







ENSO continuum and its impact on worldwide precipitation: Observation vs CMIP5/6

DIEPPOIS Bastien, EDEN Jonathan, MONERIE Paul-Arthur, POHL Benjamin, CRETAT Julien, CHUN Kwok Pan





EGU 2020 Sharing Geoscience Online, 7th May 2019

Eastern vs. Central Pacific ENSO



Event captured by CP index (Kao & Yu 2009) Event captured by Nino3 index (Rasmusson & Carpenter 1982) Event captured by both indices

How do the different indices compare and perform in capturing ENSO continuum



) Significant correlations at p<0.05 using 1000 phase-randomizations (Ebisuzaki, 1996)

All indices strongly are inter-correlated

They do not fully disentangle both event types

Nino34 is good index to study the impact of both events

Longitudinal locations of ENSO events

ENSO event locations = location of max/min of Pacific SSTa between 5S and 5N



Slight differences between data sets

Temporal variations in agreement between data sets

Similar variations are found in the intensity of ENSO events (not shown)

Impact on winter rainfall

Agreement in significant positive and negative regression



3 precipitation data sets (CRU, GPCC, UDEL) + 3 SST data sets (ERSST, COBESST, HadSST1) + Significance tested with 1000 permutations at p < 0.05

Impact estimated in term of robustness of the signal

+ Greater Impact
- Weaker Impact

Non-linearity between Nino and Nina, their locations and intensity Ex.: Southern Africa = + Nina, - Nino, + Location, - Intensity North America = + Nino, - Nina, + Location -> W, + Intensity -> E

Impact on Summer rainfall



Similarly in summer...

Ex.: West Africa = + Nina, - Nino, + Intensity, - Location

ENSO impacts differ according to the season

How does CMIP5/6 simulate ENSO continuum

ENSO event locations in 345 historical simulations + 53 piControl runs



Most CMIP models tend to favor one type of event

Few models perform quite well, especially in CMIP6 (e.g. IPSL, NCAR, GFDL)

How does CMIP5/6 simulate ENSO continuum

ENSO event intensity in 345 historical simulations + 53 piControl runs



CMIP model are much better in simulating the intensity of events
 But some models tend to overestimate the intensity

Summary

Commonly used ENSO indices do not fully-capture the ENSO continuum

We can physically disentangle both event, their locations and intensity

Consequences for climate model evaluations

Differences between Obs. data sets (event characteristic + impact on rainfall)

in all SST data sets...

Most CMIP5/6 models favor only one type of event, and their intensity is often overestimated

Potential consequences for future projections Temporal var. in Location & Intensity of ENSO events

Non-linearity in the impacts on precipitation of ENSO events, their locations and intensity

Potential for seamless seasonal/decadal prediction systems