# **GU** General 2023 How did a DANA event affect water status and thermal response of fruit crops?



M.R. Conesa\*, W. Conejero, A.B. Mira-García, J. Vera, M.C. Ruiz-Sánchez Irrigation Department. CEBAS-CSIC, Murcia (Spain). \*email: mrconesa@cebas.csic.es

### Introduction

- Extreme events associated with climate change are now being experienced with greater frequency and intensity worldwide, especially in the countries of the Mediterranean basin.
- The DANA phenomenon (Spanish acronym for Depression Aislada en Niveles Altos, meaning upper-level isolated atmospheric depression) occurs normally in autumn due to convective storms generated by the cold air in the upper layers of the atmosphere combined with warm winds coming from the Mediterranean Sea. Its effects are devastating, provoking flash-flooding and run-off with a huge capacity for soil erosion.
- This work aimed to assess the effects of the DANA event occurred on 12-13<sup>th</sup> September 2019 in Murcia (SE, Spain) on plant water status and thermal response of nectarine trees.

## Material & Methods

### **EXPERIMENTAL SITE**

YEAR: 2019 (day of the year, DOY: 158-329) LOCATION: Murcia (SE, Spain) CULTIVAR: 9-year old nectarine trees cv. Flariba **ROOTSTOCK:** GxN-15 SPACING: 6 m x 3.5 m IRRIGATION: 1 line x 4 emitters (4 L  $h^{-1}$ ) per tree SOIL TEXTURE: Clay-loam FIELD CAPACITY (FC): 29% DANA EVENT: 12-13<sup>th</sup> September (DOY 255-256)



Fig. 1. Effects of flooding two days after DANA event.





- **20** days after DANA event.

$(T_c -$	$- T_a ) -$	$(T_c -$	$T_a$ )LL
$(T_c - $	$T_a$ )UL -	$-(T_{c})$	$-T_a$ )LL

### Results

DANA event (470.4 mm) forced the disconnection of capacitance probes (Fig. 1), and made it impossible to measure plant water relations from DOY 255 to 275. Only infrared sensors and the weather station worked during that time.

Irrigation in the CTL treatment was reestablished

	(DOY	158-254)		(DOY 256-3		
<u>Climatic</u>	<b>PREDANA</b>			POSTDA		
Rainfall (mm)	12.2			519 (DANA: 4		
Rs (W m <sup>-2</sup> )	397.9			243.9		
ET <sub>o</sub> (mm)	4.6			2.9		
T <sub>a</sub> (° C)	26.4			18.2		
VPD (kPa)	2.4			1.1		
<u>Soil</u>	<u>CTL</u>	<u>DRY</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>CTL</u>	D	
ϴ <sub>v</sub> 0-0.5 m (%)	29.6	13.7	***	30	24	
<u>Plant</u>						
Ψ <sub>stem</sub> (MPa)	-0.84	-2.08	***	-0.70	-0	
P <sub>n</sub> (µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	17.5	12.6	***	12.5	13	
g <sub>s</sub> (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	306.7	175.5	***	163.4	14	
T <sub>c</sub> (°C)	38.6	44.1	***	27.2	26	

- (Table 1, Fig. 3).
- at DOY 232 (Fig. 3).







(PRTR-C17.I1) and by Fundación Séneca with funding from Comunidad

Autónoma Región de Murcia (CARM). M.R. Conesa thanks her postdoc fellowship from the Spanish JdC programme (IJC2020-045450-I).