

Background

- Dansgaard-Oeschger (DO) events, abrupt climatic transitions, manifest themselves in δ^{18} O records from Greenland ice cores
- Early warning signals (EWS) have been found for individual DO events in the high frequency variability of the NGRIP record, but not on the the whole frequency spectrum

Research questions

- Is the variability of δ^{18} O records from three different Greenland ice cores comparable before DO events?
- Can similar EWS be found in the different cores?
- Do the observed fluctuations stem from a common climate background?

Approach

- Wavelet analysis to study fluctuations
- Scale averaged wavelet coefficient \hat{w}^2 over different scale bands as possible EWS

applied to the Greenland Stadials (GS) prior to DO events in three ice core records.



Predictability of Dansgaard-Oeschger events in the Greenland ice core ensemble

Clara Hummel^a, Martin Rypdal^a, Peter Ditlevsen^b

^a Institute for Mathematics and Statistics, The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway. Email: c.hummel@uit.no ^b Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark



- always during the same GS
- Only very few events show common "significant" EWS



Fluctuations in the ice core records: wavelet power spectra

Early warning signals for DO events: the scale averaged wavelet coefficient

• There are increases in the scaled averaged wavelet coefficient \hat{w}^2 over different scale bands ranging from 20 to 2000 years, but not





Co-financed by the Connecting Europe Facility of the European Union

Key results

- Even though the NGRIP, GRIP and GISP2 records show similar behaviour for variation on longer scales, the fluctuations on shorter scales differ substantially
- (Wavelet-based) EWS are not consistent between the three ice cord records
- Captured EWS might not reflect a common regional climate signal, but location or core specific variability

Future work

- Include NEEM ice core record into the analysis
- Explore a greater range of scales for EWS detection
- Comparison with other possible EWS (e.g. variance, lag-1 autocorrelation, Hurst exponent)
- Verify results on synthetic data





References

Boers 2018; Ditlevsen and Johnsen 2010; Rasmussen et al. 2014; Rypdal 2016; Seierstad et al. 2014; Torrence and Compo 1998

Acknowledgment

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No.956170. It has further been supported by the Research Council of Norway (project number 314570) and the UiT Aurora Centre Program.