

### **1.** Motivation

In the last ten years, the development of high-performance computing systems and regional climate models has allowed long-term, very high-resolution (1-4 km) simulations, called "convection-permitting" (CP) simulations. The Convection-Permitting Regional Climate Models (CP-RCMs), allow the explicit representation of deep convective processes without the use of parametrization schemes which is considered to be a major source of model errors and uncertainty [1].

The Regional Climate Model version 4 (RegCM4) developed at the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics ICTP [2, 3] has been upgraded with the non-hydrostatic dynamic core based on MM5, which can be used for high - resolution applications [3, 5]. The Non-hydrostatic RegCM contributes to several large projects at km-scale such as European Climate Prediction System (EUCP), Coordinated Regional Climate Downscaling Experiment Flagship Pilot Studies on Convective phenomena [4], and is used by a large modelling community all over the world

Recent studies using decade-long CP-regional climate simulations show that increasing the resolution reduces the present day biases in precipitation [6, 7]. The results confirm the improved performance of the convection-permitting models with respect to coarser-resolution ones in simulating important characteristics of daily and hourly precipitation and extreme events [7].

4. Results: seasonal mean 2000-2010



# 5) Results: seasonal mean biases 2000-2010



RegCM 3 km and E-OBS, c) RegCM 15 km and CHIRPS, d) RegCM 3 km and CHIRPS.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Prein, A. F. et al., A review on regional convection-permitting climate modeling: demonstrations, prospects, and challenges, Rev. Geophys., 53, 323–361, 2015. [2] Giorgi F. et al., RegCM4: model description and preliminary tests over multiple CORDEX domains. Clim Res 52:7–29, 2012 [3] Coppola, E. et al.,, Non-Hydrostatic RegCM4 (RegCM4-NH): model description and case studies over multiple domains, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 7705–7723, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-7705-2021, 2021. [4] Coppola E et al., A first-of-its-kind multi-model convection permitting ensemble for investigating convective phenomena over Europe and the Mediterranean. Clim Dyn 55:3–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4521-8, 2018. [5] Giorgi, F. et al., ictp-esp/RegCM: Paper Release, Zenodo[code], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4603556, 2021. [6] Ban, N. et al., The first multi-model ensemble of regional climate simulations at kilometer-scale resolution, part I: evaluation of precipitation, Clim. Dynam., 57, 275–302, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-

021-05708-w, 2021. [7] Stocchi, P. et al., Non-Hydrostatic Regcm4 (Regcm4-NH): Evaluation of Precipitation Statistics at the Convection-Permitting Scale over Different Domains. Atmosphere 2022, 13, 861. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13060861

# Assessment of precipitation statistics with non-hydrostatic regional climate model RegCM4.7.1 at regional and convection-permitting scales over Bulgaria Rilka Valcheva, Ivan Popov, Nikola Gerganov National Institute of Meteorology and Hydrology, Sofia, Bulgaria <u>Rilka.Valcheva@gmail.com</u>

# 2. Overview

The aim of this study is to present an initial assessment of precipitation, simulated with the non-hydrostatic regional climate model RegCM4.7.1 at a regional and convectionpermitting (CP) scale for a decade-long period (2000-2010) over Bulgaria. The simulations use a horizontal grid spacing of 3 km and are driven by the ERA-Interim reanalysis (0.75° x 0.75°) through an intermediate driving RegCM4 simulation at 15 km grid spacing using parametrized deep convection. The km-scale simulation is evaluated against daily E-OBS (0.1° x 0.1°) and CHIRPS (0.05° x 0.05°) datasets (tab. 2) and is compared with the coarserresolution driving simulation. We focus on different precipitation statistics such as seasonal mean daily precipitation, seasonal wet-day intensity, seasonal wet-day seasonal heavy precipitation. The frequency, and simulations are carried out on the HPC Discoverer supercomputer, located in Sofia Tech Park in Sofia, Bulgaria.





Fig. 2. Spatial distribution of seasonal mean of analysed indeces: from top to bottom (mean precipitation, prec OBS (second column) and simulations: RegCM 3 km (third column), and RegCM 15 km (last column) for the a) winter (DJF), b) spring (MAM), c) summer (JJA) and d) autumn (SON) seasons.

Fig. 3. Spatial maps of seasonal mean biases of daily precipitation (first row), precipitation (first row), precipitation (fourth row) between a) RegCM 15 km and E-OBS, b)



# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Bulgarian National Science Fund, KP-06-M57/3. We acknowledge Discoverer PetaSC and EuroHPC JU for awarding this project Discoverer supercomputer access to resources.

Conclusion Overall, the model represents well the spatial distribution of mean precipitation at regional and km-scale for the territory of Bulgaria. However, CP-RegCM model produces too much precipitation over the mountains (fig. 2). The most significant improvements in CP-RegCM simulations were found for intensity when compared with CHIRPS data in summer and autumn seasons and in autumn season when compared with E-OBS observational dataset

CHIRPS datasets. Observational and similar F-OBS distribution for mean precipitation. but CHIRPS dataset shows extremely high precipitation intensities, especially summer and dataset underestimates CHIRPS Also, frequency compared to E-OBS data in summer and autumn and overestimates heavy precipitation in summer. In winter, RCM3 overestimates mean precipitation, precipitation

intensity and heavy precipitation over mountains. Both, RCM3 and RCM15, overestimate precipitation frequency (fig. 2a). In summer, RCM3 overestimates precipitation mean, frequency and heavy precipitation (fig. 2c). RCM3 underestimates intensity compared with CHIRPS and overestimates when compared with E-OBS. We find significant differences between CHIRPS and E-OBS precipitation intensity. In spring, RCM15 captures well the spatial distribution of mean precipitation, precipitation intensity, frequency and heavy precipitation, RCM3 overestimates all indices over mountains (fig. 2b). In autumn, RCM3 also overestimates all indices over mountains (fig. 2d). CHIRPS underestimates precipitation frequency and overestimates precipitation intensity in summer and autumn compared with E-OBS dataset (fig. 2c, 2d).

*JJA (fig. 3a, 3c).* 

EGU General Assembly 2023



## **Table 1**. Statistical indices for precipitation

dices	Index definition	Unit			
ation	Mean daily precipitation	(mm/day)			
	Wet day frequency, defined as a percentage of the number of wet days per season. Wet day is a day with precipitation $> = 1$ mm.	(%)			
	Wet day intensity. Wet day is a day with precipitation $> = 1$ mm	(mm/day)			
cipitation	Defined as the 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile of all daily precipitation events (wet and dry)	(mm/day)			
	(RegCM-Observation)	(mm/day) or (%)			

### **Table 3:** Area average mean and biases

		CHIRPS	E-OBS	RCM3	RCM15	RCM3- CHIRPS	RCM3- E-OBS	RCM15- CHIRPS	RCM15 -E-OBS	
MEAN PRECIPTATION (mm/d)										
	MAM	1.9	1.5	3.2	1.8	1.3	1.9	0.1	0.4	
	JJA	1.8	1.6	3.5	2.1	1.7	2.2	0.3	0.7	
	SON	2.0	1.8	2.5	1.5	0.5	0.8	-0.5	-0.3	
	DJF	2.0	1.6	2.5	1.7	0.5	1.0	-0.4	0.1	
INTENSITY (mm/d)										
	MAM	8.6	6.0	8.4	5.6	-0.1	2.6	-2.9	-0.3	
	JJA	15.4	7.8	11.7	6.9	-3.7	4.2	-8.9	-0.9	
	SON	12.5	7.9	8.0	6.1	-4.6	0.1	-6.5	-1.7	
	DJF	7.4	5.9	6.8	5.1	-0.6	0.9	-2.3	-0.8	
FRQUENCY (%)										
	MAM	21.6	25.2	35.1	29.3	13.8	11.9	8.1	5.9	
	JJA	11.4	20.1	28.0	29.1	16.9	9.7	18.2	11.6	
	SON	16.2	23.1	29.6	22.3	13.6	0.1	6.1	0.2	
	DJF	27.3	27.8	34.7	30.5	7.5	0.9	3.3	3.4	
HEAVY PRECIPITATION P99 (mm/d)										
	MAM	22.1	15.0	39.0	20.4	17.2	26.3	-1.5	6.5	
	JJA	33.6	18.2	55.0	24.7	22.0	40.4	-8.5	8.1	
	SON	32.0	20.3	36.5	20.8	4.8	17.6	-11.2	1.2	
	DJF	20.1	14.8	29.2	18.4	9.1	15	-1.8	3.6	

Overall, RCM3 overestimates mean precipitation, frequency and heavy precipitation in all seasons, underestimates precipitation intensity when compared with CHIRPS data in JJA and SON and overestimates it when compared with E-OBS, especially in JJA (fig. 3b, 3d, tab. 3). RCM15 underestimates precipitation intensity and overestimates precipitation frequency in all seasons. RCM15 underestimates heavy precipitation when compared with CHIRPS in JJA and SON and overestimates it when compared with E-OBS in MAM and