

Secular changes in length of day induced by the redistribution potential (display materials)

A. Escapa¹, T. Baenas², & J.M. Ferrándiz¹

¹*UAVAC, University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain*

²*Centro Universitario de la Defensa, Murcia, Spain*

Alberto.Escapa@ua.es

EGU General Assembly 2022

Vienna, Austria & Online, 23–27 May 2022

Contents

1. Context
2. Methods and results
3. Summary

This talk is based on the paper ***Secular changes in length of day: Effect of the mass redistribution*** by Baenas, Escapa, & Ferrándiz (Astronomy & Astrophysics, 648, A89, 9 pp., 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202140356>)

We refer the reader to that publication in order to find the precise definition of the notations and symbols used in the next slides, as well as a comprehensive discussion on this topic.

Contents

1. Context
2. Methods and results
3. Summary

Context

- ❑ The tidal action of the Moon and the Sun induces an Earth mass redistribution
- ❑ Such redistribution gives rise to an additional term of the gravitational potential of the Earth —the redistribution tidal potential— that affects the Earth's rotation
- ❑ In previous research (Baenas et al. 2019, 2020), we derived the tidal redistribution contributions to the precession and nutation of the Earth
- ❑ Those results were computed within the Hamiltonian framework of the rotation of the non-rigid Earth, providing consistent analytical formulae that can be evaluated for different Earth parameters like, e.g., Love number sets
- ❑ In this communication we extend the above formulation to study the secular variation of the Earth's rotation rate due to redistribution tidal effects

Context

- A change of the Earth's rotation rate can be alternatively expressed in terms of a change of length of day (LOD)

$$\delta\text{LOD} = -\overline{\text{LOD}} \frac{\delta\omega_z}{\omega_E}$$

- So, the secular acceleration of the Earth about its spin axis is also described by

$$\delta \left(\frac{d\text{LOD}}{dt} \right) = -\frac{\overline{\text{LOD}}}{\omega_E} \delta \left(\frac{d\omega_z}{dt} \right)$$

- Observationally, the tidal part of LOD rate is about $2.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ ms cy}^{-1}$ (Stepheson et al. 2016), including a contribution of atmospheric tides that amounts -0.1 ms cy^{-1} (Ray et al. 1999)
- There are other significant contributions to LOD rate of non-tidal origin related to changes of the Earth's inertia matrix, e.g., glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA), and other mechanisms (Gross 2015)

Context

- Other works have also computed the tidal secular deceleration

Authors	LOD rate (ms cy ⁻¹)	Mass redistr.	Earth model	Analyt.
Getino & Ferrándiz (1991)	2.10	AE	1L	Yes
Krasinsky (1999)	2.13	AE	1L	Yes
Ray et al. (1999)	2.38	OT	1L (atm.)	No
Lambert & Mathews (2008)	2.34	AE+OT	2L	No
Mathews & Lambert (2009)	2.50	AE+OT	2L	No
Williams & Boggs (2016)	2.40	AE+OT	2L	Yes

AE: anelasticity of the mantle; OT: oceanic tide; 1L/2L: one-layer/two-layer Earth model

- That research was based on the torque-approach, but Getino & Ferrándiz (1991), not always providing analytical formulae
- Mathews & Lambert (2009) and Williams & Boggs (2016) considered quite similar Earth models (two-layer, coupled core-mantle), although the underlying oceanic model is different (CSR4.0 vs FES2004) as well as the Love frequency dependence

Contents

1. Context

2. Method and results

3. Summary

Method and results

- ❑ Our baseline **Earth model** consists of a two-layer structure with a **deformable mantle and a fluid core**
- ❑ The gravitational interaction of the **Moon and the Sun** is described from **Kinoshita (1977) orbital functions** $B_{i;p}$, $C_{i;p}$ and $D_{i;p}$ computed from ELP2000 and VSOP82 **ephemeris**
- ❑ The **redistribution** is characterized by introducing the **Love number formalism** with **frequency dependence per tide**:
 - **IERS Conventions 2010**: **solid tides**
 - **Williams & Boggs (2016)**: **ocean** direct contribution (FES2004)
- ❑ It is also considered a **dissipative torque** at the core mantle boundary (**CMB**), governing the **degree of coupling** of the **core and the mantle** (parameter R^*)
- ❑ All the above features are implemented (**Baenas et al. 2021**) within a **Hamiltonian framework**, providing an **analytical expression** for the **tidal secular changes** of LOD or acceleration

Method and results

□ The resulting formula for the secular angular deceleration is

$$\delta \left(\frac{d\omega_{0z}}{dt} \right) = -\omega_E \frac{C}{C_{\text{eff}}(T)} \sum_{p,q=M,S} f_q k_p \sum_{\substack{i,j;\tau,\epsilon \in \mathcal{I} \\ m=1,2}} |\bar{k}_{2m,j}| T_{ijpq,m}^{(\omega_z)} \sin \varepsilon_{2m,j}$$

□ Here, we have the following dependences (Baenas et al. 2021):

- Orbital functions

$$T_{ijpq,m}^{(\omega_z)} = 3C_{i;p}C_{j;q}\delta_{m1} + \frac{3}{2}D_{i;p}D_{j;q}\delta_{m2}$$

- Love numbers

$$\bar{k}_{2m,j} = |\bar{k}_{2m,j}| e^{i\varepsilon_{2m,j}}$$

- Model parameters

$$k_p = \frac{3Gm_p}{\omega_E a_p^2} H_d, \quad f_q = \frac{m_q a_E^2}{3CH_d} \left(\frac{a_E}{a_q} \right)^3$$

- Effective polar moment of inertia

$$\frac{1}{C_{\text{eff}}(T)} = \frac{1}{C} \left(1 + \frac{C_c^2}{C} \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{CR^*T}{C_m C_c}}}{R^*T} \right) \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \lim_{T \rightarrow 0^+} C_{\text{eff}}(T) = C_m \text{ (decoup.)} \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} C_{\text{eff}}(T) = C \text{ (tot. coup.)} \end{cases}$$

Method and results

- ❑ The tidal secular LOD for totally coupled and decoupled cases

Case	Solid tides (IERS 2010)	Ocean tides (WB2016)	Total (ms cy ⁻¹)
Tot. coupled	0.108	2.310	2.418
Decoupled	0.122	2.607	2.729

- ❑ In the totally coupled case, the different contributions of each frequency band and kind of tides can also be isolated

Potential	Solid tides (IERS 2010)	Ocean tides (WB2016)	Total (ms cy ⁻¹)
Tesseral ($m=1$)	-0.004	0.352	0.348
Sectorial ($m=2$)	0.112	1.959	2.071
Total	0.108	2.310	2.418

- ❑ Very good agreement with the Williams & Boggs (2016) value and the observed estimation 2.4 ms cy⁻¹ corrected with the atmospheric tide contribution (-0.1 ms cy⁻¹, Ray et al. 1999)

Contents

1. Context
2. Method and results
- 3. Summary**

Summary

- ❑ We have derived a closed-analytical formula for the secular tidal change of LOD through the Hamiltonian formalism of the non-rigid Earth
- ❑ The model considers a two-layer Earth, with dissipation at the CMB, and the tidal redistribution characterized for two sets of Love numbers related to the solid and oceanic tides
- ❑ For the Love numbers sets given by IERS Conventions 2010 — solid tides— and William & Boggs (2016) —oceanic tides—, we obtained a numerical value about 2.42 ms cy^{-1} assuming a totally coupled core-mantle secular evolution
- ❑ That value is in very good agreement with the observed estimation (Stepheson et al. 2016) and other theoretical works (William & Boggs 2016)

Summary

- ❑ The Hamiltonian approach offers the advantage that all the effects of the redistribution tidal potential are worked out from the **same Hamiltonian function**, so the redistribution contribution to precession, nutation, and secular changes in LOD remains necessarily **consistent**
- ❑ In addition, such analytical formulation, leading to literal formulae, allows a direct application to different Earth rheological and oceanic models

Contents

1. Context
2. Methods and results
3. Summary

This talk is based on the paper ***Secular changes in length of day: Effect of the mass redistribution*** by Baenas, Escapa, & Ferrándiz (Astronomy & Astrophysics, 648, A89, 9 pp., 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202140356>)

We refer the reader to that publication in order to find the precise definition of the notations and symbols used in the next slides, as well as a comprehensive discussion on this topic.

References

- ❑ Baenas, T., Escapa, A., & Ferrándiz, J. M., 2019, A&A, 626, A58
- ❑ Baenas, T., Escapa, A., & Ferrándiz, J. M., 2020, A&A, 643, A159
- ❑ Baenas, T., Escapa, A., & Ferrándiz, J. M., 2021, A&A, 648, A89
- ❑ Getino, J. & Ferrándiz, J. M. 1991, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron., 52, 381
- ❑ Gross, R. S. 2015, Physical Geodesy: Treatise on Geophysics, Earth Rotation Variations (Oxford: Elsevier), 3.09, 215
- ❑ IERS Conventions 2010, IERS Technical Note 36, eds. G. Petit, & B. Luzum, 179
- ❑ Kinoshita, H. 1977, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron., 15, 277
- ❑ Krasinsky, G. A. 1999, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron., 75, 39
- ❑ Lambert, S. B. & Mathews, P. M. 2008, A&A, 481, 883
- ❑ Mathews, P. M. & Lambert, S. B. 2009, A&A, 493, 325
- ❑ Ray, R. D., Bruce, G. B., & Chao, B. F. 1999, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 17653
- ❑ Stephenson, F. R., Morrison, L. V., & Hohenkerk, C. Y. 2016, Proc. R. Soc. A, 472, 160404
- ❑ Williams, J. G. & Boggs, D. H. 2016, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron., 126, 89

Acknowledgments

This work has been partially supported by the Spanish projects PID2020-119383GB-I00 funded by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/) and PROMETEO/2021/030 funded by Generalitat Valenciana