water isotope signatures.



Fig. 1: Isotopic variation ( $\delta^2$ H and  $\delta^{18}$ O) over the course of the experiment

Monitoring tree species-specific water uptake strategies via continuous in-situ stable water isotope measurements

Natalie Orlowski<sup>(1)</sup>, Stefan Seeger<sup>(1)</sup>, David Mennekes<sup>(1)</sup>, Hugo de Boer<sup>(2)</sup>, Markus Weiler<sup>(1)</sup>, Michael Rinderer<sup>(1)</sup>

- (1) Chair of Hydrology, Albert-Ludwigs-University of Freiburg, Germany natalie.orlowski@hydrology.uni-freiburg.de www.hydhyd.uni-freiburg.de
- (2) Environmental Sciences, Utrecht University, Netherlands H.J.deBoer@uu.nl

Acknowledgments: Funded by Scientific Society Freiburg

I





# In-situ stable water isotope probes allow for high-frequency monitoring of soil and xylem This helps to observe fast changes in tree species-specific water uptake patterns.





## **METHODS**

- Three 20 year-old trees: pinus, alnus, quercus
- Planted into clayey silt

 Isotope labeling with deuterated water as irrigation water (three campaigns) (Fig. 1)

#### Equipment:

• In-situ porous-membrane isotope probes in soils and tree xylem

- Soil moisture and temperature probes
- Soil matric potential probes
- Sapflow sensors
- Portable photosynthesis system (LI-COR)
- Climate station

Comparison with destructive samples:

• 4 samplings: Soils (2 depths), tree xylem (2 heights) per pot

 Destructive extraction: cryogenic vacuum extraction and vapour equilibration (bag) method

### RESULTS



Fig. 3: Comparison of destructive (cryogenic extraction and vapour equilibration (bag) method) with continuous in-situ measurements for the three tree species (<u>AInus</u>, <u>Pinus</u>, <u>Quercus</u>)

Tree-specific isotope label water uptake (Fig.1):

#### Alnus:

Distinct response in xylem and soil (30cm)  $\delta^2 H$ values after 1 day for both labelings; Soil isotopic signature more responsive in 30cm than in 15cm

#### Pinus:

Distinct reaction in xylem  $\delta^2$ H values (15cm) after 1 day; Similar isotopic dynamics but higher  $\delta^2 H$ values in 15cm than in 30cm soil depth

#### Quercus:

Slower response in tree xylem  $\delta^2 H$  signatures, but quick response in the soil



#### In-situ vs. destructive sampling:

Destructive samples show a wider isotopic spread but were mostly consistent with in-situ measurements, especially before the labeling.

For the most part, soil  $\delta^2 H$  values of the destructive methods were more positive than the values obtained from the in-situ measurements.

We observed difference in the performance of the methods depending on the tree species.

### CONCLUSION

• In-situ isotope measurements are a powerful tool to trace ecohydrological fluxes.

• In-situ isotope measurements compared reasonably well to isotope values obtained via destructive sampling but offer less invasive measurements in much higher temporal resolution.

• We observed very heterogeneous isotopic behavior in soils and trees under controlled conditions.

 All tree species showed quick responses to the isotopic labeling.