Identifying the origin of precipitation moisture within the tropical cyclones outer radius in the North Atlantic basin



ALBENIS PÉREZ-ALARCÓN^{1,2}, PATRICIA COLL-HIDALGO¹, JOSÉ C. FERNÁNDEZ-ALVAREZ^{1,2}, ROGERT SORI¹, RICARDO M. TRIGO^{3,4}, RAQUEL NIETO¹, LUIS GIMENO¹ Janeiro, Brazil.

¹Environmental Physics Laboratory, Universidade de Vigo, Spain. ²Departamento de Meteorología, InSTEC, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal. ⁴Departamento de Meteorología, Universidade Federal do Rio de





$$(e-p) = m\frac{dq}{dt} \tag{1}$$

$$\Delta q'_j = \Delta q_j + \Delta q_i \frac{\Delta q_j}{\sum_{k=i}^j \Delta q_k} \tag{2}$$

where *i* denotes the parcel position at time t_i and *j* represents the parcel position at time t_{i-6} $t_{i-12}, ..., t_{i-240}$. By amassing the final moisture changes ($\triangle q'_i$) of all the parcels over area A, the total moisture uptake (MU) was estimated as follows:

$$MU = \frac{m \sum_{k=1}^{N} \triangle q'_k}{A} \tag{3}$$

where N denotes the number of parcels residing over A.

• The moisture was predominately originated from the south and southwest sectors during PRE-extratropical transition (ET) and from the southwest-west during POST-ET [8].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge support from PhD grants (UVigo and Xunta de Galicia), Portuguese Science Foundation, Ramón y Cajal postdoctoral fellowship, SETESTRELO project, and computing resources and technical support from CESGA and RES. Travel expenses were partially covered by the Travel Award sponsored by Atmosphere, an open access journal published by MDPI.

MOISTURE SOURCES DURING GENESIS, LMI AND DISSIPATION PHASES

- The moisture sources pattern exhibited a north-south split around 10°N, coinciding with the mean position of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) during the boreal summer.
- The highest moisture contribution (\sim 39%) during the genesis and LMI was from the tropical Atlantic Ocean north of ITCZ, including \sim 11% from the Caribbean Sean and \sim 6% from the Gulf of Mexico, followed by the western NATL (WNATL) with \sim 23.8% and eastern subtropical NATL (ESNATL) with \sim 16.6%.
- $\sim 10\%$ of moisture was from the Atlantic Ocean south of ITCZ and $\sim 2\%$ from the Eastern Pacific Ocean (EPAC) during genesis and LMI [6].



Figure 3. (A) Sources regions. (B) Moisture contribution for the precipitation of TCs during the genesis (GEN), lifetime maximum intensity (LMI) and dissipation (DIS) stages. The dashed red line denotes the mean position of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) during the summer in the North Hemisphere. (C) Moisture uptake pattern along the track of major hurricanes in the 2017 TC season. The average vertically integrated moisture flux is plotted in arrows.

- During the dissipation phase, the moisture sources shifted poleward as TCs moved, with the highest moisture support (~60.3%) from the subtropical north Atlantic Ocean (WNATL + ESNATL) and $\sim 11.2\%$ from the NATL north of 50°N (NNATL).
- The highest moisture uptake generally occurred within 3-5° from the TC trajectory [7].

CONCLUSIONS

- The highest moisture uptake was from sources close to TCs positions and was weak from remote sources.
- Local evaporation cannot fully explain the precipitation amounts of TCs, highlighting the role of the secondary circulation in the moisture transport inward.
- This work provides new insights into the TCs' climatology in the NATL basin.
- These findings can also be used as a reference to understand future changes in the origin of precipitation moisture for TCs precipitation under different climate change scenarios.



Figure 4. Spatial location of moisture sources for TC precipitation. The blue arrows denotes the North Atlantic Subtropical high, black and red arrows illustrate trade and easterly winds, respectively. Purple arrows shows the Caribbean Low-level jet and CHOCO jet.

REFERENCES [1] Emanuel et al. Sci., 61, 843–858. (2004).doi:10.1175/1520-Atmos. 0469(2004)061<0843:ECOTCI>2.0.CO;2 [2] Montgomery and Smith (2017). Annu. Rev. Fluid. Mech., 49, 541–574. doi:10.1146/annurevfluid-010816-060022 [3] Läderach and Sodemann (2016). *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 924–933. doi:10.1002/2015GL067449 [4] Stohl and James (2005). *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 6, 961–984. doi: 10.1175/JHM470.1 [5] Sodemann et al. (2008). J. Geophys. Res., 113, D03107. doi:10.1029/2007JD008503 [6] Pérez-Alarcón et al. (2022). J. Hydrometeorol., 23(3), 457–472. doi:10.1175/JHM-D-21-0117.1 [7] Pérez-Alarcón et al. (2022). J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 127, e2021JD035554. doi:10.1029/2021JD035554

[8] Pérez-Alarcón et al. (2023). Lett., 50 (7), e2022GL102120. Geophys. Res. doi:10.1029/2022GL102120

CONTACT INFORMATION

Corresponding Author Albenis Pérez-Alarcón Edificio Campus da Auga. Universidade de Vigo, Campus Sur. Rúa Canella da Costa da Vela 12, 32004 Ourense, Spain Email albenis.perez.alarcon@uvigo.es







