

EGU21-16050

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu21-16050

EGU General Assembly 2021

 $\hbox{@}$ Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under

the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Global Deforestation Revisited: The Role of Political and Institutional Factors

Ianna Raissa Moreira Dantas¹ & Mareike Söder^{1,2}

 1 Institute for the World Economy, Global Commons and Climate Policy, Kiel, Germany 2 Johann Heinrich von Thünen-Institut, Bundesforschungsinstitut für Ländliche Räume, Wald und Fischerei



Motivation



PROTECT, RESTORE AND PROMOTE SUSTAINABLE USE OF TERRESTRIAL ECOSYSTEMS, SUSTAINABLY MANAGE FORESTS, COMBAT DESERTIFICATION, AND HALT AND REVERSE LAND DEGRADATION AND HALT BIODIVERSITY LOSS

30 % of global land cover are forest (*World Bank*, 2021) Approximatelly13 Mi hectares of natural forests are yearly lost (*FAO*,2018)

Research question

"Are institutional factors drives of global deforestation?"



Study

Dependent variable

European Space Agency (ESA) Climate Change Initiative Land Cover Forest pixels 1992 → 2015

5% changed from forest to other land uses

Independent variables

Accessibility Nelson (2008)

Suitability to agricultural production - Global Agro-Ecological Zones model (FAO, 2021)

Corruption Perception Index (Transparency International)

The World Bank Government Effectiveness (Kaufmann et al., 2008)

Table 1. Descriptive statistics (N=5.858.880)

Variable Name	Variable description	Mean	Std. dev
Deforestation	=1 converted from forest to other LU classes, 0 otherwise		0,20
Biophysical Factors			
Accessibility	Higher values indicate increasing difficulty to land access	1073	1359
Suitability	1 (highly suitable), 9 (low suitability) to agricultural production	6,25	2,08
Institutional Factors			
Corruption Perception Index	Country level 0 (Highly corrupt), 10 (very clean)	4,17	2,49
Government Effectiveness	Country level -2,5 (low effectiveness), 2,5(high effectiveness)	0,09	1,02



Preliminary results

Areas with low accessibility \Rightarrow less likely to deforestation Areas highly suitable to agricultural production \Rightarrow likely to experience land conversion Political instability and government effectiveness are significantly related to higher deforestation.

Table 2. Logit regressions of deforestation (1992-2015) of the CPI and GE variables

Dependent Variable:	(1)	Marginal	(2)	Marginal
Deforestation	Logistic (CPI)	effect	Logistic (GE)	effect
Accessibility	-0.000301***	-0.00001	-0.000294***	-0.00001
	(2.96e-06)		(2.96e-06)	
Suitability	-0.112***	-0.00409	-0.114***	-0.00415
_	(0.000909)		(0.000925)	
CPI	-0.0237***	-0.00086		-0.00037
	(0.000837)			
GE			-0.0104***	
			(0.00183)	
Constant	-2.110***		-2.204***	
	(0.00603)		(0.00543)	
# of Observations	5,838,728		5,858,430	

Notes: Robust standard errors in parentheses. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1



References

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2018). Forests Pathways to Sustainable Development; State of the world's forests. FAO: Rome, Italy. ISBN 978-92-5-130561-4.

FAO & UNEP (2020). The State of the World's Forests 2020. Forests, biodiversity and people. Rome, Italy. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4060/ca8642en

Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Mastruzzi, M. (2008). Governance matters VII: Aggregate and individual governance indicators, 1996-2007. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 4654.

Nelson, A. (2008). Estimated travel time to the nearest city of 50,000 or more people in year 2000. Retrieved from https://forobs.jrc.ec.europa.eu/products/gam/. Accessed 15.007.2020

Transparency International. (2021). Corruption Perception Index. Retrieved from https://www.transparency.org/en/. Accessed 07.09.2020

United Nations. (2019). Sustainable Development Goal 15. Retrieved from https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdg15. Accessed 27.03.2021

World Bank. World Development indicators (2021). Retrieved from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/AG.LND.FRST.ZS. Accessed 27.03.2021