

Geological setting

Moxa Geodynamic Observatory is located about 30 km south of Jena in the Thuringian Slate Mountains The borehole measurements were taken directly after drilling and may have been influenced by the drilling fluid taken from Silberleite creek. Measured temperatures from (Paleozoic Saxo-Thuringian). Folding in the surrounding of the observatory shows SW-NE striking and the log in comparsion with the temperatures measured three years later via the distributed temperature sensing (DTS) glass fiber show no influence of the drilling fluid down SE vergence (Franke, 1984). The predominantly fine clastic lithologies are of Lower Carboniferous age. to a depth of 73 m. From there the trend of pH changes and a local minimum of oxygen saturation is evident. Two major aquifers can be identified in conjunction with the Kasch (2006) carried out a detailed mapping around the observatory and suspected a fault in the valley weathered and strongly broken cores at 10-11 m and 22-23 m. Calculated dipping shows similar dip angles of the layering measured at the cores. Higher dip values fit well of the Silberleite creek, where the observatory is located. with the dipping of fractures measured by Kasch (2006) at surrounding outcrops.





Core sample information

The cores consist of silty graywackes corresponding to a sequence of the upper three layers of the Bouma sequence. The dip of the layers was measured with a spacing of 0.5 m on the cores. Fractures with several secondary minerals occure with a spacing of approximately 60 cm. At 46.5 m depth 6 cm thick quartz layers overlaid by 4 cm fault gouch were found. At 74.5 m depth a 60 cm thick fault breccia was identified.



Franke, W., 1984: Variszischer Deckenbau im Raume der Münchberger Gneismasse

Verlagsbuchhandlung.

abgeleitet aus der Fazies, Deckenbauinformation und Metamorphose im umgebenden Paläozoikum. Geotektonische Forschungen, W. Zeil, V. 68, E. Schweizerbart'sche

Identification of a fault zone beneath Moxa observatory (Central Germany): evidence from combining logging, rock physical measurements, and geophysical profiling

Valentin Kasburg¹, Todor Valchev, Andreas Goepel, Cornelius O. Schwarze & Nina Kukowski Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Institut für Geowissenschaften, AG Allgemeine Geophysik ¹valentin.kasburg@uni-jena.de

Borehole measurements

Surface geophysical surveys

Iwakiri, S., 2015: Gesteinsphysikalische Untersuchungen an Kernmaterialien aus der Bohrung Moxa 1/2013.

nordwestlichen Teilabschnitt des Ziegenrück-Synklinoriums bei Moxa. Diploma Thesis, FSU Jena.

Kasch, N., 2006: Diplomkartierung & Diplomarbeit - Strukturgeologische Betrachtungen im

Bachelors Thesis, FSU Jena

Using geoelectrical resistivity tomography (ERT) for resistivity profile measurements Valchev (2020) was able to demonstrate a low resistivity anomaly in the in the valley of the Silberleite where the observatory is located. Low resistivity anomalies also occur in profiles crossing the "Forest"-fault northeast of the observatory.

Cluster Analysis

Cluster analysis was performed for Gaussian mixed models using the expectation maximization algorithm. In the depth representation of selected measurements, class changes in the encountered fault zones and aquifers become visible.

Kasch, N., Naujoks, M., Kley, J. and Jahr, T. (2013). Combined geological and gravimetric mapping and modelling for an improved understanding of observed high-resolution gravity variations: A case study for the Global Geodynamics Project (GGP) station Moxa, Germany. International Journal of Earth Sciences. 102. 10.1007/s00531-012-0859-z.

Petrophysical measurements

Thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity, permeability and sonic velocities have been determined on the cores (Iwakiri (2015), Schwarze (2015), Kasburg (2020)). While the thermal conductivity is relatively constant, the thermal diffusivity shows small variations. Permeability is highly variable, which can be related to the width of the fractures and degree of refilling with secondary minerals Sonic velocities have been measured in the xy-plane. Above the two identified faults the velocities in strike direction decrease. For the velocities in the dip direction, this only applies to the cores above 46.5 m.

Conclusions

The combined evaluation of logging, rock physical measurements and geophysical profiling allows a better understanding of the subsurface structure:

permability for a major fault 500 480 **-** 460 440 420 interbedded-Roettersdorf-400 subformation

Roettersdorf-bandedslates-subformation 380 Fractures 360 - Fault / suspected

50

100

Acknowledgements

Schwarze, C. 2015: Bestimmung der thermischen Gesteinseigenschaften imUntergrund durch Labor- und Feldversuche an den Bohrungen KB-Moxa 1/13 und FB-Moxa 1/13. Diploma Thesis, FSU Jena.

• major horizontal aquifers are at depths of 3-6 m, 10-11 m and 22-23 m

• approx. 75° dipping fractures occur frequently and serve as minor aquifers with variable

• several faults were identified in the cores, but only sections at 46.5 m and 74.5 m are relevant

B Due to the greater thickness of the fault breccia and the deviating temperatures of the DTS measurement in the same depth it can be considered as the main fault. During construction in 1964, the entrance to the gallery had to be shifted backwards by several meters because increased moisture was encountered there along with a fractured and unstable lithology. We interpret this as fault breccia and relate it to the faulted zone observed in the drill core.

Thanks to the Honours Program of the FSU Jena, in the framework of which this poster was created.

Valchev, T. 2020: Aquisition und Inversion 2D/3D-geoelektrischer Daten: Ableitung eines

tektonischen Untergrundmodells des Geodynamischen Observatoriums Moxa. Masters Thesis, FSU Jena.