



## Impact of fracture sealing on their hydraulic and mechanical properties

Q. Deng<sup>1</sup>, J. Schmittbuhl<sup>1</sup>, G. Blöcher<sup>2</sup> and M. Cacace<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> EOST-ITES, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France <sup>2</sup> GFZ German Research Centre for Geoscience, Potsdam, Germany

## Background





Fig.1 Concept sketch of fracture closure (Hansen et al, 2000)



Fracture Specific Stiffness

Fig.2 Interaction of fracture specific stiffness, fluid flow through Fracture geometry (Pyrak-Nolte & Morris, 2000)

## Synthetic fault generation





Fig.3 Self-affine fault surfaces generation following field measurements (Candela et al, 2012)

Sealing closure: evenly mineral deposit (Ac > 20%)

Two rough surfaces

Mechanical closure:

plastic rheology

(Ac < 20%)

facing each other



Fig.4 Sketch of constructing fracture geometry (side view facing y-direction)

## Synthetic fault generation



Fig.5 fracture closure process: contact area as a function of normalized imposed displacement

case	Contact area (%)	Average aperture (m)
$\mathbf{c0}$	14.3	0.212
c1	20.0	0.189
c2	25.8	0.168
$\mathbf{c3}$	32.2	0.144
c4	39.8	0.122
c5	47.9	0.102
<b>c6</b>	55.7	0.082
$\mathbf{c7}$	65.0	0.063
$\mathbf{c8}$	75.2	0.042
<b>c</b> 9	85.1	0.024



EGU21-13206



## Mesh generation and model setup



Fig.7 3D mesh of the fracture rock matrix system

#### **Boundary conditions:**

v = 0 for rock and fault except inlet and outlet u = 0 for all lateral borders and the bottom



fluid pressure as flow boundary for rock
fluid pressure as stress boundary for rock
fluid velocity as flow boundary for fault
displacement as boundary for fault

#### Initial conditions:

 $p_0 = 0; u_0 = 0$  for rock matrix  $p_0 = 0; v_0 = 0$  for fault



A MOOSE-based application http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.999401

### **Results/Implications**



Fig.8 Fracture specific stiffness as a function of fault volume



 $10^{3}$   $10^{4}$   $10^{5}$   $10^{6}$   $10^{7}$   $10^{8}$   $10^{9}$   $10^{10}$ 

Fig.10 Fracture normal stiffness values taken from the literature (Hobby & Worthington, 2012)

- Fracture permeability and stiffness are strongly depends on the degree of sealing;
- Fracture specific stiffness can be used to quantify the degree of fracture sealing;
- Successful chemical treatment requires large fracture stiffness or high anisotropy permeability behavior.

Fig.9 Permeability evolution as a function of fault volume EGU21-13206

General Assembly 2021

## Impact of fracture sealing on their hydraulic and mechanical properties

Q. Deng<sup>1</sup>, J. Schmittbuhl<sup>1</sup>, G. Blöcher<sup>2</sup> and M. Cacace<sup>2</sup>

1 EOST-ITES, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

2 GFZ German Research Centre for Geoscience, Potsdam, Germany

# Thanks !



Email: dengq@unistra.fr

EGU21-13206

