Wildfire susceptibility mapping via machine learning: the case study of Liguria Region, Italy
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Motivation & Objectives

The identification of areas most vulnerable to fire risk is a key tool in wildfire management, particularly in view of the limited availability of fire risk management resources.

Wildfire susceptibility mapping allows to identify these areas, assessed defining a rank from low to high. These are elaborated taking into account two aspects: where the wildfires occur and which are the predisposing factors.

Objectives:

- Elaborate wildfire susceptibility mapping for Liguria region (Italy) with a Machine Learning approach (Random Forest)
- Identify the most influential factors in determining high susceptibility areas
Input Data

- DEM (digital elevation model) and derivatives (slope, northness, and eastness);
- Distance from anthropogenic features (urban areas, roads, pathways, crops);
- Vegetation type (categorical variable with 37 classes);
- % of neighboring vegetation type surrounding each pixel;
- Protected areas.
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RF allows to measure the **relative importance of each variable** on the prediction. This is obtained by looking at how much the tree nodes, which use that variable, reduce the mean square errors across all the trees in the forest.

The **vegetation type** variable was a **categorical** one, composed of **37 different classes**. The importance of each class is validated through **Partial dependence plots**, which give a graphical depiction of the marginal effect of a single class on the class on the variable importance on overall susceptibility. Such marginal effect can be positive (class augmenting fire susceptibility) or negative (class decreasing fire susceptibility)
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- Classes of vegetation **dampening** susceptibility: chestnut, *Fagus*, *Quercus Cerris*, *Orno-ostrietum*
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Validation

➢ For RF the prediction error is assessed by evaluating predictions on the “out-of-bag” data, which were not used in the training subset.

➢ The testing and the OOB mean squared error are in our case both equal to about 0.2 for winter season and to 0.17 for summer season, attesting the robustness of the model.

➢ To assess the methods prediction capabilities, an independent dataset (BA 2016-2017) was employed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%</th>
<th>Summer 2016</th>
<th>Summer 2017</th>
<th>Winter 2016</th>
<th>Winter 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

• Higher class match with the observed burned areas, which are closer to the coast in summer and develop along the interior in winter.

• The RF algorithm seems to achieve good performances and has the advantage of being able to extract knowledge and insights from data, and developing promising ranking of variables and categorical classes.

• In summary, RF seems to be a promising alternative to deterministic or statistical expert-based method for wildfire susceptibility mapping.
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