



A novel approach for oceanic spreading **terrain classification** at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge using Eigenvalues of **high-resolution bathymetry** 



Gabriella Alodia<sup>1</sup>, Chris Green<sup>1,2</sup>,

Andrew McCaig<sup>1</sup>, Douglas Paton<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Leeds × <sup>2</sup>Getech, plc.

Correspondence: eega@leeds.ac.uk







#### Fifteen-twenty FZ 5°N **OCC Sample** Hills Sample Depth (km 14°N 13°N Marathon FZ 20 km 45°W 46°W 44°W ★ OCCs - Ridge axis • Seismicity ---- Segments -5000 -1000 m

**Bathymetry** 

Adapted from Smith et al. (2008)

# 1. Introduction



Two distinct types of terrain at slow-spreading ridges: Magmatic hills and Oceanic Core Complex (OCC)



(Escartín & Canales, 2011)

### Magmatic hills characteristics:

- Linear short-lived faults
- Symmetrical on both flanks of axis
- Bi-directional abyssal hills

### **OCC** characteristics:

- Displaced by long-lived detachment fault
- Formed at one flank of the axis
- Omni-directional massifs

Hills Sam

5°N

4°N



# 1. Introduction



Two distinct types of terrain at slow-spreading ridges: Magmatic hills and Oceanic Core Complex (OCC)

tics: **QUESTION:** Can we automate the terrain classification?



#### Volcanic ridge 3°N Oceanio detachment fau Lavas and dykes Marathon Gabbroic intrusions 20 km 45°W 46°W 44°W - Ridge axis ★ OCCs Earthquakes 1 km Seismicity ---- Segments -5000 -1000 m (Escartín & Canales, 2011) Adapted from Smith et al. (2008)

#### **OCC** characteristics:

- **Displaced by long-lived** detachment fault
- Formed at one flank of the axis
- **Omni-directional massifs**





## 2. Methods

(1) Sample a patch of terrain from each terrain type

↓

(2) Build synthetic models that mimic both terrain

↓
(3) Characterize based on its shape, size, and directionality







#### 2. Methods

# 2. <u>Methods</u> (continued)



The **ratio** is defined by the eccentricity of the two **horizontal eigenvalues**.

Magmatic terrain → High eccentricity (bidirectional)

→ Gentle slope (short-lived faults)

OCC/detachment terrain

- → Low eccentricity (omnidirectional)
- → Steep slope (long-lived faults)

<u>Next step</u>: Apply the computation to the whole grid with specified **window size**. Here we use 8' or ~14.8 km following the **average size of OCCs**.

## 3. <u>Results</u>





EGU



EGU

3. <u>Results</u>



Slide 8

## 3. <u>Results</u> (comparison)





3. Results

3. <u>Results</u> (masking)







# 4. Discussions



The terrain is classified based on its **eccentricity** and **slope**, hence the term:

# **Slope-Weighted Eccentricity (SWE)**

- → OCCs identified with this method:
  - Have SWE peak values of 0 0.65
  - Have positive curvature (domed structure)
- Some identified OCCs (C1 to C4) agree with dredged ultramafic samples from past cruises (e.g. Cannat et al., 1992) and with high gravity anomalies (Smith et al., 2008), indicating thin crust, enabling formation of detachment fault.





# 5. Conclusions



## **Slope-Weighted Eccentricity (SWE)**

An automatic terrain classification algorithm based on the shape, directionality, and curvature of a gridded data.

The terrain is classified into:

- **Detachment terrain**, with SWE values of **0 0.65**;
- **Extended terrain**, with SWE values of **0.65 0.9**, and;
- Magmatic terrain, with SWE values of **0.9 1**.

These values are always on fixed range (0 < SWE < 1), hence it is potentially re-applicable into grids other than bathymetry.

The algorithm is being tested to gravity and magnetic grids.