

# Changes in air-sea fluxes over the North Atlantic during 1950-2019 as derived from ERA5 data

Johannes Mayer<sup>1</sup>, Leopold Haimberger<sup>1</sup>, Michael Mayer<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Meteorology and Geophysics, University of Vienna <sup>2</sup>European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Bonn, Germany

OS1.5, April 26th, 08:50-09:00

# Motivation

- Understanding air-sea heat flux (F<sub>s</sub>) trends in the North Atlantic basin.
- Observation-based data sparse and cover only a few decades.
- ERA5 provides global data for >8 decades  $\rightarrow$  optimal for long-term studies.
- How reliable are long-term trends of model-based surface fluxes from ERA5 forecasts? → Inferred fluxes as reference (DOI: 10.24381/cds.c2451f6b)



## **Flux correction**

 Correction of regional fluxes based on difference between global ocean mean OHCT and model-based F<sub>S</sub> → good agreement with inferred F<sub>s</sub> trends (pattern correlation r = 0.8; 1.2 W m<sup>-2</sup> dec<sup>-1</sup> instead of -1.4 W m<sup>-2</sup> dec<sup>-1</sup>)



# 1985-2019 DJF trends

• Latent heat flux trends can be attributed to changes in wind speed and moisture:



- Trends in q (and T) difference more important than changes in wind speed.
- Net surface heat flux trends at low (high) latitudes governed by  $F_{LH}$  ( $F_{SH}$ ).
- Prior to 1985: weaker trends but similar driving forces. (more details in DOI:10.5194/esd-2023-8)

# 1985-2019 DJF trends

• Sensible heat flux trends can be attributed to changes in wind speed and temperatue:



- Trends in q (and T) difference more important than changes in wind speed.
- Net surface heat flux trends at low (high) latitudes governed by  $F_{LH}$  ( $F_{SH}$ ).
- Prior to 1985: weaker trends but similar driving forces. (more details in DOI:10.5194/esd-2023-8)

## AMOC weakening

• Derived from ocean heat budget:  $OHT_{\varphi} = OHT_{AG} - [F_S - OHCT]_{AG}^{\varphi}$ 



- Weakening of  $F_s$  in the North Atlantic basin (decreasing ocean heat loss) associated with weakening of the AMOC over 1950-2019.

# Summary

- Model-based air-sea heat fluxes require a global correction to remove spurious trends caused by changes in the observing system.
- Trends robust in terms of sign and spatial pattern.
- Trends mainly driven by the difference between moisture (temperature) in model level and surface; changes in wind speed play a secondary role.
- Decrease of negative air-sea heat fluxes (i.e., positive trends) associated with weakening of the AMOC.

## References

- Mayer, J., M. Mayer, and L. Haimberger. Mass-consistent atmospheric energy and moisture budget monthly data from 1979 to present derived from ERA5 reanalysis, v1.0, 2021. DOI: 10.24381/cds.c2451f6b.
- Mayer, Johannes, Michael Mayer, Leopold Haimberger, and Chunlei Liu. "Comparison of Surface Energy Fluxes from Global to Local Scale". In: Journal of Climate 35.14 (2022), pp. 4551 –4569. DOI: 10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0598.1.
- Mayer, J., L. Haimberger, and M. Mayer. "A quantitative assessment of air-sea heat flux trends from ERA5 since 1950 in the North Atlantic basin". Submitted in Earth System Dynamics, under review (open for discussion https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2023-8).

# Appendix: NAO & AMO



- More frequent positive NAO phases favours ocean heat loss in Irminger and Labrador sea.
- AMO forcing: pattern at higher latitudes similar to NAO but weaker.

## **Appendix: Wind field**



EGU23-14080, OS1.5

## **Appendix: Focus regions**



#### **Appendix:** Analysis increments



EGU23-14080, OS1.5