



Analysis of CO₂ content near Russian cities from OCO-2 satellite measurements

Anastasia Nikitenko, Yury Timofeyev, Yana Virolainen, Ivan Berezin, and Alexander Polyakov
Saint-Petersburg State University, Atmospheric Physics Department, Saint-Petersburg, Russian Federation (y.timofeev@spbu.ru)

We performed comparisons of the OCO-2 satellite measurements data that vary from high a quality flag (index «0») to average quality flag (index «1»). These ensembles differ for all investigated cities in the number of measurement days, the total number of CO₂ measurements, and the spatial and temporal coverage. For example, a high-quality ensemble covers ~ 90% of the spring and summer months, i.e. provides an opportunity to study CO₂ variations in the warm season. The ensemble of measurements with average accuracy more evenly covers the entire year. The OCO-2 satellite data give the column-averaged dry-air mole fraction of CO₂, called (XCO₂). XCO₂ satellite data have been analyzed in some cities. We prepared and comprised: days and number of measurements, minimal and maximal values, amplitudes of variations, etc. for XCO₂. (Table 1). The analysis of OCO-2 data with average a quality flag (index «1») for St. Petesburg and Moscow were published [1,2]

Table 1. Comparison of the OCO-2 satellite measurements data that vary from a quality flag (index «0») to average a quality flag (index «1»)

Town	Days 0/1	Number of Measurements, 0/1	Minimum, ppm 0/1	Maximum, ppm 0/1	Amplitudes % 0/1
Chita	52/106	3240/21036	389.5/351	413.8/419.4	6/17
Norilsk	36/110	4060/15431	388.4/326.6	410.1/427.3	5/25
Magnitogorsk	32/85	2072/9643	391.6/355.6	409.9/414.8	5/15
Mednogorsk	43/85	4840/15531	367.4/340.9	412.6/418.4	11/19
Novocherkas	22/75	1790/9019	391.5/331.4	409.4/418.8	4/22
Cherepovec	17/69	799/9310	391.4/347.5	412.1/420.4	5/18
Omsk	34/79	4486/12410	389.5/354.5	411.8/417.7	6/16

Anyone can see a big difference between a quality flag «0» and a quality flag «1» OCO-2 data for investigated cities caused, at least, the different measurement season periods.



Main conclusion: Comparisons of the OCO-2 satellite data with different quality flags (index «1» and index «0») in some Russian cities show:

1. According analysis XCO₂ dataset with high a quality flag «0» has relative homogeneous content of CO₂. The spatial-temporal amplitudes of XCO₂ variations amount to 5-6%, but maximum spatial variations - approximately 2-4%. Whereas XCO₂ values with average a quality flag (index «1») have amplitudes of variations ~ 16-22% and maximum spatial variations ~ 14%
2. Significant XCO₂ measurement errors are possible causes of the differences between ensembles. So, when we has calculated root mean square XCO₂ variations, we obtained the following results: for dataset with high a quality flag «0» they amounted 0.1-0.3 ppm, but for dataset with average a quality flag «1» – about 1.0-1.5 ppm. But just high values of the XCO₂ measurement error do not completely explain the significant amplitudes of variations and root mean square XCO₂ in the quality index «1» ensemble, and in the future studies are needed for the cities under consideration.

References

1. Timofeyev Yu.M., I.A. Berezin, Ya.A. Virolainen, M.V. Makarova, A.V. Polyakov, A.V. Poberovsky, N.N. Filippov, S.Ch. Foka, 2019: Spatial–Temporal CO₂ Variations near St. Petersburg Based on Satellite and Ground-Based Measurements. *Izvestiya, Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics*, 55, 1, 59–64. DOI:10.1134/S0001433819010109.
2. Timofeyev Yu.M., I. A. Berezin, Ya. A. Virolainen, M. V. Makarova, A. A. Nikitenko, 2019: Analysis of mesoscale variability of carbon dioxide in the vicinity of Moscow magacity based on satellite data. *Sovremennye problemy distantsionnogo zondirovaniya Zemli iz kosmosa*, 16, 4, 263–270. DOI: 10.21046/2070-7401-2019-16-4-263-270.