

# **Empirical modelling of SSUSI-derived auroral ionization rates** EGU general assembly 2022

<u>Stefan Bender<sup>1,2,3</sup></u>, Patrick J. Espy<sup>1,2</sup>, Larry J. Paxton<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway <sup>2</sup>Birkeland Centre for Space Science, Norway <sup>3</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (CSIC), Granada, Spain <sup>4</sup>Applied Physics Laboratory, Johns Hopkins University, Laurel, MD, USA

Vienna, 26 April 2023







### Particle impact on the middle and upper atmosphere

- Particle precipitation, e.g.  $e^-$ ,  $p^+ \rightarrow middle/upper$  atmosphere ionization
- Chemistry (HOx and NOx) and dynamics (NOx descent winter/spring)  $\rightarrow$  ozone chemistry
- (whole-atmosphere) climate models still struggle to get it right
- Aurora will be the focus of upcoming HEPPA studies



# SSUSI observations



### Auroral energy input: Special Sensor Ultraviolet Spectrographic Imager

- Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP)-Block 5D3 satellites (850 km)
- nadir auroral images, 5 UV channels, 10×10 km ground pixels, 3000 km swath
- auroral electron energy (2–20 keV) and energy flux [mW m $^{-2}$ ]



### Scanning method

Figure: SSUSI scan pattern (Paxton et al., (1993))

### Electron energy flux



# Empirical model setup

BIRKELAND CENTR

- $\bullet~3.6^{\circ}$  geomagnetic latitude  $\times$  2-h magnetic local time (MLT) grid
- ionization rates (IR; Fang et al. (2010)), spectra according to validation (Bender et al., (2021))
- NRLMSISE-00 neutral atmosphere  $\rightarrow$  scale height and density
- ightarrow IR profiles from 90 to 150 km

# Single grid box



#### "Spectrum"



#### Ionization rates



Figure: Selected grid box

# Ionization rate empirical model

- $\bullet$  3.6° geomagnetic latitude  $\times$  grid, 5 km altitude grid, 2-h magnetic local time (MLT)
- ionization rates (q; Fang et al. (2010)), spectra according to validation (Bender et al., (2021))
- NRLMSISE-00 neutral atmosphere (scale height and density)
- model:  $\log q \sim K_p + PC + A_p + \log \overline{F_{10.7}} + \log v_{\text{plasma}} + \text{const.}$
- Example: geomagnetic latitude 70.2°N, altitude 100 km, 19:00 MLT



### Data and model fit in example bin

#### Residuals



# Ionization rate empirical model parameters

BIRKELAND CENTRE
FOR SPACE SCIENCE

Parameter distributions for altitude 100 km, Northern Hemisphere







PC



v\_plasma



Ар



constant



Daily mean zonal mean ionization rate, 2010

### SSUSI



EMAC/AISStorm courtesy of M. Sinnhuber, J. M. Wissing, O. S. Yakovchuk

EMAC/AISStorm



### Summary

- SSUSI ionization rate profile time series from DMSP F17 and F18 (validated)
- moderate spatio-temporal resolution in MLT and geomagnetic latitude
- fit log(IR) to empirical best-fit proxies: Kp, PC, Ap,  $F_{10.7}$ ,  $v_{\text{plasma}}$ , and constant
- initial comparison:

comparable to other parametrizations based on NOAA/POES particle measurements

## Outlook

- More extensive comparisons
- Data set and empirical model for whole-atmosphere climate modelling
- Auroral NOx production for whole-atmosphere climate model simulations
- Principal Component analysis to reduce search space



Bender, S., P. J. Espy, and L. J. Paxton. Validation of SSUSI-derived auroral electron densities: Comparisons to EISCAT data.

Ann. Geophys. 39(5): pp.899-910 2021. doi: 10.5194/angeo-39-899-2021

Fang, X., C. E. Randall, D. Lummerzheim, W. Wang, G. Lu, S. C. Solomon, and R. A. Frahm. **Parameterization of monoenergetic electron impact ionization**. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37(22): p.L22106 2010. doi: 10.1029/2010gl045406

Paxton, L. J. et al. SSUSI - horizon-to-horizon and limb-viewing spectrographic imager for remote sensing of environmental parameters. In Huffman, R. E. (ed) *Ultraviolet technology IV*, SPIE doi: 10.1117/12.140846