



An autonomous institute of Nanyang Technological University **FECHNOLOGICAL** 

UNIVERSITY

SINGAPORE

- slab-related discontinuities using teleseismic receiver functions (RFs).
- different dip directions in central Sumatra (by up to  $23^{\circ}$ ).
- layer, indicating a diffuse plate boundary caused by slab dehydration.



- Singh et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2021).
- remain poorly constrained.

Dip direction searching: A new method Fitting RF back azimuthal variation to estimate dip directions • Back azimuthal ( $\alpha$ ) variation of P-to-s conversion phases in P-wave RFs at dipping interfaces are considered as a harmonic function (e.g., cosine function) in which phase shifts  $(\theta)$  indicate dip directions:  $T(\alpha) = T_0 + A\cos(\alpha - \theta)$ A and  $T_0$  are amplitude and central arriving time of the cosine function, respectively. Continenta Plate mantle coupled slab discontinuity and intensive arc volcanism Oceanic Plate 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Figure 3: (a) Synthetic model of subducting oceanic crust. (b) Synthetic RF waveforms and the best fitting harmonic functions. (c) Grid search works well to estimate the dip direction, with deviation of only 1°. Dip direction searching shows high robustness Previous seismic studies reveal subducting seamounts and strong slab deformation, both of which govern the features of earthquake rupture and magma generation associated with slab **Dip direction deviation** dehydration and mantle wedge hydration (e.g., Figure 4: DDS is valid in the cases of incomplete or uneven However, detailed slab geometry and the "slab back azimuthal coverage, white dehydration - mantle hydration" processes crustal and mantle (<~5-10%), ana their compound effects. DDS provides high resolution of dip estimations direction biases of only several degrees. 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Application in the Sumatran subduction zone > A dipping low velocity layer with dip directions constrained by DDS (c) Negative Phase 6.0 6.5 7.0 Central Time (s Central Time (s)  $(0.79 \pm 0.053, 47 \pm 2.5)$ (0.81±0.206, 70±10.1) 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 Amplitude (s) Amplitude (s) -1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 (47±2.5, 8.7±0.05)  $(70\pm10.1, 6.7\pm0.20)$ -1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 90 180 270 90 180 270 Times (s) Theta (deg.) Theta (deg.)



Key points • A dip direction searching (DDS) method is developed to constrain the geometry of subducting • A dipping low velocity layer (LVL) is imaged at the subducting plate boundary in Sumatra using the DDS method. The LVL is much thicker than a normal oceanic crust (10-14 km vs. ~6-7 km), and its upper and lower boundaries are parallel in northwestern and southeastern Sumatra, but have • The dipping LVL is interpreted as the oceanic crust overlain by a low-velocity serpentinized mantle The Sumatran subduction zone is characterized by a strongly Figure 1: (a) The Sumatran subduction zone is between the Indo-Australian and Sunda plates, where the Indo-Australian plate obliquely subducts toward the Sunda plate. The "WFR" label marks the location of Wharton Fossil Ridge. Yellow and green triangles show the stations with and without a clear pair of negative-positive targeting phases in RFs, respectively. The white dots in subplot (b) show locations of tele-seismic earthquakes used in this study. Signals related to the subducting plate boundary are observed in RFs Figure 2: (a-d) The dash lines mark a pair of negative-positive phases with clear back azimuthal variations, which are revealed as signals associated with the subducting oceanic crust in global subduction zones (e.g., Yuan et al, 2000). The pair of phases provides a precise opportunity to study the geometry and structure at the subducting plate boundary.

This work is published in Journal of Geophysical Research : Solid Earth (A New Method to Estimate Slab Geometry and a Diffuse Plate Boundary Beneath Sumatra, 2023, DOI: 10.1029/2022JB024598) References: Singh et al., 2011, DOI: 10.1038/19; Liu et al., 2021, DOI: 10.1038/35050073; Zhang et al., 2019, D

# Slab geometry and a diffuse plate boundary beneath Sumatra: constrained using a new receiver function analysis method

**Mingye Feng**<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Ling Chen<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Shengji Wei<sup>3,4\*</sup>, Xin Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xu Wang<sup>1</sup>, Zimu Wu<sup>5</sup> 1 Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences; 3 Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore; 4 Earth Observatory of Singapore, Singapore; 5 Southern University of Science and Technology, China



