

vEGU21 | Online material EGU21-12124 | 30 April 2021

Flow-dependent sub-seasonal forecast skill for Atlantic-European weather regimes and its relation to planetary- to synoptic-scale processes

Dominik Büeler¹, J. Wandel¹, L. Ferranti², L. Magnusson², J. Quinting¹, C. Grams¹

¹ Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, ² European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF)



www.kit.edu

Motivation



- Sub-seasonal forecast skill for a classic set of 4 seasonal Atlantic-European weather regimes (WRs) is relatively well known, particularly for winter (e.g., Ferranti et al., 2018, QJRMS)
- However, the increasing use of operational sub-seasonal weather forecasts requires a systematic understanding on year-round skill
- Furthermore, there are often situations in which the 4 WRs are too coarse to explain the regional surface weather modulation in Europe (e.g., Grams et al., 2020, ECMWF)
- In this study, we address these two gaps by systematically verifying a novel set of 7 year-round Atlantic-European WRs in sub-seasonal forecasts → p.3

Atlantic-European weather regimes (WRs)







Main advantages

- Year-round definition
- Explain surface weather modulation better in situations in which 4 WRs are too coarse (Grams et al., 2020, <u>ECMWF</u>)

Example applications of 7 WRs









Research questions



- How well do sub-seasonal forecasts predict the 7 year-round Atlantic-European WRs?
 - Role of forecast calibration for WR representation
 - Verification of WR duration, number, and transitions
 - Verification of WR forecast skill
- How do lower-frequency planetary-scale processes affect WR forecast skill?
- How might synoptic-scale processes affect WR forecast skill?

Sub-seasonal forecast data



- ECMWF IFS reforecasts (S2S project database) (Vitart et al., 2017, BAMS)
- Reforecast period: 1997 2017, init. from ERA-Interim every ~2 days → 4080 in total
- 11 ensemble members (10 perturbed, 1 control)
- Daily output



Weather regime identification



WR life cycle frequency

in ensemble forecast

Z@500hPa cluster mean WR anomalies in ERA-Interim

WR index in ensemble forecast



Atlantic Trough (AT) Zonal (ZO) Scandinavian Trough (ScTr) Atlantic Ridge (AR) European Blocking (EuBL) Scandinavian Blocking (ScBL) Greenland Blocking (GL) no regime (no)

Projection of low-pass-filtered and seasonally normalized Z500 anomalies in forecast on 7 cluster mean Z500 anomalies to obtain 7 WR indices Apply life cycle criteria (WR index maximum and above threshold for at least 5 consecutive days) to obtain WR life cycle probability at each lead time



Role of forecast calibration for weather regime frequency biases and forecast skill

500 hPa geopotential height biases



2 Jul



ecoctential height bias (gon

10 d lead time



-60 -40 -20 0 20 40 6 Geopotential height bias (gpm) 30 d lead time



e Z500 biases tend to saturate on sub-seasonal lead times

> Hardly biases in Atlantic-European domain (purple) in winter (except upstream)

Strongest biases in Atlantic-European domain in summer

WR frequency biases in noncalibrated forecasts (without Z500 biases being removed) compared to calibrated forecasts (with Z500 biases being removed)? \rightarrow p.10



Carlsruhe Institute of Technolog

WR life cycle frequency biases







Verification of weather regime duration, number, and transitions

WR life cycle duration and number





WR life cycle transition frequencies and biases

Some very frequent transitions without biases (e.g., Greenland Blocking to Atlantic Trough in all seasons) → useful information to judge forecast performance in advance? E.g., remaining positive (negative) EuBL (ScBL) frequency biases in calibrated forecast (p.10) can also partly be explained by too many (few) transitions into EuBL (ScBL)

Verification of weather regime forecast skill

Year-round skill for all WRs on average

Seasonal skill for all WRs on average

Skill horizon in winter ~5d longer than in summer and spring, ~3d longer than in autumn

Some of these differences are explained by differences in intrinsic predictability → nevertheless, e.g., summer skill can likely be further improved considering its largest WR frequency biases (p.10)

Year-round skill for individual WRs

Skill horizon for European Blocking 3-5d shorter (significant!) than for all others, including related Scandinavian Blocking

Skill horizon for Zonal regime and Greenland Blocking generally longest

How do the individual seasons explain this year-round pattern? \rightarrow p.18

Seasonal skill for individual WRs

Skill for European Blocking among the lowest in all seasons

Difference in year-round skill between European and Scandinavian Blocking (p.17) driven by summer and autumn, but skill is similarly low in winter and spring → improving well-known continental blocking problems requires a better understanding of the dynamics of these two blocking types

High year-round skill for Zonal regime and Greenland Blocking driven by winter, likely due to high persistence (p.12) and stratospheric influence

Role of lower-frequency planetary-scale processes for weather regime forecast skill

Stratospheric polar vortex (SPV)

Role of SPV for average WR winter forecast skill

Very strong vs. very weak SPV at forecast initial time

Medium-range skill tends to be enhanced following strong and weak SPVs However, sub-seasonal skill tends to be increased following strong but reduced following weak SPVs (consistent with Büeler et al., 2020, QJRMS)

Stronger- vs. weaker-than-normal SPV at forecast initial time

Sub-seasonal skill tends to be enhanced following normal SPVs for tercile definition → interesting, but we have no explanation for this yet

Role of active MJO for average WR forecast skill

Active MJO at forecast initial time hardly modifies average regime skill compared to non-active MJO in all seasons – even in winter, when the MJO effect tends to be highest

However, this results from a balance between enhanced skill following some MJO phases but reduced skill following others \rightarrow p.24

Role of MJO phases for year-round average WR forecast skill

Role of synoptic-scale processes for weather regime forecast skill?

Warm conveyor belts (WCBs)

Fig. 1 from Quinting and Grams, 2021, JAS

Warm conveyor belts (WCBs) = pole- and upward ascending airstreams in extratropical cyclones, with strong cloud-diabatic processes involved

WCBs efficiently pump air from the lower into the upper troposphere and thereby often contribute to a downstream ridge amplification and onset / maintenance of blocking (e.g., Grams et al., 2011, <u>QJRMS</u>; Pfahl et al., 2015, <u>NATGEO</u>)

How do sub-seasonal models represent WCBs and what might be the role of associated biases for blocking forecasts? \rightarrow p.27

Role of WCBs for blocking forecast skill?

Recently done in our research group

- Development of a sophisticated statistical model to identify WCBs in reanalysis or model data in a simple and Eulerian way (Quinting and Grams, 2021, JAS)
- Verification of WCBs in sub-seasonal forecasts (biases, skill) (Wandel et al., 2021, submitted to JAS)

Next steps

■ Relate representation of WCBs to WR skill → for instance, how are WCB biases over the North Atlantic related to the relatively low sub-seasonal skill for the European Blocking?

Take-home messages (Büeler et al., 2021, soon submitted to QJRMS)

Overall best sub-seasonal forecast performance in winter and worst in summer (Z500 biases, WR frequency biases, WR skill) → forecast calibration most important for summer, but some WR biases remain (which can partly be explained by biases in WR life cycle duration, number, and transitions)

■ Year-round skill horizon for European Blocking ~3-5d shorter than for other WRs, including Scandinavian Blocking but only in summer and autumn → better understanding of the dynamics of these two blocking types needed to improve continental blocking forecasts

Year-round skill horizon for Zonal regime and Greenland Blocking longest (driven by winter, probably influenced by enhanced persistence and stratosphere-troposphere coupling)

Average winter WR skill horizon longer after strong SPV, but shorter after weak SPV (but only for "truly strong" SPV) (see also Büeler et al., 2020, QJRMS)

Average year-round WR skill enhanced after MJO phases 7 and 4, but reduced after phase 2 (driven by winter, but also spring and autumn)

→ Overall: There is promising potential for year-round WR skill improvement, for instance by removing large biases in summer and by improving model response following weak SPVs and certain MJO phases in winter and the transition seasons

Further information

Content of this study will be submitted soon as

Büeler, D., Ferranti, L., Magnusson, L., Quinting, J. F., and Grams, C. M., 2021: Flowdependent sub-seasonal forecast skill for year-round Atlantic-European weather regimes, QJRMS

Contact

- @ dominik.bueeler@kit.edu
- ✓ <u>dombueeler</u>
- https://www.imk-tro.kit.edu/english/7428_7600.php

References

- Büeler, D., Ferranti, L., Magnusson, L., Quinting, J. F., and Grams, C. M., 2021: Flow-dependent sub-seasonal forecast skill for year-round Atlantic-European weather regimes. In preparation for QJRMS
- Büeler, D., Beerli, R., Wernli, H., and Grams, C. M., 2020: Stratospheric influence on ECMWF sub-seasonal forecast skill for energy-industry-relevant surface weather in European countries. QJRMS, https://doi.org/10.1002/gi.3866
- Cassou, C., 2008: Intraseasonal interaction between the Madden–Julian Oscillation and the North Atlantic Oscillation. NAT, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07286
- Charlton-Perez, A., Grams, C. M., Aldridge, R., Büeler, D., Lee, R. W., Huang, W. T. K., Pineo, H., and Sun. T., 2021: Prediction of UK mortality during cold waves: A case study of the 2018 'Beast from the East'. In preparation for QJRMS
- Ferranti, L., Corti, S. and Janousek, M., 2015: Flow-dependent verification of the ECMWF ensemble over the Euro-Atlantic sector. QJRMS, https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.2411
- Ferranti, L., Magnusson, L., Vitart, F., and Richardson, D. S., 2018: How far in advance can we predict changes in large-scale flow leading to severe cold conditions over Europe? QJRMS, https://doi.org/10. 1002/qj.3341
- Gerighausen, J., 2021: Die Rolle von Wetterregimen für Bodenwetterextreme in Europa, BSc thesis, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)
- Grams, C. M., Wernli, H., Böttcher, M., Campa, J., Corsmeier, U., Jones, S. C., Keller, J. H., Lenz, J.-C., and Wiegand, L., 2011: The key role of diabatic processes in modifying the upper-tropospheric wave guide: a North Atlantic case-study. QJRMS, https://doi.org/10.1002/gi.891
- Grams, C. M., Beerli, R., Pfenninger, S., Staffell, I., and Wernli, H., 2017: Balancing Europe's wind-power output through spatial deployment informed by weather regimes. NATCC, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3338
- Grams, C. M., Ferranti, L., and Magnusson, L., 2020: How to make use of weather regimes in extended-range predictions for Europe. ECMWF Newsletter, 14–19, https://www.ecmwf.int/en/newsletter/165/meteorology/how-make-use-weather-regimes-extended-range-predictions-europe
- Pasquier, J. T., Pfahl, S., and Grams, C. M., 2018: Modulation of atmospheric river occurrence and associated precipitation extremes in the North Atlantic region by European weather regimes. GRL, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081194
- Pfahl, S., Schwierz, C., Grams, C. M., and Wernli, H., 2015: Importance of latent heat release in ascending air streams for atmospheric blocking. NATGEO, https://doi.org/10.1038/NGEO2487
- Quinting, J. F., and Grams, C. M., 2021: Towards a systematic evaluation of warm conveyor belts in numerical weather prediction and climate models. Part I: predictor selection and logistic regression model. JAS, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-20-0139.1
- Vitart, F. et al., 2017: The subseasonal to seasonal (S2S) prediction project database. BAMS, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0017.1
- Wandel, J., Quinting, J. F., and Grams, C. M., 2021: Towards a systematic evaluation of warm conveyor belts in numerical weather prediction and climate models. Part II: verification of operational reforecasts. Submitted to JAS