Tropospheric products as a signal of interest – overview of troposphere sensing techniques

Karina Wilgan^{1,2,3,4}, Witold Rohm³, Jaroslaw Bosy³, Alain Geiger⁴, M. Adnan Siddique⁵, Jens Wickert^{1,2}, Galina Dick²

¹TU Berlin, Chair of GNSS Remote Sensing, Navigation and Positioning, Berlin, Germany
 ²GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany
 ³Wroclaw University of Environmental and Life Sciences (UP), Wroclaw, Poland
 ⁴ETH Zürich, Switzerland
 ⁵Information Technology University, Lahore, Pakistan

vEGU21 29.04.2021



WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND LIFE SCIENCES





Motivation

The microwave signal propagating through the atmosphere is delayed.

The **ionospheric delay** is caused by the free electron content.

The **tropospheric delay** is caused by the air molecules and the water vapor.

The **tropospheric delay** was initially considered just as a **source of error**, but it can provide **valuable information** on the state of the troposphere.



Tropospheric delay as a signal of interest



Integration of data sources



GNSS+NWM for PPP



Using the integrated GNSS+NWM (WRF) model as a priori for PPP leads to:

- Reduction of 3D bias by 20 mm (57%) in static and 10 mm (40%) in kinematic mode.
- Shortening the convergence time by 13% for horizontal coordinates and 20% for vertical coordinates (for 10 cm convergence level).

- Wilgan et al, 2017b, GPSS
- More converged solutions.



Combining GNSS and InSAR



GFZ

Helmholtz Centre Potspam

Taking advantage of the **complementary characteristics**:

GNSS

- high temporal resolution
- low spatial density

- InSAR
- low temporal resolution
- high spatial density





GNSS for InSAR

- Kilauea volcano eruption and Mw6.9 earthquake in May 2018
- 57 Sentinel-1 acquisitions from April to October 2018
- 77 GNSS stations located in Big Island, Hawaii

With large number of GPS sites, GNSS-COMEDIE correction provides the **best RMS reduction** for 21 ascending and 23 descending Sentinel-1 scenes.







POTSDAM

GNSS for NWM

←Humidity profile GPS ZTD: above 2.5 km decreases bias by 20%

2m relative humidity \rightarrow GPS ZTD: bias reduction by 2%



Assimilation of GPS PW/ZTD often leads to positive or neutral effect on the forecasted meteorological parameters.

This study: **positive impact on moisture field and precipitation forecasts (WRF)**



Multi-GNSS for NWM

Current operational assimilation: GPS-only ZTD/PWV Current challenge: multi-GNSS STD

GRE, GR and GPSonly have similar agreement with ERA5, but GRE provides more low-elevation angle observations

GF7

Helmholtz Centr

POTSDAM



STD GNSS vs ERA5







Wilgan et al, 2017a, JOGE





Meteodrones (SD=1.7 ppm) are in higher agreement with GNSS data than radiosondes (SD=5.1 ppm).



Summary

Tropospheric products as signal of interest:

- Integration of data sources (e.g. NWM+GNSS) leads to the accuracy enhancement.
- Using the integrated model **shortens the convergence time** for PPP.
- Using one microwave technique **can mitigate the atmospheric errors** in another (e.g. GNSS for InSAR).
- Combining different space techniques (e.g. InSAR + GNSS) utilizes their complementary characteristics.
- Using the GNSS data for assimilation into NWM leads to positive effect on meteorological forecasts.
- Future challenge in GNSS assimilation: slant tropospheric delays.
- Using alternative methods of data acquisition e.g. meteodrones instead of radiosondes.



Thank you for your attention! Questions?

wilgan@gfz-potsdam.de





References

Wilgan K, Hurter F, Geiger A, Rohm W, Bosy J (2017a), Tropospheric refractivity and zenith path delays from least-squares collocation of meteorological and GNSS data, Journal of Geodesy, Volume 91, Issue 2, p. 117–134, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-016-0942-5

- Wilgan K, Hadas T, Hordyniec P, Bosy J (2017b), Real-time precise point positioning augmented with high-resolution numerical weather prediction model, GPS Solutions, Volume 21, Issue 3, p. 1341–1353, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-017-0617-6
- Rohm W, Guzikowski J, Wilgan K, Kryza M (2019), 4DVAR assimilation of GNSS zenith path delays and precipitable water into a numerical weather prediction model WRF, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Volume 12, Issue 1 p. 345–361, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-345-2019
- Wilgan K, Siddique M A, Strozzi T, Geiger A, Frey O (2019), Comparison of Tropospheric Path Delay Estimates from GNSS and Space-Borne SAR Interferometry in Alpine Conditions, Remote Sensing, Volume 11 Issue 15, p. 1789, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11151789
- Shehaj E, Wilgan K, Frey O and Geiger A (2020), A collocation framework to retrieve tropospheric delays from a combination of GNSS and InSAR. Navigation, Issue 67, p. 823-842, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/navi.398
- Wilgan K, Stauffer R, Meindl M, Geiger A (2020), Comparison of tropospheric parameters from Meteodrone measurements with GNSS estimates from ground-based stations Advances in Space Research, Volume 66, Issue 12, p.2812-2826, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2020.04.019

Wilgan K, Dick G, Zus F, Wickert J (2021), Multi-GNSS meteorology: Initial comparisons of propagation delays and gradients with weather models, in preparation
GFZ







Extra

Total refractivity N

$$N_{tot} = k_1 \frac{p - e}{T} + k_2 \frac{e}{T} + k_3 \frac{e}{T^2}$$

Zenith total delay ZTD

$$ZTD = 10^{-6} \int N_{tot} ds$$

Slant total delay STD

 $STD = MF_h(el)ZHD + MF_w(el)ZWD$ $+ MF_g(A)(G_N \cos(A) + G_E \sin(A))$

