Coarse and Giant Particles are Ubiquitous in Saharan Dust Export Regions and are Radiatively Significant over the Sahara
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Dust size: the radiation perspective

Tegen & Lacis, 1996

- Solar wavelengths (SW):
  - Larger particles reduce Single Scattering albedo (SSA)
  - \( \rightarrow \) TOA forcing more positive, more atmospheric heating

- Terrestrial wavelengths (LW):
  - Larger particles increase the extinction efficiency
  - Stronger positive TOA longwave radiative effect

- Overall – larger particles can make TOA dust radiative forcing more positive (warming effect)
Motivation

- Dust models typically:
  - Exclude the giant mode (d > 20µm)
  - Under-represent the coarse mode (d > 2.5-5.0 µm)
  - Historically: assumed coarse particles rapidly deposited
- Challenge for measurements, especially airborne, coarse mode frequently not measured at all
- In the last 10 years, airborne dust observations have progressed, measuring larger particles, avoiding inlets and using non-optical techniques
- Multiple publications now report the presence of coarse and giant dust particles
- Models rarely include dust particles larger than 20µm, d > 5µm: models start to underestimate dust concentration

Giant dust observed in long range dust transport

van der Does et al. (2018)

Kok et al., 2017
Aims

• Contrast & characterize state-of-the-art airborne dust size observations:
  • Measuring \( d \geq 100\mu m \)
  • Close to dust sources and at the beginning of trans-Atlantic transport
• Provide mass concentration profiles for model comparisons
• Calculate the contribution of coarse & giant dust particles to optical properties (i.e. what models are missing)
• Evaluate the wider context of transport of coarse & giant dust particles
• Detailed results available in Ryder et al. (2019), ACP
Aircraft Data

- **Fennec**
  - **June 2011**
  - **Fennec-Sahara: Mali & Mauritania**
    - 117 horizontal flight legs; 21 profiles
    - Ryder et al. 2013b (ACP), Ryder et al. 2015 (ACP), Washington et al. 2012 (CLIVAR)
  - **Fennec-SAL: Canary Islands**
    - 21 profiles
    - Ryder et al. (2013a, GRL)

- **AER-D-SAL (AERosol properties - Dust)**
  - **August 2015**
  - **Cape Verde Islands**
    - 19 horizontal flight legs; 31 profiles
    - Ryder et al. 2018 (ACP), Marenco et al. 2018 (ACP), Liu et al. 2018 (ACP), O’Sullivan et al. 2020 (ACP)
Fennec and AER-D Measurements of Aerosol Size

- In-cabin measurements (behind inlets)
  - Restrict measurement to a portion of the size range
  - Can bias optical properties
  - FAAM Rosemount inlets: 50% passing efficiency at 2.5 µm (Trembath 2012; Ryder et al. 2013)

- Light scattering sizing (Optical Particle Counters)
  - Scattering cross-section converted to particle size
  - Depends on refractive index (composition) of particle
  - Not a unique solution – uncertainties can be large
  - Rosenberg et al. (2012): Propagates uncertainties

- Light Shadowing (Optical Array Probes: CIP15; 2D-S)
  - No dependence on refractive index, no Mie dependence
  - Shape assumptions impact size
Desert vs SAL Dust Size Profiles

**Effective Diameter**

- AER-D-SAL
- Fennec-Sahara
- Uncategorized
- Fresh
- Aged

**Maximum Diameter**

- AER-D-SAL
- Fennec-Sahara
- Uncategorized
- Fennec Fresh
- Fennec Aged

Measured over Sahara

- Fresh – under 12 h since uplift
- Aged – over 12 h since uplift

Measured in the SAL
Mass Concentration Profiles

a) Total Mass
   • Largest mass over Sahara; Decreases with altitude; SAL well-mixed

b) Fraction of mass d>5µm
   • Fennec-Sahara: 92% beneath 4.5 km
   • SAL: 61-87%

c) Fraction of mass d>20µm
   • Fennec-Sahara: 27% mass at d>20µm
   • SAL: 2%

• A significant amount of mass is being both completely excluded from models (d>20µm) and underestimated by models (d>5µm)
Size Distributions

Volume distribution lower and smaller (~20µm → ~5-10µm)

Accumulation mode variable

Substantial loss of giant mode (but still present)

Next question: Impact of different size distributions on optical properties?
Impact of Size Distributions on Optical Properties?

• Aim - assess the impact of the different measured size distributions on optical properties

• Method – Run Mie Scattering code with gradually incrementing maximum diameter for each field campaign. Use a range of refractive indices from the literature. Include uncertainty in measured size distribution

• Result – size resolved optical properties & uncertainties (next slide)
Size Resolved SW Extinction & Absorption

- At d=20µm we capture:
  - Fennec-Sahara:
    - 82% of extinction
    - 61% of absorption
  - SAL: (Fennec-SAL/AER-D SAL)
    - 96-99% of extinction
    - 90-98% of absorption

- At d=5µm we capture:
  - Fennec-Sahara:
    - 41% of extinction
    - 12% of absorption
  - SAL: (Fennec-SAL/AER-D SAL)
    - 50-78% of extinction
    - 20-53% of absorption
Size Resolved **LW** Extinction

**At d=20µm we capture:**
- **Fennec-Sahara:**
  - 74% of extinction
- **SAL:** \((\text{Fennec-SAL/AER-D SAL})\)
  - 94-98% of extinction

**At d=5µm we capture:**
- **Fennec-Sahara:**
  - 10% of extinction
- **SAL:** \((\text{Fennec-SAL/AER-D SAL})\)
  - 15-41% of extinction
SW & LW Key Points

• Dust optical properties can be significantly different when accounting for the full size range.

• Measurement of dust properties behind aircraft inlets (e.g. d<2.5 microns or submicron) significantly underestimates optical properties. E.g. sampling only d<2.5µm will measure 20-50% of true SW extinction

• Models will be significantly underestimating SW and LW extinction and absorption over the Sahara by excluding and/or under-estimating the coarse dust concentrations

• Omitting or under representing coarse/giant mode → greater underestimation of LW extinction than SW, shifts dust DRE to more positive values

• Changes to atmospheric heating from incorrect model dust properties may impact atmospheric circulation in dusty regions
Caveats

- Results account for absolute exclusion of coarse/giant particles – not additional underestimation of coarse mode by models
  - → Results underestimate impact of coarse mode

- Spherical particle assumptions
  - Little impact in LW
  - Results represent lower bound impact of coarse mode – non-spherical dust increases extinction of coarse particles by ~50%
  - → Results underestimate impact of coarse mode

- Summertime observations used here
  - Peak dust loads in Sahara/SAL
  - Potentially greater contribution from coarse/giant particles (McConnell et al., 2008)
  - → Results may overestimate annual impact of coarse mode
Multi-Campaign Size Distributions

- Compilation of airborne observations measuring Saharan dust, including $d \geq 20 \mu m$
- There is always a significant contribution from dust particles sized $d > 5 \mu m$
- When dust is closer to the source, there is also a strong contribution from particles larger than 20 $\mu m$ diameter
Change in Dust Size with Age

- Very large particles evident immediately after uplift with high $d_{\text{eff}}$ values of 6 to 10µm
- $d_{\text{eff}}$ decreases rapidly until around 1.5 days after uplift
- After this observations suggest little change in $d_{\text{eff}}$
- Size distribution stabilizes through transported regime
- Contrary to expectations from gravitational sedimentation
Transport/Deposition Processes

• ...Need further investigation to
  a) Improve understanding of coarse particle retention
  b) Improve dust transport in models

• Suggestions...
  • Turbulence
    • AER-D - measured vertical velocities within the SAL were over ±30cms⁻¹ in all cases, and sometimes up to ±80cms⁻¹, and mostly net positive in the SAL.
    • Fennec-Sahara – vertical velocities generally >200 cms⁻¹ within the convective boundary layer, and frequently >50 cms⁻¹ up to 5 km altitude.
    • Gravitational settling velocity of d=10µm particle ~1.1 cms⁻¹, for d=100µm ~28 cms⁻¹.
    • Turbulence could significantly enhance particle lifetime
    • Could be further amplified by solar absorption of coarse/giant particles

• Other possibilities examined by van der Does (2018, Science Advances):
  • Strong winds, electrical levitation, repeated convective lifting
Conclusions

- Coarse and Giant mode observed over Sahara (Fennec)
  - Strong influence of altitude and dust age, observed $d=100 \mu m$ up to 3km, $20 \mu m$ up to 5km, $d_{eff}=2-20 \mu m$

- Over the Tropical Eastern Atlantic (SAL) (AER-D)
  - $d_{eff} \sim 4\mu m$, vertically homogeneous, $d=20\mu m$ always present

- Giant mode depleted, in agreement with settling velocities

- Coarse mode depleted with transport, but
  - Still present at long distances from sources
  - Depleted less than expected from sedimentation theory
  - Size distribution appears invariant following initial transport
  - Additional missing mechanisms for retention of coarse mode?

- Considering that at $d>5\mu m$ (where models begin to under represent coarse dust concentrations), and at $d>20\mu m$ (models rarely include dust this large), we find:

  - Over desert:
    - $d>5\mu m$ accounts for 59% of SW extinction, 88% SW absorption and 90% of LW extinction
    - $d>20\mu m$ accounts for 18% of SW extinction, 39% of SW absorption, 26% of LW extinction
    - Large radiative impacts of incorrect size distribution over Sahara desert

  - In the SAL:
    - $d>5\mu m$ accounts for 22-50% of SW extinction, 47-80% of SW absorption and 59-85% of LW extinction
    - $d>20\mu m$ accounts for 1% of SW extinction, 2% of SW absorption, 2% of LW extinction
    - Moderate impacts of incorrect size distribution in the SAL

- Dust Mass:
  - Over Sahara: ~92% mass in $d>5\mu m$, 27% of mass in $d>20\mu m$
  - In SAL: 61-87% mass in $d>5\mu m$, 2% of mass in $d>20\mu m$

- Coarse/Giant dust particles exist – implications for models - especially over the Sahara!

See also O’Sullivan et al., 2020, ACPD, ‘Models transport Saharan dust too low in the atmosphere compared to observations’