

# Investigating European heatwaves and their mediumrange predictability in relation to weather regimes

## Alexander Lemburg & Andreas Fink

Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

EGU General Assembly 24.04.23





# **Predictability of European heatwaves**

- Heatwaves are the "easiest" to predict extreme weather phenomena in the mid-latitudes due to their spatial scale and the important role of slowly-evolving boundary conditions
- However, this is only true when using probabilistic and somewhat forgiving metrics
- A timely and accurate prediction of heatwave onsets at medium-range is much more challenging!
  → Strongly relies on the adequate representation of large-scale Rossby wave dynamics
- Dynamical regime (often, but not necessarily large-scale atmospheric blocking flow) may be important for inherent or practical predictability of European heatwaves



# **Predictability of European heatwaves**

- Heatwaves are the "easiest" to predict extreme weather phenomena in the mid-latitudes due to their spatial scale and the important role of slowly-evolving boundary conditions
- However, this is only true when using probabilistic and somewhat forgiving metrics
- A timely and accurate prediction of heatwave onsets at medium-range is much more challenging!
  → Strongly relies on the adequate representation of large-scale Rossby wave dynamics
- Dynamical regime (often, but not necessarily large-scale atmospheric blocking flow) may be important for inherent or practical predictability of European heatwaves

# **Research questions:**

- 1) What are the most frequent weather regimes around heatwave onset in different European regions and how does the predictability depend on the respective weather regime?
- 2) Can we identify certain (dynamical) atmospheric or lower boundary precursors that affect the predictability of European heatwaves?

This is a statistical, hindcast ensemble data driven study! (in this talk: foc

# **Data and Methods**



1) Objectively identify heatwaves (HWs) over period 2001-2018 (MJJAS); ~50 each for different European regions (ERA5 Tmax anom. local and regional mean > 90<sup>th</sup> percentile for at least 3 days)

# **Data and Methods**



- 1) Objectively identify heatwaves (HWs) over period 2001-2018 (MJJAS); ~50 each for different European regions (ERA5 Tmax anom. local and regional mean > 90<sup>th</sup> percentile for at least 3 days)
- 2) Prepare and analyze forecast data (using hindcasts for model consistency!):

```
ECMWF-S2S hindcasts: 11 ENS members; merged over multiple annual iterations \rightarrow thereby daily init dates, focus of this talk)
```

**GEFS v12 reforecasts:** 5 ENS members; already available with daily init dates (ongoing, **no explicit results shown in this talk**)

Lead times of interest: 3-12 days, focus on (extended) medium range: 9-11 days

# **Data and Methods**



- 1) Objectively identify heatwaves (HWs) over period 2001-2018 (MJJAS); ~50 each for different European regions (ERA5 Tmax anom. local and regional mean > 90<sup>th</sup> percentile for at least 3 days)
- 2) Prepare and analyze forecast data (using hindcasts for model consistency!):

```
ECMWF-S2S hindcasts: 11 ENS members; merged over multiple annual iterations \rightarrow thereby daily init dates, focus of this talk)
```

**GEFS v12 reforecasts:** 5 ENS members; already available with daily init dates (ongoing, **no explicit results shown in this talk**)

Lead times of interest: 3-12 days, focus on (extended) medium range: 9-11 days

- 3) Stratify heatwaves by Euro-Atlantic weather regimes (Grams et al. 2017):
  → reduce complexity of atmospheric flow field by projecting it onto the seven main modes of synoptic-scale variability in this domain (based on EOF analysis and subsequent k-mean clustering)
- 4) Stratify heatwaves by medium-range predictability (9-11 days) w. r. t. multiple metrics:

Focus in this talk: 1) 500hPa geopotential anomaly correlation coefficient

2) Area-averaged error in 2m Tmax Extreme Forecast Index (EFI)



# Results

1) Statistical overview over heatwave predictability in relation to Euro-Atlantic weather regimes

#### 2001-2018 Climatology: Euro-Atlantic weather regimes during HW onsets



Relative fraction of weather regimes at onset of ~50 HWs each in different European regions

→ Whereas northern mid-latitude Europe heatwaves are mainly associated with classic blocking regimes, more diverse picture for Central Europe:

**"no regime" also important** and to some extent **zonal** and **Atlantic trough** (close to summer clim.)

WAVES TO WEATHER

### 2001-2018 Climatology: Euro-Atlantic weather regimes during HW onsets



Relative fraction of weather regimes at onset of ~50 HWs each in different European regions

→ Whereas northern mid-latitude Europe heatwaves are mainly associated with classic blocking regimes, more diverse picture for Central Europe:

**"no regime" also important** and to some extent **zonal** and **Atlantic trough** (close to summer clim.)

- Next slide: Focus on **regime-dependent predictability of HW** onsets over **CE**:
- 1) w. r. t. to capturing large-scale circulation  $\rightarrow$  500hPa geopotential anomaly correlation
- 2) w. r. t to capturing extremeness of 2m Tmax
  → Tmax Extreme Forecast Index

WAVES TO WEATHER



- Comparison of predictability of heatwave onset between three dominant regimes -

Based on 11-member ECMWF-S2S Hindcasts 2001-2018

► First Metric: 500hPa geopotential ACC



Second Metric: Area-avg. error in 2m Tmax EFI



→ In terms of Z500 ACC, predictability is highest for European blocking-type heatwaves (better than same-regime nonHW days), followed by Scandinavian blocking; "no regime" Z500 ACC score worst, also worse than on nonHW days



Δ

- Comparison of predictability of heatwave onset between three dominant regimes -

Based on 11-member ECMWF-S2S Hindcasts 2001-2018

#### First Metric: 500hPa geopotential ACC



# Avg. HW onset Z500 anomaly w. r. t. weather regime



- → In terms of Z500 ACC, predictability is highest for European blocking-type heatwaves (better than same-regime nonHW days), followed by Scandinavian blocking; "no regime" Z500 ACC score worst, also worse than on nonHW days
- → Different picture for Tmax EFI metric
- → Hypothesis: Z500 ACC is probably more forgiving for a large-scale blocking less prone to phase errors → "no regime" punished



# Results

# 2) What distinguishes well predicted from poorly predicted heat waves?

Method:

Compare best-predicted against worst-predicted heatwaves again according to the two metrics Z500 ACC and Tmax-EFI

## CE heatwave predictability depends on initial atmospheric state and the soils



Compare analyzed states some days prior to 12 best-predicted HWs and 12 worst-predicted HWs



#### Selection metric: 500hPa geopotential ACC

Selection metric: 2m Tmax EFI

#### **Dynamical precursors:**

→ Stronger than normal North Atlantic jet stream and enhanced warm conveyor belt (WCB) activity over West Atlantic/ North America seem to impair predictability of heatwave onsets in Central Europe (w. r. t. Z500 ACC, "getting flow pattern right")

#### Anomalous soil moisture:

 Predictability of HW onsets seems to correlate with pre-existing soil moisture anomalies (both local and remote over NA)

## CE heatwave predictability depends on initial atmospheric state and the soils



Compare analyzed states some days prior to 12 best-predicted HWs and 12 worst-predicted HWs





# **Conclusions** - medium-range predictability of European heatwaves

- While heatwaves in northwestern mid-latitude Europe are mainly associated with typical blocking regimes (as expected), Central Europe (CE) also frequently sees "no regime" heatwaves
- When evaluating medium-range predictability of heatwaves, the target metric really matters!
  - **Z500 ACC predictability**: For CE, European blocking-type heatwaves seem to have best mediumrange practical predictability, whereas "no regime" heatwave feature lowest predictability
  - Tmax-EFI predictability: No clear signal, metric likely more soil moisture dependent
- Understanding windows of forecast opportunity by looking at the initial state of atmosphere/soils:
  - Z500 ACC predictability: Worst predictable CE HWs at medium-range show intensified jet over Atlantic one week prior and enhanced warm conveyor belt activity over the Western Atlantic ~5 days prior; soil moisture anomalies over North America may also play a role for downstream predictability
  - Both, **Z500 ACC predictability** and particularly **Tmax extremeness forecast** affected by pre-existing regional soil moisture anomalies over Central Europe

Thank you for your attention! For questions and comments: alexander.lemburg@kit.edu



# **Additional Slides**

- Comparison against all 2001-2018 nonHW days for the three dominant regimes individually -

Metric: 500hPa geopotential ACC (red: 49HWs, blue: all same-regime nonHW days)



#### Scandinavian blocking

European blocking 7 days earlier

→ Central European heatwaves associated with Scandinavian blocking show "normal" levels of predictability in terms of Z500 ACC



500hPa geopot anom

- Comparison against all 2001-2018 nonHW days for the three dominant regimes individually -

Metric: 500hPa geopotential ACC (red: 49HWs, blue: all same-regime nonHW days)



Scandinavian blocking



7 days earlier



- → Central European heatwaves associated with Scandinavian blocking show "normal" levels of predictability in terms of Z500 ACC
- → European blocking-type HWs show slightly better predictability and less uncertainty up until lead times of 7 days (after that, normal predictability)



- Comparison against all 2001-2018 nonHW days for the three dominant regimes individually -

Metric: 500hPa geopotential ACC (red: 49HWs, blue: all same-regime nonHW days)



Based on 11-member ECMWF-S2S Hindcasts 2001-2018

Scandinavian blocking European blocking

"no regime"



- Central European heatwaves associated with Scandinavian blocking show "normal" levels of predictability in terms of Z500 ACC
- → European blocking-type HWs show slightly better predictability and less uncertainty up until lead times of 7 days (after that, normal predictability)
- → No regime-type HWs show worse predictability at medium-range compared to average summer time non-HW predictability of that regime



500hPa geopot anom

TA



# An important interim conclusion:

- $\rightarrow$  It really makes a difference how we define predictability!
  - **Predictability in terms of the correct representation** of the large-scale circulation (**Z500 ACC**, focus of this talk)
  - **Predictability in terms of capturing the likelihood of abnormal heat** (Tmax-EFI; a measure of how extreme the ensemble forecast's Tmax CDF is compared to climatology; more sensible to soil moisture )

12 **Best predicted heatwaves** according to **Z500 ACC:** 2006-07-17, **2004-08-05**, 2006-07-04, **2018-07-24**, 2003-05-04, **2018-07-30**, 2015-08-06, **2003-06-10**, **2007-06-07**, **2002-07-29**, 2008-05-08, 2018-05-07

**Best predicted heatwaves** according to **Tmax-EFI: 2018-07-30**, 2003-08-02, **2018-07-24**, **2004-08-05**, **2015-08-28**, **2003-06-10**, 2016-05-07, 2004-06-08, **2002-07-29**, 2017-06-19, **2007-06-07**, **2003-05-30** 

#### $\rightarrow$ Intersection is only 50%

For **worst predicted** heatwaves, intersection of only 33%, there is even **cross group intersections** (best in Tmax-EFI, worst in Z500 ACC; right heatwave for the wrong dynamical reasons?)

#### Anomaly to summer-time climatology for the three dominant HW regimes

Stippling denote significant difference compared to all heatwaves







#### → Regionally dry soils already at onset – more large-scale for EuBL-type HW

Stippling denote significant difference compared to all heatwaves





#### $\rightarrow$ Anomalously dry soils over North America before EuBL-type CE heatwaves



Stippling denote significant difference compared to all heatwaves











#### Selection metric: 500hPa geopotential ACC:

→ Better **predictable HW** onsets often show slow gradual increases in **classic blocking regime** magnitude some 5 days earlier



#### Selection metric: 500hPa geopotential ACC:

- → Better predictable HW onsets often show slow gradual increases in classic blocking regime magnitude some 5 days earlier
- → Relative negative expression or "lack of" Greenland blocking associated with better HW onset predictability w. r. t. Z500 ACC





#### Selection metric: 500hPa geopotential ACC:

- → Better predictable HW onsets often show slow gradual increases in classic blocking regime magnitude some 5 days earlier
- → Relative negative expression or "lack of" Greenland blocking associated with better HW onset predictability w. r. t. Z500 ACC
- → Interestingly, heatwave predictability in terms of Z500 ACC is particularly low when there was a Scandinavian blocking a week earlier





#### Selection metric: 500hPa geopotential ACC:

- → Better predictable HW onsets often show slow gradual increases in classic blocking regime magnitude some 5 days earlier
- → Relative negative expression or "lack of" Greenland blocking associated with better HW onset predictability w. r. t. Z500 ACC
- → Interestingly, heatwave **predictability** in terms of Z500 ACC is **particularly low** when there was a **Scandinavian blocking a week earlier**





Changing the metric to distinguish between best and worst forecasts!

#### **Selection metric: 2m Tmax EFI error**

- → Biggest difference here to the Z500 ACC: Predictability in terms of Tmax-EFI is large when blocking regimes already exist for some 7-10 days
- → Likely related to soil moisture: When a blocking already exists, soils might be drier than normal, increasing the likelihood of extreme temperatures in the ensemble forecast

#### Again – An important interim conclusion:

→ It really makes a difference how we define predictability of heatwaves!

