Reconstruction of Glacier Mass Balance with Surface Energy Balance Modeling across Southwestern Canada

Christina Draeger and Valentina Radić PICO CR1.1, EGU 2023



THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA





Problem:

Current models are (semi-) empirical with poorly constrained parameters Melt model complexity



Surface Energy Balance models

Melt model

Data required

Christina Draeger and Valentina Radić Contact: cdraeger@eoas.ubc.ca

Problem:

Current models are (semi-) empirical with poorly constrained parameters

Project goal:

Incorporate a Surface Energy Balance model and a model for ice dynamics into an existing glacier evolution model by Radić et al. (2014) Melt model complexity



Melt model



Surface Energy Balance models

Data required

Graphics adapted from Anne Maussion, Licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0

Glacier Evolution Model

Accumulation

Ablation: Surface Energy Balance Model



Model for ice dynamics

Surface mass balance

Christina Draeger and Valentina Radić Contact: cdraeger@eoas.ubc.ca





Glacier Evolution Model

Christina Draeger and Valentina Radić Contact: cdraeger@eoas.ubc.ca





Ablation: Surface Energy Balance Model

Glacier Evolution Model







Ablation: Surface Energy Balance Model

Calibration:

Observations: 17 glaciers in the region from the World Glacier Monitoring Service (WGMS)

- Precipitation factor
- Precipitation gradient
- Temperature bias additional to lapse-rate correction
- Albedo factor
- Wind factor

First results for Peyto Glacier







Winter Summer

- Observation

Details





Current models are (semi-) empirical with poorly constrained parameters.

In the absence of these measurements, the models suffer from large uncertainties in their projections, especially at local scales.



Calibration and Model Evaluation

Summary and objectives



This study aims to narrow the uncertainties in regional glaciation modeling by incorporating a **Surface Energy Balance (SEB) model** for melt modeling into an existing glacier evolution model.

The **objective** is to simulate the mass balance of all glaciers across Southwestern Canada (~18'000 glaciers) using the improved version of the glacier evolution model developed in Radić et al. (2014).

The **key improvement** is the replacement of the temperature index model with a simple SEB model with minimal calibration to simulate glacier ablation. The SEB model is forced by ERA5 data with **minimal bias corrections** or statistical downscaling for the period of 1979–2022. We also add a simple model for ice dynamics.

The simulated mass balance and area change is then **evaluated against the observed glacier volume changes** (Schiefer et al., 2007) and **area changes** (Bevington and Menounos, 2022) across the region as previously derived from remote sensing.

Relevance and Objectives

Calibration and Model Evaluation

Christina Draeger and Valentina Radić Glacier evolution model based on Radić et al. (2014) Contact: cdraeger@eoas.ubc.ca

Surface mass balance



Next Steps 13

Relevance and Objectives

Ablation

Conceptual model





T: temperature lapse: lapse rate P: precipitation DOY: day of year Lout: outgoing longwave radiation Lin: incoming longwave radiation RH: relative humidity MO: Monin-Obukhov Q_H : Sensible heat flux Q_L : Latent heat flux *p*: surface pressure U: wind speed d: precipitation gradient k_p : precipitation factor *α*: albedo T_{bias} : temperature bias WGS: World Glacier Monitoring Service Huss: Huss and Farinotti (2012)

Relevance and Objectives

Regional Glaciation Model

Calibration and Model Evaluation

First Results

Ice dynamics

Ice dynamics:

Redistribute the annual glacier-wide mass change over each elevation bin using empirical mass redistribution curves derived from 34 glaciers in the Swiss Alps (Huss and Hock, 2015) at the end of each mass-balance year

ightarrow No surface lowering at the glacier's highest elevation and maximum surface lowering at the terminus

Christina Draeger and Valentina Radić Contact: cdraeger@eoas.ubc.ca





Graphics adapted from Anne Maussion, Licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0

Relevance and Objectives

Regional Glaciation Model

Calibration and Model Evaluation

First Results

Next Steps

Calibration and validation



Calibration

- Minimize the discrepancies between observed and simulated seasonal mass balance for 17 glaciers in the study region from WGMS
- First calibrate k_p and d_p with winter mass balance
- Then calibrate T_{bias} , k_{α} and k_{ν} with summer mass balance
- Objective functions: specific mass balances, the mass balance gradients and the areaaveraged mass balances
- Optimization Method: Quasi-Newton (BFGS)

Relevance and Objectives

Regional Glaciation Model

Calibration and Model Evaluation

Model evaluation (1979-2021)

- Run the model for each glacier in British Columbia and Alberta with the calibrated parameters per subregion
- Compare model results with

(1) the net volume changes (1985-1999) (Schiefer et al., 2007)

(2) the net area changes (1984-2020) (Bevington and Menounos, 2022)

First Results

Model parameters for calibration

Winter mass balance

$$P(h) = \begin{cases} k_p \cdot P_{ERA} \cdot (1 + d_p \cdot (h - h_{ERA})) & \text{if } h < h_{firn} \\ k_p \cdot P_{ERA} \cdot (1 + d_p \cdot (h_{firn} - h_{ERA})) & \text{if } h \ge h_{firn}, \end{cases}$$

precipitation

Graphics adapted from Anne Maussion, Licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0

Regional Glaciation Model

Calibration and Model Evaluation

First Results



 k_p precipitation factor

 d_p precipitation gradient

Model parameters for calibration

Summer mass balance

$$T(h) = T_{ERA} + l_{ERA} \cdot (h - h_{ERA}) + T_{bias}$$

temperature lapse rate (from ERA5
pressure levels)
$$U(h) = \begin{cases} k_v \cdot U_{ERA} & \text{if } P_{ERA} < P_{thres} \\ U_{ERA} & \text{if } P_{ERA} \ge P_{thres}. \end{cases}$$



 T_{bias} temperature bias

```
k_{lpha} albedo factor
```

 k_{v} wind factor

Graphics adapted from Anne Maussion, Licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0

$$\alpha(h) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{snow} - k_{\alpha} \ln\left(\sum PDD\right) & \text{if } d_{snow}(h) > 0\\ \alpha_{firn} & \text{if } d_{snow}(h) = 0 \text{ and } h \ge h_{firn}\\ \alpha_{ice} & \text{if } d_{snow}(h) = 0 \text{ and } h < h_{firn}\\ \text{Hirose and Marshall (2013)} \end{cases}$$

First Results



Schiefer et al., 2007

Relevance and Objectives

First Results



- Model calibration and evaluation will be carried out by subregion according to Bevington and Menounos (2022)
- For each glacier in a subregion, the parameter choice is the mean of the parameters for all glaciers in WGMS in the subregion. In case there are no glaciers in the subregion, the mean of neighboring subregions is taken



Bevington and Menounos (2022)

First Results

Model variations and tests (selection)

Christina Draeger and Valentina Radić Contact: cdraeger@eoas.ubc.ca



Parameter calibration:

- 1) Only use precipitation gradient and factor
- 2) Only use precipitation gradient and factor, and distinguish between summer and winter precipitation factor
- 3) Add temperature bias, albedo factor and wind factor

Albedo:

- 1) Albedo model from Hirose and Marshall (2013)
- 2) Neural network: Multi Layer Perceptron (MLP) model based on Phelps and Radić (2022)

Precipitation:

- 1) Constant precipitation adjustment above the firn line
- 2) Varying precipitation adjustment above the firn line

Area-averaged mass balance for WGMS glaciers

Christina Draeger and Valentina Radić Contact: cdraeger@eoas.ubc.ca





Regional Glaciation Model

Calibration and Model Evaluation

First Results

Mass balance profiles for WGMS glaciers

Yuri



Helm

Illecillewaet

-2 0

2

2000

800

2600

2400

2200

-4 -2 0 2





Andrei



-10 -5 0 5



-6 -4 -2 0

Alexander

0

Conrad



2

Time-averaged mass balance (m w.e.)

-6



0 2

4

Sentinel

Bridge

2100

1900

200

2500

2000

500

-6 -4 -2

-4 -2 0 2





Model variation: Parameter calibration: Precipitation gradient, summer precipitation factor, winter precipitation factor Albedo: MLP Precipitation: constant adjustment above the firn line No ice dynamics

-2 0 2

-6

-1

Calibration and Model Evaluation

-2 0 2

Kokanee

-2

Svkora

2700

2500

2300

2600

2200

1800

-6 -4 -2 0

-6 -4

First Results





WGMS glacier outlines

Christina Draeger and Valentina Radić Contact: cdraeger@eoas.ubc.ca





Relevance and Objectives

Regional Glaciation Model

Calibration and Model Evaluation

First Results

<u>Next Steps</u>

WGMS glacier area vs. elevation

Christina Draeger and Valentina Radić Contact: cdraeger@eoas.ubc.ca





Regional Glaciation Model

Calibration and Model Evaluation

First results:

- Summer mass balance is relatively well simulated without using any calibrated parameters for ablation
- Winter mass balance (adjusted with precipitation gradient and factor) is overestimated

Next steps:

- Find better representation for winter mass balance (function of slope, other climatic variables, etc.)
- Compare model results for all model variations (albedo model, representation of precipitation, number of calibrated parameters, etc.) with observed volume changes from remote sensing
- Add ice dynamics model and compare with observed area changes from remote sensing. Develop methodology to treat glacier fragmentation

References



Bevington, A. R. and Menounos, B. (2022). Accelerated change in the glaciated environments of western Canada revealed through trend analysis of optical satellite imagery. Remote Sensing of Environment, 270:112862.

Hirose, J. M. and Marshall, S. J. (2013). Glacier meltwater contributions and glaciometeorological regime of the Illecillewaet River Basin, British Columbia, Canada. Atmosphere-Ocean, 51(4):416–435.

Hock, R., Bliss, A., Marzeion, B., Giesen, R. H., Hirabayashi, Y., Huss, M., Radić, V., and Slangen, A. B. A. (2019). GlacierMIP – A model intercomparison of global-scale glacier mass-balance models and projections. Journal of Glaciology, 65(251):453–467.

Huss, M. and Farinotti, D. (2012). Distributed ice thickness and volume of all glaciers around the globe. Journal of Geophysical Research, 117, F04010, doi:10.1029/2012JF002523.

Huss, M. and Hock, R. (2015). A new model for global glacier change and sea-level rise. Frontiers in Earth Science, 3:54.

Phelps, H., and Radić, V. (2022). Albedo parameterization within a surface energy balance model. Northwest Glaciologists Conference, Moscow, Idaho.

Radić, V., Bliss, A., Beedlow, A. C., Hock, R., Miles, E., and Cogley, J. G. (2014). Regional and global projections of twenty-first century glacier mass changes in response to climate scenarios from global climate models. Climate Dynamics, 42(1-2):37–58.

Schiefer, E., Menounos, B., and Wheate, R. (2007). Recent volume loss of British Columbian glaciers, Canada. Geophysical Research Letters, 34(16).