Prognosis of water levels in a moor groundwater system influenced by hydrology and water extraction using an artificial neural network (EGU21-3013)

Sascha Flaig, Timothy Praditia, Alexander Kissinger, Ulrich Lang, Sergey Oladyshkin, and Wolfgang Nowak

Introduction

Ecologically sensitive moor influenced by hydrology and water abstraction Goals:

- Prevent possible negative impacts of water abstraction
- Better system understanding

Methods

- Long short-term memory (LSTM)
- Different designs / configurations
- Include physical knowledge



Results

- Inserting preprocessed data leads to better prediction results
- Individual and combined influences can be represented well
- LSTM outperforms MODFLOW
- Scenarios of pumping events party unplausible



Conclusion

- Accurate predictions for a seven days prediction horizon
- More accurate when physical knowledge is included

In cooperation with: Prof. Kobus und Partner (kup) <u>http://www.kobus-partner.com/</u> Contact: <u>flaig@kobus-partner.com</u>

Introduction

Motivation:

- Ecologically valuable moor → Influenced by hydrology and water abstraction
- Complex aquifer → Difficult to model
- → Forecasts of moor water levels difficult

Goals:

- Determine most efficient LSTM architecture
- Obtain robust, plausible and accurate predictions of the moor water levels
- Better system understanding





Satellite image: Google-Maps

Methods

- Prepare raw data
- Long short-term memory (LSTM)
- Test metric: Mean squared error
- Different designs / configurations
- Programming language: PyTorch

 \rightarrow Prognosis for two measuring points



Following [1]

Methods

External data input

Measuring point 1 (No influence of pumping wells)

- Swamp water level (t=0)
- Precipitation (t=0, ..., t=fh)
- Evapotranspiration (t=0, ..., t=fh)

Measuring point 2 (Influence of the pumps exists)

- Swamp water level (t=0)
- Precipitation (t=0, ..., t=fh)
- Evapotranspiration (t=0, ..., t=fh)
- Pumping rates well 1 (t=0, ..., t=fh)
- Pumping rates well 2 (t=0, ..., t=fh)

Methods

Architecture



LS3

Results

Inserting preprocessed data – Measuring point 2

Evapotranspiration (Preprocessed) Raw data (Air temperature, Sun duration, Relative humidity, Wind velocity)



→ Inserting physical knowledge leads to better prediction results

Results

Individual and combined influences - Measuring point 2





Pumping event 1: Low precipitation, High evapotranspiration \rightarrow Drop in the SWL Pumping event 2: High precipitation, Low evapotranspiration \rightarrow Stable SWL \rightarrow LSTM can identify this situations \rightarrow Predicts the less pronounced fall of the SWL

Results LSTM and MODFLOW-Model





→ LSTM outperforms the MODFLOW-Model

Results

Scenarios of pumping events





→ Currently adding physical constraints to counteract this

References & Acknowledgement

FYI: We are currently working on a paper and some additional experiments (Include constraints, amount of data necessary for good results, preselection of possible input data)

References:

- [1] <u>https://towardsdatascience.com/illustrated-guide-to-lstms-and-gru-s-a-step-by-step-explanation-</u> 44e9eb85bf21
- Hochreiter, S., & Schmidhuber, J. (1997). Long short-term memory. Neural computation, 9(8), 1735-1780.
- Petneházi, G. (2019). Recurrent neural networks for time series forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.00069*.

Acknowledgement:

This work is funded by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy - EXC 2075 – 390740016. We acknowledge the support by the Stuttgart Center for Simulation Science (SimTech). Codes that are used for this paper can be found in the repository <u>https://github.com/timothypraditia/lstm_swl</u>

Contact: Sascha Flaig flaig@kobus-partner.com