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The Gutenberg-Richter law of injection-induced seismicity

\[ \log N_M(t) = a(t) - bM \]

\[ \log N_M(t) = \Sigma + \log Q_{\text{inject}}(t) - bM \]

Seismogenic Index: \( \Sigma \)

Shapiro et al, 2007 (GRL);
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$\Sigma$ at various sites + Groningen


Modified from (Dinske & Shapiro, 2013)
Figure 0-1. Pohang EGS location and the schematics of the two exploration wells PX-1 and PX-2.
Fig. 1-2. Injection, flow back and net injection volumes during five hydraulic stimulations conducted at PX-1 and PX-2 geothermal wells.
Fig. A-4-2. Temporal distribution of EGS project activity and seismicity of events whose magnitude was determined.
Figure 0-12. Gutenberg-Richter magnitude frequency diagram. Solid symbols correspond to earthquakes occurring during or following the five well stimulations at the Pohang EGS facility. The dashed line has the formula $\log_{10}(N) = 2.0 - 0.73 \, M_L$ and was determined using the method of Tinti and Mulargia [1985]. Open symbols include foreshocks, mainshock and stimulation events.
Simplifying Assumptions

- An effective homogeneous infinite porous continuum.
- Monotonic independent injections.
- A complete recharge during the time periods between injections.
- Triggering by pore pressure.
Σ at Pohang EGS as a function of time
$\Sigma$ at Pohang EGS as a function of injected volume
Σ at various sites

Poisson Process: Event Probability

\[ W_{ev \geq M} = 1 - \exp[-N_M] \]

\[ W_{ev \geq M_{max}} = 1 - \exp[-1] \approx 0.63 \]

\[ W_{ev \geq M} = 1 - \exp[-V_f(t)10^{\Sigma-bM}] \]
Event probability of a magnitude $> M_w$ during the injection

$M_w$

- **Red**: Pohang, $SI=-1$, $b=0.65$
- **Blue**: Pohang, $SI=-2$, $b=0.65$
- **Green**: Basel, $SI=0.25$, $b=1.44$
Event probability of a magnitude $> M_w$ after the injection.
Conclusions

The seismogenic index, $\Sigma$, of the Pohang site is approximately between $-2$ and $-1$. During the stimulation, we observe a tendency of $\Sigma$ to increase with time: possibly an indication of a gradual stimulation of seismically more active zones.

The event of Mw3.3 on April 15th of 2017 indicated a jump of $\Sigma$ to $-1$.

Our estimate of the probability of the Pohang earthquake is approximately 15%.

One of decisive factors was the low b value. A combination of a low b-value and a rather high seismogenic index made the probability of the Mw5.5 event significant.

A termination of all injection operations after the Mw3.3 event would reduce the probability of an Mw5.5 event down to approximately 3%. Their termination at Mw2.3 would reduce it down to approximately 1%.

A real-time seismic monitoring permitting a precise 3-D event location and an estimating of the temporal evolutions of the stimulated volume geometry and of the seismogenic index could potentially help to prevent or to delay the occurrence of such an earthquake.