



Contribution of wavelets to decrease gap filling in turbulent surface fluxes measurements

Pedro Coimbra¹ (pedro-henrique.herig-coimbra@inrae.fr), Benjamin Loubet¹, Olivier Laurent², Pauline Buysse¹, Jérémie Depuydt¹, Daniel Berveiller³, Nicolas Delpierre³, Matthias Mauder⁴ ¹EcoSys, INRAE-AgroParisTech, Université Paris Saclay, France; ²LSCE, Université Paris Saclay, France; ³ESE, Université Paris-Saclay, France; ⁴TU Dresden, Dresden, Germany.

INTRO



	\mathbf{A}
$E_{x,y} = \frac{\delta t}{C_{\delta}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{w=0}^{N-1} W_x(a,b) \times W_y^*(a,b)$	4.0 ^{0.0}
$\frac{n-0}{x'v'} = \delta i \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{E_{x,y}(j)}{due}$	3.2
a(j) = a(j) j=0 $a(j)$ j: frequency j out of J	2.4 2.0 All sites
a: dilation factor (sets size and amplitude) b: translation (set the origin) δt : sampling interval (e.g.: 0.05 for 20Hz)	1.2 0.8
C_{δ} : normalization factor (wavelet-specific) δ_i : spacing between discrete scales	0.4 0.0 0.0 0.4 0.

Discussion

Wavelets are a promising method to compute turbulent flux on several time resolutions. This could represent a gain of 20% more high-quality data useful for large-scale model inversions. This additional data coverage adds up information and photosynthesis useful for understanding ecosystems functioning. However, nigh-time stock release in the early morning proves to be hard to identify from wavelet fluxes for small towers as it is not expected to be significant. For large towers, it may help identifying advection situations.

Further work is needed on understanding fluxes on the frequency domain. We hypothesise that it could hide information on ecosystem's cycles as well as in the spatial domain, given that contribution farther away could come on lower frequencies. For the time being, simply retrieving non-stationary fluxes can benefit projects dealing with complex terrain and frequent non-stationarity, such as in tall towers and urban landscapes.



- contributions at lower frequencies than FR-Gri.
- retrieved by wavelets.
- (above 30-min period), to be further studied.

Gap-filled

1.2 1.6 2.0 2.4 2.8 3.2 3.6

RMSE

•Observed and gap-filled distributions diverge for EC, while for daytime WV both have a similar progressive distribution (no skipping closer to zero values). *Possible* bias to be further studied.

• FR-Fon, having a taller tower, shows most flux • Turbulent but non-stationary moments are fully

• Some short events appear only at lower frequencies









FR-Fon (daytime, VPD > 3 KPa)

