



# Multi-level gas monitoring to understand the origin of transients

<u>Heiko Woith</u><sup>1</sup>, Kyriaki Daskalopoulou<sup>1</sup>, Martin Zimmer<sup>1</sup>, Tomáš Fischer<sup>2</sup>, Josef Vlček<sup>2</sup>, Jakub Trubac<sup>2</sup>, Jan-Erik Rosberg<sup>3</sup>, Tomáš Vylita<sup>2</sup>, Torsten Dahm<sup>1</sup>

> 1 GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany 2 Charles University, Praha, Czech Republic 3 Lund University, Sweden



vEGU21: Gather Online | 19-30 April 2021



The number of earthquake precursors apparently is inversely correlated with the length of the published (!) timeseries – this might be an indication that some of the "precursors" are not related to the earthquake process. For an in-depth discussion see Woith (2015).

#### **Motivation**



(A) Anomaly of physico-chemical parameters at Kuzuluk hot spring, NW Turkey (Woith, 1996); (B) Cross section of the Mt. Uludağ south of Bursa, about 130 km W of Kuzuluk, NW Turkey, modified from Imbach (1992). Both anomalies are likely rain-induced, but look strikingly similar to many published "seismo-tectonic" ones.

## Motivation

# <u>Objectives:</u> 1. How fast move fluids through the crust? 2. What causes short-term gas flow transients? 3. Are degassing sites connected?

0.01

Rn-soil

Rn

**CO2** 

He

ea

magma

#### Strategy:

- continuous fluid monitoring at different depths
- repeated sampling on different faults

This work is a part of MoRe - "Mofette Research" – project which was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - 419880416

#### Keysite: Hartoušov mofette (HM)





# multi-level monitoring set-up

#### 1

- gas monitoring
- weather station (Vaisala WXT536)
- broadband seismometer (Trillium Compact 120")
- gas flow, water temperature and water level at 3 different depth levels + gas pressure in wellhead see Fischer et al. (2020) Solid Earth, 11:983 F2
- fluid pressure at 92 m and 63 m
- borehole seismometer (ASIR SiA) at 70 m



#### Gas monitoring equipment





# Gas monitoring equipment



# F3 drilling 239.3 m









#### Fluid monitoring while drilling F3



from: Woith *et al.* (2020) Front. Earth Sci. 8:585733. doi: 10.3389/feart.2020.585733 10

# Fluid transients while drilling F3

- an excess of **Ar** for so far unknown reasons in two samples collected when the drilling of F3 arrived at 2 m and 41 m depth, respectively.
- perturbations at F1 on the **bubble fraction and the gas flow** when F3 was at a depth of ~38 m.
- fluid **pressure** transients in F2 lasting 2 days when F3 drill depth was between 110 and 125 m, i.e. when the first significant degassing of the cores was observed.
- the highest CH<sub>4</sub> value (22.9 μmol/mol) during the drilling phase was observed in F1 when F3 drill depth was ~125 m.
- at a drill depth of ~230 m, a significant **decrease in the gas flow** occurred at F1 while the **radon** concentration in the drillmud showed its maximum. This coincided with a **conductivity increase** and a **decreased redox** potential at the natural mofette located about 90 m SSE of the drillsite, both indicating the admixture of a mineralized deep fluid component to the low-conductivity shallow water typically present at the Hartoušov mofette.
- $H_2$  was always below detection limit, apart from one sample (0.27  $\mu$ mol/mol) collected from F2 when the drilling reached its final depth.
- higher He (31.8 µmol/mol) concentrations were found in F2 samples at the end and after the F3 drilling, indicating CO<sub>2</sub> loss.
- the lowest  $CH_4$  value (0.5  $\mu$ mol/mol) was found at F2 in the sample collected after the perforation of the F3 steel casing in January 2020. 11

#### Hartoušov gas composition



(A)  $N_2$ -CO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>4</sub> ternary diagram after Giggenbach et al. (1993) and (B)  $N_2$ -He-Ar ternary diagram after Giggenbach et al. (1983) of the gas emitted in the HMF. The abbreviation \_AC stands for air contamination, while data of samples collected during 2016 are from Bräuer et al. (2018).

#### Hartoušov gas composition



Binary plots of (**A**) He/Ar vs.  $N_2/O_2$  and (**B**) He vs.  $CH_4$ . Secondary processes are indicated with dashed arrows. The abbreviation \_AC stands for air contamination, while data of samples collected during 2016 are from Bräuer et al. (2018).

from: Daskalopoulou et al. (2021) Front. Earth Sci. 9:615766. doi: 10.3389/feart.2021.615766 13

#### Hartoušov gas composition timeseries



Time series plot for the period 30 July-7 September 2019 showing (A)  $\Sigma geo/\Sigma$ atm ratio in the two boreholes and the mofette, where  $\Sigma$  geo  $= CO_2 + He + H_2 + C_x H_y$  and  $\Sigma atm = N_2 + O_2 + Ar$ , **(B)** the wellhead pressure (wP) of F2 (m and the drilling depth of F3 (Woith et al., 2020), (C) the water temperature (wT) in F1, (**D**) the calculated bubble fraction and the measured gas flow in F1. (E) the barometric pressure (aP) and (F) the meteorological conditions represented by the precipitation and the air temperature (aT). The axes of water temperature and barometric pressure are inverted. The vertical gray lines indicate the beginning of a new week, while the gray areas correspond to anomalies discussed in the text. Data of the gas flow and the temperature monitoring at Hartoušov are available at web.natur.cuni.cz/uhigug/car bonnet/en\_index.html.

Daskalopoulou et al. (2021) Front. Earth Sci. 9:615766. doi: 10.3389/feart.2021.615766 14

#### Hartoušov gas composition vs. $\delta^{13}C$



Binary plot of  $(\text{He}+\text{CH}_4)$  /CO<sub>2</sub> vs. d<sup>13</sup>C<sub>CO2</sub> for the gases of Hartoušov. Data of samples collected during 2016 are from Bräuer et al. (2018).

Hartoušov Helium  $R_A$  vs.  $CH_4/^3He$ 



Air-corrected ( ${}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$ )c vs. CH<sub>4</sub>/ ${}^{3}\text{He}$  diagram (cf. Poreda et al., 1988). The abbreviation \_AC stands for air contamination, while data of samples collected during 2016 are from Bräuer et al. (2018).

Hartoušov CH<sub>4</sub>/Ar vs. CO<sub>2</sub>/Ar



Plot of  $\log(CH_4/Ar)$  vs.  $\log(CO_2/Ar)$  after Chiodini et al. (2007). The theoretical P<sub>CO2</sub>-T grids assume that the redox conditions are fixed by the D'Amore and Panichi (1980) buffer. The abbreviation \_AC stands for air contamination, while data of samples collected during 2016 are from Bräuer et al. (2018).

#### References

Bräuer, K., Kämpf, H., Niedermann, S., and Strauch, G.: Monitoring of helium and carbon isotopes in the western Eger Rift area (Czech Republic): Relationships with the 2014 seismic activity and indications for recent (2000–2016) magmatic unrest, *Chem. Geol.*, 482, 131-145, 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.02.017, 2018.

Chiodini, G., Baldini, A., Barberi, F., Carapezza, M. L., Cardellini, C., Frondini, F., Granieri, D., and Ranaldi, M.: Carbon dioxide degassing at Latera caldera (Italy): Evidence of geothermal reservoir and evaluation of its potential energy, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JB004896, 2007.

D'Amore, F., and Panichi, C.: Evaluation of deep temperatures of hydrothermal systems by a new gas geothermometer, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 44, 549-556, 10.1016/0016-7037(80)90051-4, 1980.

Daskalopoulou, K., Woith, H., Zimmer, M., Niedermann, S., Barth, J. A. C., Frank, A. H., Vieth-Hillebrand, A., Vlček, J., Bağ, C. D., and Bauz, R.: Insight Into Hartoušov Mofette, Czech Republic: Tales by the Fluids, *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 9, 615766, 10.3389/feart.2021.615766, 2021.

Fischer, T., Vlček, J. & Lanzendörfer, M., 2020. Monitoring crustal CO2 flow: methods and their applications to the mofettes in West Bohemia, *Solid Earth*, 11, 983-998, doi: doi.org/10.5194/se-11-983-2020.

Giggenbach, W. F., Gonfiantini, R., Jangi, B. L., and Truesdell, A. H.: Isotopic and chemical composition of Parbaty Valley geothermal discharges, North-West Himalaya, India, *Geothermics*, 12, 199-222, 1983.

Giggenbach, W. F., Sano, Y., and Wakita, H.: Isotopic composition of helium, and CO2 and CH4 contents in gases produced along the New Zealand part of a convergent plate boundary, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 57, 3427-3455, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(93)90549-C, 1993.

Imbach, T.: Thermalwässer von Bursa. Geologische und hydrogeologische Untersuchungen am Berg Uludag (NW Türkei), ETH, Zürich, 178 pp., 1992.

Poreda, R. J., Jeffrey, A. W., Kaplan, I. R., and Craig, H.: Magmatic helium in subduction zone natural gases, *Chem. Geol.*, 71, 198–210, 1988.

Woith, H.: Spatial and temporal variations of radon in ground air and ground water within the Mudurnu Valley, NW-Turkey, Christian-Albrechts-University Kiel, 142 pp., 1996.

Woith, H.: Radon earthquake precursor: A short review, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top., 224, 611-627, 10.1140/epjst/e2015-02395-9, 2015.

Woith, H., Daskalopoulou, K., Zimmer, M., Fischer, T., Vlček, J., Trubač, J., Rosberg, J.-E., Vylita, T., and Dahm, T.: Multi-Level Gas Monitoring: A New Approach in Earthquake Research, *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 8, 585733, 10.3389/feart.2020.585733, 2020.

# Děkuji pěkně! tack så mycket! Thank you! Vielen Dank!