







Future glacier and runoff evolution in the Tien Shan mountains

24/04/202

Lander VAN TRICHT Harry ZEKOLLARI Matthias HUSS Daniel FARINOTTI Loris COMPAGNO Philippe <u>HUYBRECHTS</u>

[Ashu-Tor glacier, August, 2019]

Tien Shan

Mountain range:

- Uzbekistan <-> China (~ 3000 km)
- ~ 1 million km²

Glaciers:

-> 14965 glaciers [RGI, 2017]
~ 770 km³ [Farinotti et al., 2019]
~ 987 km³ [Millan et al., 2022]

Drainage:

- Internal drainage
- 8 endorheic basins



Importance of glaciers in the Tien Shan mountains



1. Natural **reservoirs** for **freshwater**, storing water in the form of ice and snow.

2. The freshwater is released during spring and summer



3. It maintains the water supply when other sources are depleted and precipitation is absent



4. Glaciers provide a reliable source of water for a variety of purposes such as agriculture, hydropower generation, industry, and human consumption.

Climate change is putting the region's water supply at risk

[Grigoriev ice cap, August, 2021]

What is the evolution of the glaciers and their associated runoff in the future?



Glacier modelling

GloGEMflow

- Flowline, based on SIA, all glaciers > 1 km² [Zekollari et al., 2019]
- Elevation bands of 10 m
- Deformation-sliding factor, matched with consensus estimate [Farinotti et al., 2019]



- GloGEM, calibrated with geodetic mass balance [Hugonnet et al., 2021]
 - Debris [Rounce et al., 2021], spatiotemporal explicitly modelled





- ERA5 data for the historical period
- CMIP6 (SSPs) climate scenarios, 12 GCMs

Runoff modelling

Modelling?



"All the water leaving the initially glacierised area, which includes runoff from ice-free

areas after glacier retreat"

~ fixed-gauge glacier runoff



Following Huss and Hock, 2018 :

- Rain and melt (from ice, snow, and firn) are summed
- Refreezing is subtracted

Differences with Huss and Hock, 2018

- Glacier dynamics are modelled
- Debris is taken into account
- Geodetic MB is matched

Strong glacier volume loss

Tien Shan: -30% between 2020-2040 -55% to - 95% by 2100 Signal of Tarim He basin

Sub-basins:

Much faster volume loss Ice free in 2100



Importance of initial ice thickness

Large importance of initial thickness

Millan vs Consensus

- Larger ice mass at inventory date
- More ice retained in the future



BUT

- Convergence near the end of the century
- Differences most outspoken in ablation area

Total runoff

- 1) Upsurge coming years
- 2) Peak in [2028-2044]
- 3) +10-15% at peak water
- 4) -20 to -30% by 2100

Striking:

- Higher peak for higher emission scenarios
- Basins with smaller volumes peak earlier
- Millan et al. (2022): peak is higher and later
- Issyk-Kul 15% greater peak runoff
- Syr Darya 7 year later peak



Annual peak runoff shift

- Reduction in all basins
- 1-2 months earlier
- Shift to spring
- July/August: -40 to -92%
- Glacial-nival –> nival-pluvial



What should you remember?

Rapid loss of glacier mass in the Tien Shan

Drastic reduction of glacier runoff after 2040

Annual peak runoff shifts to 1-2 months earlier

[Petrov glacier, August, 2022]