Non-uniform melt rate and circulation from 3-D Simulation

3-D: Top view (vertical average)



Figure 1: Top view of a 3-D simulation of a long an narrow Greenlandic fjord. Background colors indicate and vertically averaged velocities (see Figure 2 for colorbar). Black contour lines indicate the vertically integrated stream function. Green contours show the melt rate distribution underneath the ice tongue. The ice front is indicated by the thick dashed black line.



Figure 2: Side view 3-D simulation of a long and narrow Greenlandic fjord. Background colors and black contour lines as in figure 1. The green line shows the across-fjord maximum melt rate as a function of distance from the groundling line...





Figure 3: as Figure 2 but from a 2-D simulation

modeling?

¹Stockholm University, Department of Meteorology, Stockholm, Sweden (jonathan.wiskandt@misu.su.se) ²Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

This presentation participates in **OSPP**



Outstanding Student & PhD candidate Presentation contest

3-D simulations of Greenlandic fjords reveal unexpected basal melt patterns - even in narrow fjords.



Do we have to doubt the melt rates inferred from 2-D simulations? What are the implications for ice-sheet

79°30 N

Figure 4: Bathymetric map of North Greenland and adjoining ocean. Inset shows a zoom in on Sherard Osborn fjord and the ice tongue of Ryder Glacier

Background & Motivation Ocean forcing of basal melt at the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets remains a major source of uncertainty in climate ice sheet modelling. Previous efforts to represent the Greenlandic marine melt focused mainly on the properties of the ocean waters reaching the marine terminating glaciers as well as the near-ice boundary layer flows and processes at the ice-ocean interface. Most simulations of Greenlandic fjord are 2-D and across fjord variability is usually considered negligible. We show results from simulations based on measurements in Sherard Osborn Fjord in front of Ryder Glacier. The local Rossby radius of deformation is smaller 2 km (using the two layer approx.).

The Model:

- MITgcm, non-hydrostatic, rigid lid
- Resolution: dx = dy = 100m; dz = 3m
- Initial and Boundary condition from observations in Sherard Osborn Fjord in North Greenland
- 3-Equation Melt parametrization with melt dependent heat flux:

Figure 5: Ave. melt rate and melt rate reduction of different heat conduction parametrization used in the 3 Equation melt parametrization.



-1000 -900 -800 -700 -600 -500 -400 -300 -200 -100 0 100 200 300 Elevation relative to sea level (m)



Non-uniform melt rate and circulation from 3-D Simulation **3-D: Top view - no sill - (vertical average)** 60 Distance from grounding line [km]

Figure 1: Top view of a 3-D simulation of a long an narrow Greenlandic fjord. Background colors indicate and vertically averaged velocities (see Figure 2 for colorbar). Black contour lines indicate the vertically integrated stream function. Green contours show the melt rate distribution underneath the ice tongue. The ice front is indicated by the thick dashed black line.

Melt Rate [m s⁻¹]



Figure 6: Horizontal velocities and stream lines separated for in- and out-flow (top and bottom respectively) for simulations without and with a sill (left and right respectively). Colors show the aboslut horizontal speed.





3-D simulations of Greenlandic fjords reveal unexpected circulation patterns - even in narrow fjords.

Circulation

 Under the ice, the flow is concentrated on the rhs (w.r.t the flow direction)

 Inflow at depth, outflow at the ice ocean interface

 Outside the cavity eddies form with a width of around 10 km (5x local Rossby radius of deformation)

79°30 N

Figure 4: Bathymetric map of North Greenland and adjoining ocean. Inset shows a zoom in on Sherard Osborn fjord and the ice tongue of Ryder Glacier

Background & Motivation Ocean forcing of basal melt at the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets remains a major source of uncertainty in climate ice sheet modelling. Previous efforts to represent the Greenlandic marine melt focused mainly on the properties of the ocean waters reaching the marine terminating glaciers as well as the near-ice boundary layer flows and processes at the ice-ocean interface. Most simulations of Greenlandic fjord are 2-D and across fjord variability is usually considered negligible. We show results from simulations based on measurements in Sherard Osborn Fjord in front of Ryder Glacier. The local Rossby radius of deformation is smaller 2 km (using the two layer approx.).

The Model:

- MITgcm, non-hydrostatic, rigid lid
- Initial and Boundary condition from observations in Sherard Osborn Fjord in North Greenland
- 3-Equation Melt parametrization with melt dependent heat flux

Figure 5: Ave. melt rate and melt rate reduction of different heat conduction parametrization used in the 3 Equation melt parametrization.

¹Stockholm University, Department of Meteorology, Stockholm, Sweden (jonathan.wiskandt@misu.su.se) ²Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden



-1000 -900 -800 -700 -600 -500 -400 -300 -200 -100 0 100 200 300 Elevation relative to sea level (m)

• Resolution: dx = dy = 100m; dz = 3m



Non-uniform melt rate and circulation from 3-D Simulation

3-D: Top view (vertical average)



Figure 1: Top view of a 3-D simulation of a long an narrow Greenlandic fjord. Background colors indicate and vertically averaged velocities (see Figure 2 for colorbar). Black contour lines indicate the vertically integrated stream function. Green contours show the melt rate distribution underneath the ice tongue. The ice front is indicated by the thick dashed black line.



Figure 2: Side view 3-D simulation of a long and narrow Greenlandic fjord. Background colors and black contour lines as in figure 1. The green line shows the across-fjord maximum melt rate as a function of distance from the groundling line...

Figure 3: as Figure 2 but from a 2-D simulation

Do we have to re-think the conclusions gained from 2-D simulations? -> What are the implications for ice-sheet modeling?

3-D simulations of Greenlandic fjords reveal unexpected basal melt patterns even in narrow fjords.

79°30 N

Figure 4: Bathymetric map of North Greenland and adjoining ocean. Inset shows a zoom in on Sherard Osborn fjord and the ice tongue of Ryder Glacier

Background & Motivation Ocean forcing of basal melt at the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets remains a major source of uncertainty in climate ice sheet modelling. Previous efforts to represent the Greenlandic marine melt focused mainly on the properties of the ocean waters reaching the marine terminating glaciers as well as the near-ice boundary layer flows and processes at the ice-ocean interface. Most simulations of Greenlandic fjord are 2-D and across fjord variability is usually considered negligible. We show results from simulations based on measurements in Sherard Osborn Fjord in front of Ryder Glacier. The local Rossby radius of deformation is smaller 2 km (using the two layer approx.).

The Model:

- MITgcm, non-hydrostatic, rigid lid
- Resolution: dx = dy = 100m; dz = 3m
- Initial and Boundary condition from observations in Sherard Osborn Fjord in North Greenland
- 3-Equation Melt parametrization with melt dependent heat flux

Figure 5: Ave. melt rate and melt rate reduction of different heat conduction parametrization used in the 3 Equation melt parametrization.

¹Stockholm University, Department of Meteorology, Stockholm, Sweden (jonathan.wiskandt@misu.su.se) ²Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

-1000 -900 -800 -700 -600 -500 -400 -300 -200 -100 0 100 200 Elevation relative to sea level (m)

Figure 1: Top view of a 3-D simulation of a long an narrow Greenlandic fjord. Background colors indicate and vertically averaged velocities (see Figure 2 for colorbar). Black contour lines indicate the vertically integrated stream function. Green contours show the melt rate distribution underneath the ice tongue. The ice front is indicated by the thick dashed black line.

hor. Velocity [m s⁻¹]

3-D: Cross-Sections - sill

3-D simulations of Greenlandic fjords reveal unexpected circulation patterns - even in narrow fjords.

Cross-	Section at 35.0kr	n						
			-1	1	1		 	
4		6				8		
Distance fi	rom grounding line	[km]						

79°30 N

Figure 4: Bathymetric map of North Greenland and adjoining ocean. Inset shows a zoom in on Sherard Osborn fjord and the ice tongue of Ryder Glacier

Background & Motivation Ocean forcing of basal melt at the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets remains a major source of uncertainty in climate ice sheet modelling. Previous efforts to represent the Greenlandic marine melt focused mainly on the properties of the ocean waters reaching the marine terminating glaciers as well as the near-ice boundary layer flows and processes at the ice-ocean interface. Most simulations of Greenlandic fjord are 2-D and across fjord variability is usually considered negligible. We show results from simulations based on measurements in Sherard Osborn Fjord in front of Ryder Glacier. The local Rossby radius of deformation is smaller 2 km (using the two layer approx.).

The Model:

- MITgcm, non-hydrostatic, rigid lid
- Resolution: dx = dy = 100m; dz = 3m
- Greenland
- Initial and Boundary condition from observations in Sherard Osborn Fjord in North
- 3-Equation Melt parametrization with melt dependent heat flux

hor. Velocity [m s⁻¹]

Figure 5: Ave. melt rate and melt rate reduction of different heat conduction parametrization used in the 3 Equation melt parametrization.

¹Stockholm University, Department of Meteorology, Stockholm, Sweden (jonathan.wiskandt@misu.su.se) ²Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

-1000 -900 -800 -700 -600 -500 -400 -300 -200 -100 0 100 200 300 Elevation relative to sea level (m)

Non-uniform melt rate and circulation from 3-D Simulation

3-D: Top view (vertical average)

Figure 1: Top view of a 3-D simulation of a long an narrow Greenlandic fjord. Background colors indicate and vertically averaged velocities (see Figure 2 for colorbar). Black contour lines indicate the vertically integrated stream function. Green contours show the melt rate distribution underneath the ice tongue. The ice front is indicated by the thick dashed black line.

3-D: Side view (across fjord average)

3-D simulations of Greenlandic fjords reveal unexpected circulation patterns - even in narrow fjords.

79°30 N

Figure 4: Bathymetric map of North Greenland and adjoining ocean. Inset shows a zoom in on Sherard Osborn fjord and the ice tongue of Ryder Glacier

Background & Motivation Ocean forcing of basal melt at the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets remains a major source of uncertainty in climate ice sheet modelling. Previous efforts to represent the Greenlandic marine melt focused mainly on the properties of the ocean waters reaching the marine terminating glaciers as well as the near-ice boundary layer flows and processes at the ice-ocean interface. Most simulations of Greenlandic fjord are 2-D and across fjord variability is usually considered negligible. We show results from simulations based on measurements in Sherard Osborn Fjord in front of Ryder Glacier. The local Rossby radius of deformation is smaller 2 km (using the two layer approx.).

The Model:

¹Stockholm University, Department of Meteorology, Stockholm, Sweden (jonathan.wiskandt@misu.su.se) ²Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

-1000 -900 -800 -700 -600 -500 -400 -300 -200 -100 0 100 200 300 Elevation relative to sea level (m)

- MITgcm, non-hydrostatic, rigid lid
- Resolution: dx = dy = 100m; dz = 3m
- Initial and Boundary condition from observations in Sherard Osborn Fjord in North Greenland
- 3-Equation Melt parametrization with melt dependent heat flux

Melt dependent heat flux vs. linear temperature gradient vs. no conduction:

Figure 5: Ave. melt rate and melt rate reduction of different heat conduction parametrization used in the 3 Equation melt parametrization.