Inverse analysis of fire-induced carbon emission from Equatorial Asia in 2015 with CONTRAIL and NIES-VOS data

Yosuke Niwa^{1,2}, Yousuke Sawa^{2*}, Hideki Nara¹, Toshinobu Machida¹, Hidekazu Matsueda^{2**}, Taku Umezawa¹, Akihiko Ito¹, Shin-Ichiro Nakaoka¹, Hiroshi Tanimoto¹, and Yasunori Tohjima¹



National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan
Meteorological Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan
*Now at Japan Meteorological Agency, Tokyo, Japan
** Now at Dokkyo University, Soka, Japan



Outline

- We performed the inverse analysis for carbon fluxes in Equatorial Asia during the historic El Niño of 2015.
- We extensively used the CONTRAIL aircraft data in the inverse analysis. Furthermore, with the help of NIES VOS observations, we validated the estimated fluxes.
- 1. Fires in 2015
- 2. Aircraft data: CONTRAIL
- 3. Ship data: NIES VOS
- 4. Inversion system: NISMON-CO₂
- 5. Results
- 6. Summary



How much was carbon emitted from the devastating fires in 2015?

Previous studies

- Huijnen et al., Sci. Rep., (2016)
 - MOPITT-CO + local obs. for emission factor
- Field et al., PNAS, (2016)
 - Multiple satellites CO, Aerosol
- Yin et al., GRL, (2016)
 - MOPITT-CO
- Heymann et al., GRL, (2017)

• OCO-2 CO2

Every study used satellite data; furthermore, most of those used carbon monoxide (CO), as a proxy of fire-induced CO₂. Also, their estimates have a significantly large range. $(\sim 200 - 500 \text{ Tg C})$



taken by Himawari-8 on 21 Oct 2015

Worldwide aircraft observation network of CONTRAIL

CO₂ observed over Singapore



In 2015, CONTRAIL aircraft flied to Singapore very often, which elucidated detail temporal variations of atmospheric CO_2 in Equatorial Asia.

Please visit http://www.cger.nies.go.jp/contrail/





Machida et al., (2008) IS Sawa et al. (2012) etc.

In this study, we used in-situ continuous measurement data of CO_2 by CME.



Coincident CO₂ and CO elevations observed by cargo-ship





Fujitrans World

NIES Volunteer Observing Ship (VOS) Programme (Tohjima et al., 2005; Terao et al., 2011; Nakaoka et al., 2013; Nara et al., 2011, 2014, 2017)

NIES VOS observations in both September and October 2015 captured coincident elevations of CO_2 and CO mole fractions in the east of the Malay Peninsula and west of Borneo.

Inversion system NISMON-CO₂



NICAM-based Inversion Simulation for Monitoring CO₂ (NISMON-CO₂) (Niwa et al., 2017a,b) A CO function is newly implemented in the inversion system to use CO as a proxy of fire-induced emissions.





NICAM's grid (icosahedral grid ~112km) (Satoh et al., 2008) An inverse analysis was performed with CO_2 data of CONTRAIL and flux scaling factors were separately optimized. The optimized scaling factor of the biomass burning component was applied to that of CO and the simulated CO mole fractions were compared with the NIES VOS observations.

How did the observations see surface fluxes?



Sensitivity of surface CO_2 flux against the observations (e.g., footprints) of CONTRAIL over Singapore (upper) and NIES VOS (lower) for September (left) and October (right) 2015.

The calculated footprints indicate that the CONTRAIL observations could provide significant constraints on flux estimates for Equatorial Asia, especially Borneo. Compared to CONTRAIL, the NIES VOS footprints are restricted to the ocean because the observations were made within the marine boundary layer. Nevertheless, there are some sensitivities of the NIES VOS observations on the coasts of the islands.

Clear biomass burning signals in the CO data of NIES VOS



Time series of CO mole fractions obtained by the in situ NIES VOS measurement (black) for September (a) and October (b) and corresponding simulation results by NICAM-TM with prior CO emission data (red). Model simulations only from fire emissions in Sumatra and Borneo are also denoted by blue and cyan colours, respectively.



CO₂ fluxes estimated by CONTRAIL



Prior (a) and posterior (b: C_GG, e: C_NO) surface CO_2 flux distributions averaged for September 2015. Differences between prior and posterior fluxes (c,f) and prior fire emissions (d) are also shown. Note that the prior estimate of (a) was used both for C_GG and C_NO, while the prior fire estimate of (d) was used only for C_GG.

Total net flux and fire emission of carbon from Equatorial Asia for September–October 2015

	Total net flux	Fire emission
	[Tg C]	[Tg C]
Prior (GG)	357	299
Prior (GD)	360	301
Prior (GS)	355	296
Prior (NO)	59	0
C_GG	324	277
C_GD	304	256
C_GS	320	265
C_NO	211	122
CV GG	322	273

C_: CONTRAIL, CV_: CONTRAIL & NIES VOS GG: (GFED+GFAS)/2, GD: GFED, GS: GFAS NO: without biomass burning priors

Better consistency with the NIES VOS data





Comparing the posterior results with the prior ones, we found better consistency with the NIES VOS observation, which is true for both CO_2 and CO.

Note that the NIES VOS data are independent of the inversions except for CV_GG.

Correlation coefficient (upper panels) and root-mean-squaredifference (RMSD) (lower panels) between the observed and simulated NIES VOS CO₂ (left) and CO (right) mole fractions.

Summary

- We performed the inverse analysis for carbon fluxes in Equatorial Asia during the historic El Niño of 2015.
- We extensively used the CONTRAIL aircraft data in the inverse analysis. Furthermore, with the help of NIES VOS observations, especially its CO data, we demonstrated the validity of our inverse analysis and the fact that the aircraft data could constrain flux estimates efficiently. It is essential for Equatorial Asia because there are insufficient ground-based observations in the region.
- We estimated the fire-induced carbon flux to be 273 Tg C for September–October. This number accounts for 75% of the annual fire emission and 45% of the annual net carbon flux in Equatorial Asia, demonstrating that fire emissions are a major driving force of the carbon flux in the region.



Estimation of fire-induced carbon emission from Equatorial Asia in 2015 by using in situ aircraft and ship observations

Yosuke Niwa^{1,2}, Yousuke Sawa^{2,a}, Hideki Nara¹, Toshinobu Machida¹, Hidekazu Matsueda^{2,b}, Taku Umezawa⁰, Akihiko Ito¹, Shin-Ichiro Nakaoka⁰, Hiroshi Tanimoto¹, and Yasunori Tohjima¹ ¹National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan ²Meteorological Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan ^anow at: Japan Meteorological Agency, Tokyo, Japan ^bnow at: Dokkyo University, Soka, Japan

under review for ACP

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-1239



What happend in CONTRAIL for 2020?





Even now, the CONTRAIL observation is ongoing!

Acknowledgement

This study was supported mainly by the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund of the Ministry of the Environment, Japan, and the Environmental Restoration and Conservation Agency of Japan (JPMEERF20142001 and JPMEERF20172001), whose project leader was Nobuko Saigusa of NIES. The work was also supported by the JSPS KAKENHI, Grant Number 19K03976. The inverse simulations in this study were performed on the NIES supercomputer system (NEC SX-ACE). The observations from the CONTRAIL project are conducted under great supports of Japan Airlines, JAMCO and the JAL Foundation. The observational projects of CONTRAIL and NIES VOS are financially supported by the research fund by Global Environmental Research Coordination System of the Ministry of the Environment, Japan (E1253, E1652, E1851). YN is grateful to the NICAM developers of the University of Tokyo, JAMSTEC, RIKEN and NIES for maintaining and developing NICAM. Our appreciation is also extended to Tomoko Shirai and Yoko Fukuda of NIES for developing and maintaining GED, through which we make our observational data publicly available.

The CONTRAIL CME data (CO₂) are available with doi:10.17595/20180208.001.