

# **Rotational sensor on a volcano: New insights from Etna, Italy**

Eva P. S. Eibl<sup>1</sup> (eva.eibl@uni-potsdam.de), G. Currenti<sup>2</sup>, J. Wassermann<sup>3</sup>, P. Jousset<sup>4</sup>, D. Vollmer<sup>1</sup>, D. Contrafatto<sup>2</sup>, G. Larocca<sup>2</sup>, D. Pellegrino<sup>2</sup>, M. Pulviventi<sup>2</sup>, S. Yuan<sup>3</sup>

- 1: Institute of Geosciences, University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany
- 2: INGV, Catania, Italy
- 3: Geophysical Observatory Fürstenfeldbruck, Ludwigshöhe 8, 82256 Fürstenfeldbruck, Germany

4: GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

### **1. Abstract**

- 294 local earthquakes on Etna from 24.8–23.9.2019 (INGV catalog, Gruppo Analisi Dati Sismici, 2020) recorded by rotational sensor & seismometer
- Record of the full wavefield: 3 translational & 3 rotational motions • Earthquake location using (i) only horizontal rotational components and (ii) vertical rotation rate & transverse acceleration
- Location codes using the horizontal rotational component (Wassermann et al. 2020, Yuan et al. 2020) yield consistent result • SH phase velocities derived from (ii) in the range of a few 100 m/s for local earthquakes at a few kilometres distance





Ú

GFZ

lelmholtz-Zentrun

POTSDAM

- Moving tremor source detected
- Measuring the full wave field is better than measuring only translation  $\rightarrow$  More accurate understanding of processes
- Earthquake & tremor location possible using recordings from one site  $\rightarrow$  Fewer sensors  $\rightarrow$  easier to maintain & install
  - $\rightarrow$  array-like results without frequency constraint

## 2. The sensor: blueSeis-3A

- Fiberoptic gyroscope
- First portable, broadband, low noise sensor
- High dynamic range for measuring rotational ground motion in 3 components
- detailed sensor performance characterization crucial for reliable seismic observations
- Available sensors need to be tested





## 5. Methods: Back azimuth and phase velocity calculation

- 1) back azimuth calculation using only rotational sensor: Extracting the principle polarization component from E & N rotation rates Wassermann et al. (2020):
- orthogonal distance regression focussed on SV-type or Rayleigh waves
- direction estimations using the horizontal rotational motion components only
- 180° ambiguity resolved: corresponding acceleration & rotational seismograms are in phase for correct quadrant
- Yuan et al. (2020):
- singular value decomposition
- covariance matrix of horizontal rotational components
- 2 components of eigenvector of largest eigenvalue used to calculate direction



• Challenges: large weight, large size, high power consumption

**Pros**: Cons: • Based on Sagnac effect, no inertia, • closed loop sensor (higher no moving parts noise) high power consumption • flat response over a large • high sensor self noise frequency range (DC - ~ kHz) • no sensitivity to translational motion closed loop sensor (larger dynamic range) 





- Rayleigh waves:
- Rotation rate  $\omega_{z} = 0$
- Linear particle motion in horizontal plane (red line)
  - Arc tangent or orthogonal distance regression of  $\omega_x$  and  $\omega_y$  to find direction (blue arrow)

## 2) back azimuth calculation using 6C:

#### Wassermann et al. 2016:

- orthogonal distance regression technique focussed on SH-type waves - rotation of the horizontal components of the translational motion - regression on transverse acceleration & vertical rotation rate for direction estimate  $\omega_{z}$ 



 $(\mathbf{0})$ 







- direction (blue arrow)
- Orthogonal distance regression of  $\omega_z$  and v<sub>Transverse</sub> to find direction

Overlap: 0%	
c) Wassermann et al. (2020)	
assuming Rayleigh waves	
Moving window: 90 s	
Overlap: 50%	
2	
d) Wassermann et al. $(2020)_{\pm}$	
assuming SV waves	240
Moving window: 90 s	
Overlap: 50%	
-	<sup>2</sup> № 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

August/ September 2019

## 9. Future work

- Compare & test different codes for back azimuth & phase velocity calculation using active sources
- Compare with back azimuth calculation from 3C of seismometer
- Compare rotation derived from broadband array to rotational sensor output
- Compare rotation derived from broadband array and DAS record
- Determine Rayleigh wave velocities from the rotation rate
- Perform a simple inversion for the shallow velocity structure below the station

modified from Lefevre, 2014



• Tilt correction of seismic translational signals

#### 3. Field site & experiment on Etna • Pizzi Deneri, INGV Observatory • 23 August - 23 September 2019 • 1 rotational sensor in the middle of: - 26 station broadband seismic array - 1 fibre-optic cable for Distributed Acoustic Sensing (DAS) PI: P. Jousset • Power: - 3 solar panels, 140 W each - 3 batteries, 70 Ah each



blue line = expected direction based on INGV catalog

#### **10. References:**

https://tinyurl.com/mab6pen

■新希治■ • Gruppo Analisi Dati Sismici, 2020. Catalogo dei terremoti della Sicilia Orientale - Calabria Meridionale (1999-20202). INGV, Catania.



• Wassermann, J., A. Wietek, C. Hadziioannou, and H. Igel (2016). Toward a single-station approach for microzonation: Using vertical rotation rate to estimate Love-wave dispersion curves and direction finding, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 106, no. 3, 1316–1330

Wassermann, J., Bernauer, F., Shiro, B., Johanson, I., Guattari, F., & Igel, H. (2020). Six-axis ground motion measurements of caldera collapse at Kīlauea Volcano, Hawai'i – More Data, More Puzzles? Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2019GL085999. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085999

• Yuan, S., Simonelli, A., Lin, C., Bernauer, F., Donner, S., Braun, T., Wassermann, J., Igel, H., (2020) 6 Degree-of-freedom broadband groundmotion observations with portable sensors: Validation, local earthquakes, signal processing, BSSA