



+ MUNICIPALITY **ROC** curve **1.0** T 8 0 0.8 Q Ö 0 4. -0.6 AUC 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.6 0.4 0.8 1.0 Specificity 0.2+ Variables **H** Ground floor water depth [m] **H**² Squared water depth 0.0 n°=108 **A** Building footprint area [m²]

AUC: Area under the ROC curve

Contrasting levels of surprise and levee effect between municipalities in the 2021 flood in Belgium

D. Rodriguez¹, S. Roucour¹, P. Archambeau¹, C. Dessers¹, S. Erpicum¹, M. Pirotton¹, J. Teller², M. Cools², B. Dewals¹ University of Liège (Uliege), ¹ Hydraulics in Environmental and Civil Engineering (HECE) ² Local Environment Management and Analysis (LEMA)



Comunity level variables

Data suggest that **community response** capacity varies among municipalities.

→ Overwhelming Effect

n° flooded buildings $OE = \frac{1}{n^{\circ} buildings}$ in the municipality

OE varies from **12%** to **45%**.

 \rightarrow Flood rarity = Q_{peak}/Q_{100} (factor from 3^{-} up 4)



level variables



TESTED BUT NOT SIGNIFICANT				
Variables				
S	Presence of sediments			
С	Presence of contaminants			
GL	Ground floor level [m]			
BT	Building type			
FL	Number floors			
BS	Building structure			
YY	Year of construction			
PD	Heating system distribution			
РТ	Heating system type			
LM	Level of maintenance			
FE	Flood experience			
ISD	Index of socioeconomic disparity			







 \rightarrow Surprise Effect

n° flooded buildings SE = n° buildings in of ficial hazard zone SE varies from **16%** up to **83%**.



	~		
	0. 8. –	┟┙╵╌╴╵┶┤	
Variables	vity 0.6	┙╵╴ ┙┙┙	
und floor water th [m]	Sensiti 0.4		
ared water depth	0.2		
ding footprint a [m²]	<mark>0.</mark> –		
ocity score (*)		1.0 0.8 0.6	0.4 0.2
shing level		Spe	CITICITY
cial hazard ə (**)		Sensitivity =	$= \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$
rwhelming effect			
od rarity [Q _{peak} /Q ₁₀₀]		Specificity :	$=\frac{TN}{TN+FP}$
cial warning			

0.0