### Slates: a potential rock type to extract geothermal energy from the underground?

J. Herrmann<sup>1</sup>, E. Rybacki<sup>1</sup>, W. Wang<sup>1</sup>, H. Milsch<sup>1</sup>, B. Wagner<sup>2</sup> and B. Leiss<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences <sup>2</sup> Geoscience Centre of the Georg-August-University of Göttingen

















## Motivation

Typically, granitic rocks are considered to act as host rocks for Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) as they are believed to contain a sufficient amount of fractures with an aperture large enough to guarantee an economical production of geothermal energy from the subsurface. Alternatively, metamorphic rocks such as slates/ shales are believed to represent geological environments used for a reasonable heat extraction. Within the framework of the European initiative 'MEET\*', we are investigating the influence of  $p_c$ , T,  $\sigma$  and surface roughness on the permeability of fractures present in unconventional Variscan reservoir rocks (slates).  $p_c$  = confining pressure, T = temperature,  $\sigma$  = differential stress acting perpendicular to fracture surface

\*Multidisciplinary and multi-context demonstration of EGS exploration and Exploitation Techniques and potentials









### **Sample Material**

#### Composition







Ap = Apatite, Phy = Phyllosilicate, Qtz = Quartz, Py = Pyrite, Cal = Calcite



**Mechanical properties** 

- Core material of Wissenbach shale; 'Hahnenklee' well ( $z \approx 1150$ m)
- Clays+Mica≈50wt%, Carbonates≈25wt%, Quartz+Feldspar≈25wt% (a+b)
- Pronounced elastic and only minor plastic deformation at 50 MPa confining pressure,  $p_c$ , 100° C temperature, T, and an axial strain rate of  $\dot{\varepsilon}$ =5x10<sup>-4</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> (c)
- Mechanical properties (triaxial compressive strength,  $\sigma_{TCS}$ , static Young's modulus, E) in line with other European shales (d)
- Depending on bedding orientation, tensile strength (from Brazilian Disk testing) ranges from  $\sigma_t = 7.9$  to 26.7 MPa (e)





Sample Material



### **Experimental Setup**

#### Shale assembly and fracture roughness



- Sample-setup consists of two cylindrical specimens, each: diameter, d = 50mm and thickness, t = 10 mm
- (a+b) Prepared perpendicular to bedding orientation
  - Radial fluid flow (H<sub>2</sub>O) realized through 'upstream' and 'downstream' boreholes
  - Differential pressure along fracture,  $\Delta p_p$ , measured at two separate boreholes
  - (c) For comparison, all fractures were prepared with an average initial surface roughness of  $S_q \approx 0.02mm$

Experimental Setup

• Experimental duration for each experiment: t = 1 -2 weeks







#### **Influence of confining pressure**



- With increasing p<sub>c</sub>, permeability within the fracture, k, is decreasing and approaching a minimum value potentially induced by a change from fluid flow due to an increased fracture surface asperity area
- → also apparent by comparing initial and final fracture surface roughness after the experiment, which reveals a reduced amount of surface asperities (irreversible inelastic deformation)









#### **Influence of differential stress**



- Influence of  $\sigma$  on k similar to the effect of  $p_c$  on k (decreasing k with increasing  $\sigma$ ); also with respect to fracture surface asperities
- Recorded unloading curve yields hardly a recovery of k if compared to the initial value at  $\sigma = 0$ MPa, which proofs our assumption of an irreversible, inelastic deformation of the surface asperities within the fracture









#### **Influence of temperature**



- After a rapid drop with increasing temperature from T = 20 °C to T = 40 °C, k remains almost constant
- In general, higher fracture permeability than those of samples measured at increasing  $p_c$  and  $\sigma$
- $\rightarrow$  potentially associated with abundant fracture surface asperities of the specimen after the experiment









#### Combined influence of $p_{c}$ , T and $\sigma$



- k ↓ for  $p_c$  and  $\sigma \uparrow$ , due to irreversible deformation of fracture surface asperities (I+II)
- Even after unloading, k still decreases with increasing p<sub>c</sub> (III)
- increasing  $\sigma$  at elevated  $p_c$  continues to yield decreasing k values (IV)
  - Even after a pronounced deformation history (I IV), k decreases with increasing T (from T = 24 °C to T = 90 °C), suggesting the presence of fracture sealing processes at elevated temperatures











#### **Influence of proppant agents**

- Propping the fracture with a multilayer of Quartz grains yields a tremendously less influence of p<sub>c</sub> on k, if compared to samples containing only self-propped fractures
- Additionally, the amount of inelastic, irreversible deformation seems to be little as changes of k values prior to and after loading are relatively small
- The usage of proppant agents to maintain conductive pathways within slate reservoirs may only be considered at confining pressures larger than  $p_c \approx 20$  MPa







## Conclusions

- Permeability, k, of fractures decreases with increasing confining pressure and axial differential stress approaching a constant k value
- After an immediate drop at T  $\approx$  40°C temperature, fracture permeability remains nearly constant up to 100°C
- Evolution of fracture permeability correlates with evolving fracture surface roughness suggesting a change in the fluid flow patterns within the fracture (irreversible deformation of the fracture surface)
- The influence of  $p_c$ , T and  $\sigma$  on fracture permeability reduction is relatively low, suggesting that slates may be considered as potential EGS host rocks
- → especially if one assumes the usage of proppant which tremendously reduces the influence of mechanical loading on the fracture permeability







# Outlook



- Investigate in detail the influence of proppant embedment on the long-term fracture permeability; Proppants
  - ➤ may embed in relatively weak, clay-rich reservoir rocks, such as the Posidonia (POS) shale → leading to a reduced fracture permeability due to fracture closure
  - ➢ Or crush in relatively strong, quartz-rich host rocks, e.g., Bowland (shale) → yielding a lowered fracture permeability as a result of sealed fractures due to fines production and migration
- Additionally, fracture surface roughness and shear strain may have a severe effect on the fracture permeability and therefore will be investigated by setting up, perform and evaluate according flow experiments





