An integrated thermo-compositional model of the African cratonic lithosphere from gravity and seismic data

N.-P. Finger^{1,2}, M. K. Kaban^{1,3}, M. Tesauro^{4,5}, W.D. Mooney⁶, M. Thomas^{1,2}

¹German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany.
 ²FU Berlin, Berlin, Germany.
 ³Schmidt Institute of Physics of the Earth, RAS, Moscow, Russia.
 ⁴University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy.
 ⁵University of Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands.
 ⁶US Geological Survey, Menlo Park, USA.

Contact: finger@gfz-potsdam.de



Table of Contents

- 1. <u>vPICO Slide</u>
- 2. Topography and Cratons
- 3. <u>Introduction</u>
- 4. <u>Method</u>
- 5. Depth to Moho
- 6. <u>Mantle Residuals</u>
- 7. <u>Results @100 km</u>
- 8. <u>Results @150 km</u>
- 9. <u>Results @200 km</u>
- 10. Effect of Depth to Moho Uncertainties
- 11. <u>Conclusions</u>
- 12. <u>References</u>

GFZ

Helmholtz Centre



- Follow the links on the left to get to the different chapters
- Alternatively, click through the presentation as usual
- "ToC" in the top right corner will bring you back here
- Find additional links to skip chapters or to different visualizations on certain pages (blue boxes)



An integrated thermo-compositional model of the African cratonic lithosphere from gravity and seismic data



elmholtz Centre

POTSDAM

(AF2019)



HELMHOLTZ

Introduction

- Cratons: ancient, stable parts of continental lithosphere
- Often buoyant despite low temperatures (density increase)
- Iso-pycnic hypothesis (Jordan et al., 1978): Fe depletion (compositional density decrease) balances effect of low temperatures (thermal density increase) in cratonic areas
- Just published: Integrated thermo-compositional model of the South American cratonic lithosphere (Finger et al., 2021; Model available <u>here</u> (external))
- New and extended datasets allow application of integrated iterative approach to Africa
- Presentation of current state of work
- Targets:
 - Assess density, temperature and composition (in terms of depletion) of African cratonic lithosphere and upper mantle
 - > Also calculate Depth to the Moho and assess possible implied uncertainties
 - Compare to results from South America to link deep cratonic structures and their (different) evolution since break-up (future work)



HELMHOLTZ

Topography and Cratons



Cratons:

- CC Congo
- KC Kaapvaal
- LB Limpopo Block
- UC Uganda
- TC Tanzania
- WAC West African
- ZC Zimbabwe
- Thick black polygon marks area where compositional changes in terms of Fe depletion are allowed in the integrated model

ToC

HELMHOLTZ

Cratons digitized from Begg et al. (2009) by N. Celli (pers. Communication) Topography from ETOPO1 (Amante et Eakins, 2009)



Method

Mantle

Residuals

Moho

Mantle



Crust

Helmholtz Centre

POTSDAM

- Integrated iterative approach:
 - Recently applied to South America (Finger et al., 2021)
 - Based on Kaban et al. (2014), Tesauro et al. (2014)
 - For testing and uncertainties see Kaban et al. (2015), Finger et al. (2021)
 - Create self-consistent models of temperature, thermal and compositional density variations, and depletion in terms of Mg#
 - > Mg# = %Mg / (%Mg + %Fe) in minerals

Mantle

Results

HELMHOLTZ

ToC

HELMHOLTZ

Method – Crust



Crustal model:

Mantle

Residuals

- Interpolate Depth to Moho following Stolk et al. (2013) using topography (ETOPO1, Amante et Eakins, 2009), sediment thickness and density (Crust1.0, Laske et al., 2013) and available seismic data (Globig et al., 2012; Mooney et al., 2015 with updates up to 2019)
- Calculate crustal gravity effect with densities from LITHO1.0 (Pasyanos et al., 2013)
- Correct gravity observations (Eigen-6C4, Förste et al., 2014) for crustal effects and calculate residual topography
- Correct residual fields for effects from the deep mantle based on rts40 (Ritsema et al., 2011)

Mantle

Results

HELMHOLTZ

Method – Mantle



POTSDAM

Upper mantle model:

Mantle

Residuals

- Assume juvenile mantle composition with Mg# 89
- Apply mineral physics approach (Tesauro et al., 2014) to S-wave tomography (AF2019, Celli et al., 2020) to obtain thermal model
- Correct residual mantle gravity and residual topography for thermal variations
- Jointly invert the two fields to assess compositional variations

Mantle

Results

- Assign negative compositional variations in \geq the cratonic area to Fe depletion
- Increase Mg# accordingly and adapt mineral composition
- Start over at step 2, iterate until convergence is reached (atmost 4 iterations)

Depth to Moho



- Crosses mark data points
- Scarse data in Sahara, central CC and Horn of Africa
- 30-35 km in most of Sahara, East Africa and central CC
- > 35 km in southern Africa and WAC, northern CC



- Measurement uncertainty not always available → use interpolation uncertainy
- Interpolation uncertainty mostly below 4 km
- Higher in areas of scarse data
- Adding/subtracting and recalculation of results allows assessing effects of Depth to Moho uncertainties on mantle model



Mantle Mantle Residuals Results

HELMHOLTZ

Mantle Residuals



- In most cratonic areas below 0 mGal
- Some small positive residuals (<100 mGal) in WAC and CC
- Positive residuals >100 mGal in parts of KC and ZC



- Note apparent anticorrelation to gravity residuals
- Allows to reduce bias and improve assessing depth of anomalies in joint inversion



Mantle Results

HELMHOLTZ

Mantle model results @100 km

Mg#

30

20

10

-10

-20-

-30

-20 -10

0 10

Temperature



Compositional variations -20



- Low temperatures 1600
 - $<1000^{\circ}$ C in most
 - cratonic areas

1500

1400

1300 Higher temperatures in 1200 1100 TC and UC 1000

> Single fields through depths

- Negative compositional
- variations in most
- cratonic areas
- Not in TC, parts of UC, and southern to
- eastern CC

Thermal variations



Strong correlation to temperatures

ToC

Positive thermal variations at most cratons except UC -0.01

-0.02

-0.03

-0.04

90

89.5

40 50

- Highest depletion in WAC and northern CC (Mg# >91)
- Depletion in parts of KC, and ZC (up to Mg# 91)
- Minimal to no depletion (Mq# < 89.5) in southern to eastern CC, TC, UC and wide parts of KC

HELMHOLTZ



Results Results @150 km @200 km Depth to Moho Uncertainties

20 30

Mantle model results @150 km

Temperature



Compositional variations



10 20 30 40

- Low temperatures
 - <1000°C in most
- cratonic areas

1500

900

800

700

600

500

- ¹²⁰⁰ >1000° C in KC
- ¹¹⁰⁰ >1300° C in southern
 - CC, TC, and UC \rightarrow
 - thin lithosphere

Single fields through depths

- Negative compositional variations remain in
- most cratonic areas
- Positive variations in TC and UC, parts of KC,
- and southern to
- eastern CC



- Strong correlation to temperatures
- Positive thermal
 - variations where
 - temperatures <1100° C

ToC

- Depletion in WAC (Mg# >91)
- Less depletion in northern CC and ZC (up to Mg# 91)
- Absence of depletion in southern to eastern CC, most of KC, TC, and UC

HELMHOLTZ



-20 -10

Results @100 km Depth to Moho Uncertainties

20 30

40 50

-20 -10

Results

@200 km

0 10

Mantle model results @200 km

20

-10

-20-

-30

-20 -10

30

10

0-

-10

-20-

-30

Mg#

0 10 20 30 40 50

Temperature



- <1300° C in WAC,
- northern to eastern
- CC, LB, and parts of ZC
- \rightarrow thick lithosphere
- >1300° C in TC, UC, and southern KC

Single fields through depths

- Negative compositional variations remain in
- most areas
- Positive variations in TC and UC, wide parts
 - of KC, and southern to eastern CC



g/cm³

0.04

0.03

0.01

-0.01

-0.02

-0.03

-0.04

90

89.5

- Strong correlation to temperatures
- Positive thermal
 - variations where
 - temperatures <1300° C

ToC

- Depletion in WAC (Mg# >91) and northern CC (Mg# up to 91)
- Less depletion in LB and ZC (up to Mg# 90)
- Absence of depletion in KC, TC and UC

HELMHOLTZ



Results @150 km Results Dep @100 km Unc

-20 -10

0 10

Depth to Moho Uncertainties

40 50

20 30

HELMHOLTZ

Effect of Depth to Moho Uncertainties

• Procedure:

- Add ("mohoup") / subtract ("mohodown") interpolation uncertainties to / from interpolated Depth to Moho
- Correct mantle gravity residual and residual topography accordingly
- Recalculate resulting fields

• Expectations:

- Only minimal changes in temperature or thermal density variations (tomography dependence of temperatures >> compositional dependence)
- ➢ For upwards shift of Moho: More mass in crust → Lower residual mantle gravity and higher residual topography → Increase in area and amplitude of negative compositional variations and depletion
- ➢ For downwards shift of Moho: Less mass in crust → Higher residual mantle gravity and lower residual topography → Decrease in area and amplitude of negative compositional variations and depletion



Uncertainties -Temperatures

s - Uncertainties – es Compositional Uncertainties – Depletion

Conclusions

Effect of Depth to Moho Uncertainties – Toc Temperatures @100 km



Change of thermal density variations not shown

GFZ Helmholtz Centre POTSDAM Uncertainties -General

- Uncertainties – Compositional Uncertainties – Conclusions Depletion

HELMHOLTZ

Effect of Depth to Moho Uncertainties – Toc Compositional variations @100 km



- As expected: Area and amplitude of negative compositional variations increas "mohodown" to "mohoup"
- Minor amount of change \rightarrow small effect of Depth to Moho interpolation uncertainty



Effect of Depth to Moho Uncertainties – Toc Composition @100 km



- As expected. Area and amplitude of Mg# increase from inonodown to mono
 Possible separation of depleted areas in NW and NE Congo Craton indicated
- No previous results overturned \rightarrow Confirmation of results

GFZ	Uncertainties -	Uncertainties –	Uncertainties –	Conclusions	HELMHOLTZ
Helmholtz Centre Potsdam	Temperatures	Compositional	General		

Conclusions

- New model of Depth to Moho
- New upper mantle model of temperatures, thermal and compositional variations, and composition
- Temperatures <1300° C in WAC, northern to eastern CC, LB, and parts of ZC indicate thick lithosphere
- Deep depleted cratonic roots in WAC, northern CC, LB and ZC
- Thinner lithosphere (Temperatures >1300° C @200 km) in southern to eastern CC, KC, TC, and UC
- Low to no depletion in these areas indicates removal or refertilization of cratonic roots
- Small effect of Depth to Moho interpolation uncertainty confirms results
- Article in preparation



References



Temperatures through depths





Results -Thermal

Results – Compositional Results – Depletion

Back to Results at single depths

HELMHOLTZ

Thermal density variations through depths





Compositional variations through depths





Results -Temperature

Results -Thermal Results – Depletion

Back to Results at single depths

HELMHOLTZ

Depletion through depths

ToC



GFZ
TemperatureResults -
CompositionalResults -
Results -
ThermalBack to Results
at single depthsDepth to Moho
UncertaintiesHELMHOLTZ



References - I

- Amante, C., & Eakins, B. W. (2009). ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model: Procedures, Data Sources and Analysis. *NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24*, (March), 19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.769615</u>
- Begg, G. C., Griffin, W. L., Natapov, L. M., O'Reilly, S. Y., Grand, S. P., O'Neill, C. J., et al. (2009). The lithospheric architecture of Africa: Seismic tomography, mantle petrology, and tectonic evolution. *Geosphere*, 5(1), 23–50. https://doi.org/10.1130/GES00179.1
- Celli, N. L., Lebedev, S., Schaeffer, A. J., Ravenna, M., & Gaina, C. (2020). The upper mantle beneath the South Atlantic Ocean, South America and Africa from waveform tomography with massive data sets. *Geophysical Journal International*, 221(1), 178– 204. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz574</u>
- Finger, N.-P., Kaban, M. K., Tesauro, M., Haeger, C., Mooney, W. D., & Thomas, M. (2020). A Thermo-Compositional Model of the Cratonic Lithosphere of South America: Models of the Upper Mantle, Crust and Sediment Density. GFZ Data Services. <u>https://doi.org/10.5880/GFZ.1.3.2020.006</u>Finger, N. -P., Kaban, M. K., Tesauro, M., Haeger, C., Mooney, W. D., & Thomas, M. (2021). A Thermo-Compositional Model of the Cratonic Lithosphere of South America. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 22*(4), e2020GC009307. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GC009307</u>
- Förste, C., Bruinsma, S., Abrikosov, O., Flechtner, F., Marty, J.-C., Lemoine, J.-M., et al. (2014). *EIGEN-6C4-The latest* combined global gravity field model including GOCE data up to degree and order 1949 of GFZ Potsdam and GRGS Toulouse. Geophysical Research Abstracts (Vol. 16). Retrieved from http://icgem.gfz-potsdam.de
- Globig, J., Fernàndez, M., Torne, M., Vergés, J., Robert, A., & Faccenna, C. (2016). New insights into the crust and lithospheric mantle structure of Africa from elevation, geoid, and thermal analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 121(7), 5389–5424. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB012972</u>
- Haeger, C., Kaban, M. K., Tesauro, M., Petrunin, A. G., & Mooney, W. D. (2019). 3-D Density, Thermal, and Compositional Model of the Antarctic Lithosphere and Implications for Its Evolution. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 20(2), 688–707.
- Jordan, T. H. (1978). Composition and development of the continental tectosphere. *Nature*, 274(5671), 544–548. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/274544a0</u>





References - II

- Kaban, M. K., Tesauro, M., Mooney, W. D., & Cloetingh, S. A. P. L. (2014). Density, temperature, and composition of the North American lithosphere-New insights from a joint analysis of seismic, gravity, and mineral physics data: 1. Density structure of the crust and upper mantle. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *15*(12), 4781–4807. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005483
- Kaban, M. K., Mooney, W. D., & Petrunin, A. G. (2015). Cratonic root beneath North America shifted by basal drag from the convecting mantle. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(10), 797–800. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2525</u>
- Laske, G., Masters, G., Ma, Z., & Pasyanos, M. (2013). Update on CRUST1.0---A 1-degree global model of Earth's crust. In EGU General Assembly 2013 (Vol. 15, p. 2658). Retrieved from https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013EGUGA..15.2658L/abstract
- Mooney, W. D. (2015). Crust and Lithospheric Structure Global Crustal Structure. In G. Schubert, B. Romanowicz, & A. Dziewonski (Eds.), *Treatise on Geophysics* (2nd ed., pp. 339–390). Elsevier.
- Pasyanos, M. E., Masters, G., Laske, G., Ma, Z.;, Agu, S., Francisco, C., et al. (2013). *LITHO1.0-An Updated Crust and Lithospheric Model of the Earth Developed Using Multiple Data Constraints. Geophysical Research Abstracts* (Vol. 15).
- Ritsema, J., Deuss, A., van Heijst, H. J., & Woodhouse, J. H. (2011). S40RTS: a degree-40 shear-velocity model for the mantle from new Rayleigh wave dispersion, teleseismic traveltime and normal-mode splitting function measurements. *Geophysical Journal International*, 184(3), 1223–1236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04884.x</u>
- Stolk, W., Kaban, M. K., Beekman, F., Tesauro, M., Mooney, W. D., & Cloetingh, S. (2013). High resolution regional crustal models from irregularly distributed data: Application to Asia and adjacent areas. *Tectonophysics*, 602, 55–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.01.022</u>
- Tesauro, M., Kaban, M. K., Mooney, W. D., & Cloetingh, S. A. P. L. (2014). Density, temperature, and composition of the North American lithosphere-New insights from a joint analysis of seismic, gravity, and mineral physics data: 2. Thermal and compositional model of the upper mantle. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *15*(12), 4808–4830. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005484



