# The value of tidal-stream energy resource to off-grid communities

Matt Lewis<sup>1\*</sup>, John Maskell<sup>2</sup>

Michael Ridgill<sup>1</sup>, Simon Neill<sup>1</sup>, James McNaughton<sup>5</sup>, Concha Márquez-Dominguez<sup>1</sup>, Grazia Todeschini<sup>3</sup>, Michael Togneri<sup>3</sup>, Ian Masters<sup>3</sup>, Matthew Allmark<sup>4</sup>, Tim Stallard<sup>6</sup>, Alice Goward-Brown<sup>1</sup>, Peter Robins<sup>1</sup>

#### \*m.j.lewis@bangor.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup>Bangor University, School of Ocean Science, UK; <sup>2</sup>JMcoastal Ltd UK<sup>, 3</sup>Swansea University, UK; <sup>4</sup>Cardiff University, UK; <sup>5</sup>University of Oxford, UK; <sup>6</sup>Manchester University, UK





### Motivation:

 Renewables have vital role in improving access to electricity and energy

>20M people on >1800 islands<sup>3</sup> are paying up to €2000/mwh<sup>2</sup>

 Concern about "quality" of non-thermal renewables (e.g. clouds and wind gusts)

e.g. to balance supply and demand expensive storage and system controls needed (e.g. battery  $\sim$  \$500/kw)^3

• We hypothesize tidal-stream energy to be "higher quality" (persistent, controllable and predictable), making the comparatively higher cost worthwhile.

**Example:** ~50% Faroe Islands electricity met by renewables (installed capacity double that of peak demand) with a 2.3MW battery<sup>4</sup>, due to variability of renewable energy sources (wind, solar and thermal) leading some authors to conclude tidal energy is needed for the target of 100% renewable by 2030<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> <u>doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2012.05.006</u> <sup>2</sup> <u>doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4215(03)00047-8</u> <sup>3</sup> <u>doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.03.043</u> <sup>4</sup> <u>doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.12.042</u> <sup>5</sup> Nielsen et al. 2018, IHSPW

#### Power & electricity from a 1 MW turbine measured. Fine-scale power variability and predictability investigated

- 1 MW turbine, deployed as part of the ReDAPT project, at EMEC in the Fall of Warness (Orkney Islands, UK)
- 50 Hz generator power (in nacelle) and 10 Hz shore-side voltage
- 0.5Hz tidal speed measured with hub height ADCP nearby

doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.06.181



#### Data interpolated to common 0.5 Hz timeseries & normalised



- 2Hz measured power curve very different to "idealised" used in resource assessment
- Yet this fine-scale variability did not affect yield estimates (<1%)
- Observed variability of voltage was well within acceptable levels (~0.3% at 0.5Hz) & better than some other renewable energies



## Overall 10min running-mean power variability was low (standard deviation 10–12% of rated power)

Power variability ( $\delta power$ ) decreases with increasing flow speed (U)

Turbulence Intensity (TI) decreases for increasing flow speed (U)

Power variability ( $\delta power$ ) increases with Turbulence Intensity (*TI*)





- Variability of flow speed (U) normally distributed when grouped
- Variability of power (P) followed t-location distribution\*
- Can use distribution to make synthetic noise



Synthetic power variability model reliably downscaled 30min modelled currents with standardized power curve to 0.5 Hz power (85% skill, 14% error & energy difference <0.7%)

#### **Conclusions:**

- Low variability (an order of magnitude lower than reported in wind)
- Synthetic power variability model downscaled 30-min ocean-model currents and "standardised" power curve to 0.5 Hz power
- Tidal-stream energy may have a higher LCOE, but perhaps worth it?

#### Future work and implications:

• Independence between data assumed

(synthetic model assumes turbulent fluctuation at t has no influence on  $t+\delta t$ )

• Apply analysis to battery size needed in off-grid communities?

