





<u>R. Lescoutre</u>¹, B. Almqvist¹, H. Koyi¹, T. Berthet, P. Hedin², O. Galland³, H. Lorenz¹, S. Brahimi⁴, C. Juhlin¹

Large-scale flat-lying mafic intrusions in the

Baltica crust of central Sweden and

implications for basement deformation during

Caledonian orogeny

Uppsala University, Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden Geological Survey of Sweden, Uppsala, Sweden University of Oslo, Department of Geosciences, Oslo, Norway University of Strasbourg, IPGS-CNRS, Strasbourg, France

Sharing not permitted

COSC-2 drilling

3D geometry

Inheritance and deformation







Potential field models

Östersund

3D geometry

Sundsvall

Lescoutre et al. (in prep.)

50 km

62° N

Architecture of the basement underneath the Caledonian nappes? Distribution of the deformation in the basement? Role of inheritance in localising deformation?

OW

West Cost cosc



Trondheim NCCT-1

- Uppermost Allochthon Caledonian intrusions (Upper and Uppermost Allochthon)
- Upper Allochthon
- Middle Allochthon
- Lower Allochthon
- Basement windows (para-autochthon)



Lescoutre et al. (in prep.)



Potential field models

3D geometry

Inheritance and deformation

Outlooks



Potential field models

3D geometry



Ordovician-Silurian flyschs

Cambrian Alum shales

Neoproterozoic (?) tuffs

Volcanic porphyries

Dolerites



- Dolerites: high magnetic susceptibility and high density
- Porphyries: lower magnetic susceptibility and density





Scientific questions

COSC-2 drilling

Potential field models

3D geometry

flysch

Cambrian Alum

shales

Tuff

Volcanic porphyries

Dolerite

Inheritance and deformation

Outlooks



- ✓ Dolerites: high magnetic susceptibility and high density
- ✓ Porphyries: lower magnetic susceptibility and density
- Lithological boundaries \checkmark nicely correlate with major seismic reflectors
- Deformation along the \checkmark margins of the thick (>240 m) dolerite
- Basement made of volcanic \checkmark porphyries





- Are all the pervasive reflectors dolerites?
- What is their expression on potential field data?





320.00

240.00

160.00

-76.00

-80.00

-84.00

-88.00

Magnetics (nT)

Gravity (mGal)



~60 nT asymmetric magnetic anomaly and gravity anomaly associated to west-dipping dolerites

New gravity data (acquired by T. Berthet)



	Used magnetic susceptibility (SI)	Used density (kg/m ³)
liddle Allochthon (Seve nappe)	0.0001	2760
dovician-Silurian sedimentary cover	0.0001	2760
leoproterozoic (?) basin	0.001; 0.001	2690; 2650
olerites	0.05	2910
olcanic porphyries (TIB)	0.002	2680
ätan granite (TIB)	0.04	2730
nknown basement rocks (e.g. Svecofennian, Revsund, mafics)	0.001	2720







	Used magnetic susceptibility (SI)	Used density (kg/m ³)
entary cover	0.0001	2760
	0.001	2650
	0.05	2910
(TIB)	0.002	2680
(TIB)	0.04; 0.06; 0.1	2730; 2730; 3000
(e.g. Svecofennian, Revsund, mafics)	0.001 - 0.04	2710 - 2800

permitted



- N3 L1 L2? Magnetics (nT) 800.00 · Observed 400.00 - Calculated 0.00--40.00 Gravity (mGal) -50.00 · Observed -60.00 - Calculated -70.00 -80.00 0.00 East CCT depth (km) 7.00 14.00 Lescoutre et al. (in prep.) VE =1 0.00-East CCT depth (km) 7.00 14.00 Odovician-S Neoprotero Dolerites 0.00 30.00 60.00 Volcanic po Rätan gran Sharing no Unknown b

- Very high and symmetric • magnetic and gravity anomaly
 - -> Monzogabbros in Rätan granite
- ~60 nT asymmetric magnetic anomaly associated to westdipping dolerites

What is the geometry of these anomalies in map view and in 3D?

		Used magnetic susceptibility (SI)	Used density (kg/m ³)
Silurian sedimentary	cover	0.0001	2760
zoic (?) basin		0.001	2650
		0.05	2910
orphyries	(TIB)	0.002	2680
ite (3 types)	(TIB)	0.04; 0.06; 0.1	2730; 2730; 3000
asement rocks (e.g.	Svecofennian, Revsund, mafics)	0.001 - 0.04	2710 - 2800



Indication about the 3D geometry of the dolerites between the CCT and CSP profiles





















Lescoutre et al. (in prep.)





Offerberget, Finland (Rice, 1986)





Lescoutre et al. (in prep.)





Potential field models

3D geometry

Inheritance and deformation

Outlooks



Modified after Bergman et al. (2006) and Hedin et al. (2014)



Remaining questions and future research projects?



- Westward prolongation of the SEDZ/HSZ and link with the emplacement of the TIB? With Caledonian deformation?
- En-échelon volcanic basins?
- Parameters controlling flat-lying intrusions?
- Role of inherited mafic intrusions in localizing deformation in the basement? Influence of temperature, fluids, rheology, intrusion's thickness?

 Magma-rich vs. magma-poor passive margins: influence on reactivation during incipient orogenic evolution?

