETH Zurich, interpolated by GlaTE and HF2012 --- glacier modelling by Matthias Huss --- data processing and visualization by Enrico Mattea.

Evaluation of model uncertainties



Different types of glacier melt models implemented agree well with each other → Robust result!

Full energy balance model versus temperature-index model:

Although melt models strongly differ in their complexity, the average future glacier evolution is the same

Results for entire glacier system (RCP4.5)

Assessing the impact of sub-models – energy-balance components



somewhat less mass loss without taking into account scenarios for humidity of the air mass

somewhat less mass loss by 2100 without taking into account changes in short-wave radiation somewhat more mass loss without changes in wind speed

Small impact overall, but maybe worth to be considered

Assessing the impact of sub-models – debris cover



Debris coverage is moderate at present (purple, ca. 10%)

Only minor impact of thickening and expanding debris coverage! NOT accounting for debris at all leads to larger glacier volume by 2100! (Explained by mass balance sensitivity, calibrated with observations) Matthias Huss 4.5.2020 | 11

Feedbacks of debris cover are relatively small



Assessing the impact of sub-models

- ice albedo decrease, shading at valley floor, potential lakes



somewhat less mass loss when NOT accounting for future ice ice albedo decrease more mass loss when NOT accounting for lowering of glaciers into the shaded valley very small impact when NOT accounting for impact of proglacial lakes (compensating effects of glacier area!)

Rather small impacts of feedbacks

Modelling future glacier change:

(1) Most important uncertainty: Future climate evolution

(2) Data basis for model initialisation (ice thickness) and calibration (seasonal mass balance, volume change etc.) is crucial!

(3) Impact of model choice and various feedback effects relatively limited

compared to (1) and (2)









How much snow can be produced?

A full glacio-hydrological model for water availability and producibility of snow under local meteorological conditions

Bottleneck: Water availability!

Three scenarios: potential: infinite amount of water available for snow production

realistic: Reservoir lake with 2 mio. m³, actually available amount of water

No water storage installed

Effect of artificial snow production (RCP4.5)



POT. (potential): infinite amount of water available

REAL. (realistic): Reservoir lake with 2 mio m³, actually available amount of water

Reference: no artificial interaction

Just main branch (Morteratsch)

➔ Artificial snow production cannot save the glacier but reduce losses!

Evolution of the Morteratsch glacier system

Matthias Huss & Enrico Mattea

UNI FR UNIVERSITÉ DE FRIBOURG UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG

Model: temperature index Climate scenario: RCP4.5 realistic snow





Sources: topography and past glacier surfaces by swisstopo --- meteorological data by MeteoSwiss --- climate scenarios by CH2018 --- glacier outlines by GLAMOS and GLIMS --- bedrock from ETH Zurich, interpolated by GlaTE and HF2012 --- glacier modelling by Matthias Huss --- data processing and visualization by Enrico Mattea.

Animations

Median of CO2-emission scenarios:https://youtu.be/vgwS-n49tssRCP4.5https://youtu.be/KW1uKvO56iARCP8.5https://youtu.be/kK54zeb3tAsRCP2.6

With artificial interaction (snow production):https://youtu.be/6mfwZEgfe24https://youtu.be/9qZk8QusJc4

RCP4.5, unlimited water availability RCP4.5, realistic water availability (feasible storage lake)

References

Bauder, A., Funk, M., & Huss, M. (2007). Ice-volume changes of selected glaciers in the Swiss Alps since the end of the 19th century. Annals of Glaciology, 46, 145-149. Fischer, M., Huss, M., Barboux, C., & Hoelzle, M. (2014). The new Swiss Glacier Inventory SGI2010: relevance of using high-resolution source data in areas dominated by very small glaciers. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 46(4), 933-945.

Huss, M., Farinotti, D., Bauder, A., & Funk, M. (2008). Modelling runoff from highly glacierized alpine drainage basins in a changing climate. Hydrological processes, 22(19), 3888-3902.

Huss, M., & Farinotti, D. (2012). Distributed ice thickness and volume of all glaciers around the globe. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 117(F4).

Huss, M., Usselmann, S., Farinotti, D., & Bauder, A. (2010). Glacier mass balance in the south-eastern Swiss Alps since 1900 and perspectives for the future. Erdkunde, 119-140.

Langhammer, L., Grab, M., Bauder, A., & Maurer, H. (2019). Glacier thickness estimations of alpine glaciers using data and modeling constraints. Cryosphere, 13(8), 2189-2202.

Machguth, H., Purves, R. S., Oerlemans, J., Hoelzle, M., & Paul, F. (2008). Exploring uncertainty in glacier mass balance modelling with Monte Carlo simulation. The Cryosphere, 2(2), 191-204.

Oerlemans, J. (2001). Glaciers and climate change. CRC Press.

Oerlemans, J., Giesen, R. H., & Van den Broeke, M. R. (2009). Retreating alpine glaciers: increased melt rates due to accumulation of dust (Vadret da Morteratsch, Switzerland). Journal of Glaciology, 55(192), 729-736.

Oerlemans, J., Haag, M., & Keller, F. (2017). Slowing down the retreat of the Morteratsch glacier, Switzerland, by artificially produced summer snow: a feasibility study. Climatic Change, 145(1-2), 189-203.

Zekollari, H., Huybrechts, P., Fürst, J. J., Rybak, O., & Eisen, O. (2013). Calibration of a higher-order 3-D ice-flow model of the Morteratsch glacier complex, Engadin, Switzerland. Annals of Glaciology, 54(63), 343-351.

Zekollari, H., Fürst, J. J., & Huybrechts, P. (2014). Modelling the evolution of Vadret da Morteratsch, Switzerland, since the Little Ice Age and into the future. Journal of Glaciology, 60(224), 1155-1168.

Zekollari, H., & Huybrechts, P. (2018). Statistical modelling of the surface mass-balance variability of the Morteratsch glacier, Switzerland: strong control of early melting season meteorological conditions. Journal of Glaciology, 64(244), 275-288.